	Page 1
ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL	BOARD
Johns Mansville, a Delaware)	
Corporation,)	
)	
Complainant)	PCB 14-3
v.)	PCB 14-3
v.	
ENFORCE - ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT)	
OF LAND TRANSPORTATION,)	
)	
respondent.)	

The report of proceedings had in the hearing of the above-entitled cause before Hearing Officer Bradley Halloran, called by the Pollution Control Board, taken by PAMELA A. MARZULLO, a Notary Public in and for the County of Pinellas and State of Florida, via Zoom, 1230 Gulf Boulevard, Clearwater Beach, Florida, on October 29th, 2020, at the hour of 9:00 o'clock a.m.

PRESENT:

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 11/4/2020 October 29, 2020

Page 2
NIJMAN & FRANZETTI
BY: MS. KRISTIN GALE & MS. SUSAN BRICE
10 South LaSalle Street
Suite 3600
Chicago, Illinois (312) 262-5523
k@nijmanfranzetti.com sb@jmanfranzetti.com
Appeared on behalf of Midwest Generation;
MR. CHRISTOPHER & MS. ELLEN O'LAUGHLIN
69 West Washington Street 18th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 814-2087
cgrant@atg.state.il.us eolaughlin@atg.state.il.us
Appeared on behalf of the of Illinois Department of
Transportation.
ALSO PRESENT:
MS. MARIE TIPSORD MS. JENNIFFER VAN WIE
REPORTED BY:
Pamela A. Marzullo

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 11/4/2020 October 29, 2020

		Page 3
1		
2		
3	INDEX	
4	WITNESS:	PAGE
5	STEVEN GOBELMAN	
6	Cross Examination (Continued) - Ms. Brice Redirect Examination - Ms. O'Laughlin	4 39
7	Cross Examination - Ms. Brice	66 78
8	Further Redirect examination - Ms. O'Laughlin Further Recross Examination - Ms. Brice	79
9	Direct Rebuttal Examination - Ms. Brice Cross Rebuttal Examination - Ms. O'Laughlin	81 130
10	Redirect Rebuttal Examination - Ms. Brice Recross Rebuttal examination - Ms. O'Laughlin	
11	Further Redirect Rebuttal Examination - Ms. Brid	e 149
12	EXHIBITS	
13	Exhibit No. Marked	
14	699 217 146	
15	217	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

	Page 4
1	
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're on the record.
3	Good morning. My name is Bradley Halloran. This is
4	the fourth day of hearing in 14-3 JM versus IDOT.
5	The date is October 29th, approximately 9:05
6	daytime. We're in the middle 45 cross-examination
7	by Ms. Brice 45 Mr. Gobelman. I would ask I'm
8	sure Mr. Gobelman remembers the hour when he was
9	first sworn. I would ask Pam to swear Mr. Gobelman
10	in again.
11	(Mr. Steven Gobelman was duly
12	sworn.)
13	STEVEN GOBELMAN,
14	was adduced as the witness herein; after having been first
15	duly sworn, testified as follows:
16	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Brice?
17	MS. BRICE: Thank you, sir.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
19	BY MS. BRICE:
20	Q. I'm just going to remind everybody we were
21	discussing the Utility ACM soils excavation action,
22	and we had talked about how your denominator for
23	this attribution was 5470 linear feet.
24	Divide that by 470 linear feet, which you

Page 5 had calculated the north side and south side 45 site 1 2 We're now turning to your numerator. So, if you 3 go to 205-11, please. 4 Α. Okay. 5 You say you calculate by measuring --Q. 6 I'm going back to your numerator on 205-11, and you 7 said you calculated this by measuring the distance 45 site 6 halfway between 4S and 5S, which said was 8 197; is that right? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. And then you divided that get to the 12 3.6 percent? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And you applied these Site 6 test pit 0. 15 borings using scaling off 45 a pdf, which is 205-46, 16 correct? 17 Turn to 205-46 just so -- I believe we established this earlier, but just to be sure. 18 19 Α. Well, that's not what --20 Take a look at 205-7. At the very bottom 0. 45 that page, that might help you with your 21 recollection, and I'm talking about the Site 6 soil 22 borings. 23 24 Α. Yes.

Page 6 1 So, did you use this C-0022JM004753, which 2 was hearing 6699, which is also I think we 3 established up on the screen yesterday the same at 4 205-46, to scale in your Site 6 soil borings? 5 Α. Right. Okay. I would like you to turn to 67 --6 0. 7 one second. Sorry. Back up. This says this was AE Con's Work Plan 8 Revision 2 March 13, 2014 on 205-7. Do you see 9 that? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 So, if you turn 67, please, 67-1. Let me Q. know when you're there. 670-1 is the final removal 13 14 of as per plan, correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. And if you could turn to 675-36. This is just an excerpt. So, 536 is somewhere in the middle 17 18 I apologize it's not right on top. 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What book is this, 20 Ms. Brice? MS. BRICE: Gobelman testified from this binder 21 22 yesterday. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. 24 BY MS. BRICE:

Page 7 Q. The same thing from yesterday is going to 1 2 be the same thing I'm using today. 3 Can you tell me when you get to 57-536? 4 Yes, I'm there. Α. 5 Okay. So, 67-536 is -- we said is the Q. 6 fine plan, and you had access to this document, have 7 you not, since you -- since before you wrote your 8 first expert's report on damages, right? I believe so. 9 Α. And this document contains excavation 10 0. 11 coordinates; in other words, latitudes and 12 longitudes for Site 6 construction work, does it 13 not? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And you didn't rely on this document in 16 creating your base map, did you? 17 Α. No. Instead 45 using this document for 18 0. 19 latitudes and longitudes, you mainly measured in 20 distances off a paper pdf off from an earlier version of this report for plotting the Site 6 soil 21 borings; is that correct? 22 23 I measured it, yes. Α.

So the answer is yes?

24

Q.

Page 8 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. I'm going to turn to the North 3 Shore gas line. With respect to the North Shore gas 4 line on site 3, a claim corridor was required around 5 it, correct? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. If you could turn to 207-17, Q. 8 please. This is part 45 your expert report, 9 correct, your supplemental expert report 207-17? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And on 207-17 -- Drew, could you please load that up for us? 12 13 I would like you to tell me which soil 14 borings fall within the green that are on your 15 document? 16 Α. That fall within the green? 17 Q. Correct. 18 Α. D3-15 and D3C. 19 Q. Were those built in line with the hearing? 20 Α. Yes. I would just like to note on this map, 21 Q. compared to and on 205-22, which is the base map for 22 23 this map, you don't have all the same borings that 24 you had on Exhibit 202, correct?

- A. Correct. I only put in the borings that were associated with the Polution Control Board's drawing and some of the borings that Mr. Dorgan put in his report, which he made his calculations off 45.
- Q. So, to arrive at your attribution calculated as your denomination, the square footage of the North Shore gas line work on Site 3, which you said was 10,866 square feet; is that right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And then you calculated your numerator, the square footage of the gas line that you believed ran through parcel 0393, based upon your supplemental map, which you say here is 4,271 square feet; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. You then took -- you then divided those numbers and came up with 39.3 percent?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If the location of the North Shore gas line on your map here, that you're using on site 3, is accurate, then your numerator and your calculations would be inaccurate; is that correct?
 - A. I wouldn't know.

Page 10 You wouldn't know? So, your calculation 1 Q. 2 is based upon the division 45 a numerator and a 3 denominator correct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 So, if your numerator is different, you're Q. 6 going to go come up with a different percentage, 7 correct? 8 Α. If the numerator is different, yes. 9 So, if the numerator is different, and 0. then you use that numerator to multiply that by the 10 11 overall cost for a particular area, you're going to 12 come up with a different number, correct? 13 Α. If the calculations were different, yes. Okay. Let's talk about the North Shore 14 0. 15 gas line on Site 6. 16 Here you didn't use square footage, did 17 you? 18 Α. No. 19 Q. Rather, you used linear footage, right? 20 Α. Correct. And linear footage, based upon your base 21 Q.

Q. Okay. If you can go to 207-05. Going to

map in your expert's report, correct?

Correct.

22

23

24

Α.

Page 11 1 the bottom 45 that page, and I'm just going to read 2 for the record the sentence, "Mr. Dorgan states the 3 length along the south side 45 Site 6 is 4 approximately 2,500 feet." 5 Do you see that? 6 Α. Yes. 7 How did you use that 2,500 linear feet in 0. your attribution calculation? 8 9 I used that in -- that's exactly what the Α. percentage of the gas line is in this site 6. 10 11 0. Was that your denominator, the 2,500 12 linear feet? 13 Α. Yes. 14 If you can turn to 204-24, please. 0. Okay. 15 204-24, which is Mr. Dorgan's report where he talks 16 about the North Shore gas was line. Are you there? 17 Α. I am now. The very last paragraph I'm going 18 0. Okay. 19 to read into the record, "It's my understanding that a total 452,500 linear feet of the North Shore gas 20 line was removed on Site 6." 21 22 Do you see that? 23 Α. Yes. So, he's not talking solely about the 24 Q.

Page 12 1 south side 45 Site 645 that measurement, is he? 2 Α. No. 3 0. You define the portion before of the area 4 that is attributable to IDOT based upon your base 5 map 207-17, correct? 6 Α. I'm sorry, what? 7 Q. You used the base map to come up with your numerator in your attribution, correct? 8 9 Α. Right. 207-17, because you did a measurement off 10 0. 11 45 it, correct, the 72 feet? I have to go back. 12 Α. 13 207-5. Q. What was this again, the measurement? 14 Α. 15 Sure. Is 7200 linear feet -- I just want 0. 16 to establish that was measured off 45 this base map, 17 the base map being 207-17. It's the one 18 specifically is the one about the North Shore gas? 19 Α. Yes. 20 So, the numerator here is 72 linear feet, 0. and you divided the numerator by the 2,500 linear 21 22 feet to get to 3.6, correct, percent? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And, so, these distances would need to be Q.

accurate in order for the 3.6 attribution to be correct; isn't that true?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. Combined Site 3 plus 6 -- all I really want to establish here is that your combined Site 3 plus 6 numbers depend upon the attributions you made to site 3 for North Shore gas and site 6 for North Shore gas.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let's go to the northeast excavation 207-18 is the map in play, I believe. Could you please turn to that?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. Again, you used 205-46, which we were looking at a moment ago up on the screen, to scale in the northeast excavation.

And you said the distance from 9S to the eastern edge of the northeast excavation is 140 feet. That's on 205-8.

Is that accurate. Is that what you did?

And take your time.

- A. Say your question again.
- Q. Sure. Let me break it down. You used
 24 205-46 to locate the northeast excavation, correct,

Page 14 1 based on what you say here on 205-8? 2. Α. Yes. 3 0. Okay. And then how you did that was you hand scaled it in; isn't that true? 4 5 I measured it in, yes. Α. You measured it in using an engineer's 6 0. 7 scale map on a computer, correct? I believe in this case, I would have used 8 Α. as the -- the borings were in place. I would have 9 CAD measured from 9S to the distance 45140 feet, and 10 11 then that would create the eastern edge. 12 You don't recall, do you, specifically how Q. 13 you did it? 14 Α. It was measured in. 15 You measured it in, but it whether you did 0. 16 hand scaling, or whether you did it another way, we 17 can look at your deposition and figure that out at 18 some point. 19 But you don't recall right now exactly how 20 you did it; is that correct? No, not really. 21 Α. All right. And then you state the 22 Q.

distance from the soil boring location 95, to the

eastern edge of the northern location, is about

23

Page 15 1 Do you see that? 140 feet. 2 Α. Where are you reading from? 3 Q. 205. 4 Α. 205? 5 205-8. Q. Would did you read out? 6 Α. 7 140 feet. 0. 8 Α. Yes. Okay. But wouldn't it have been better to 9 0. use the final work plan to plot the northeast 10 11 excavation instead of the Revision 2? 12 Α. I don't know. 13 Okay. Turning to your deposition, your Q. second deposition, which is page number on the 14 15 deposition 53; but on the exhibit number, it's going 16 to be 229D-54. 17 Okay. Α. 18 Are you there? Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Line 5. Okay, "If you were to go back and 0. do it now, what would be the right source to use to 21 locate the northeast excavation, the final report? 22 23 It would be. I would assumed "Answer: 24 that it would be the final report and the work plan

Page 16 1 that depicts actually how it was laid in, how it was 2 supposed to be measured in." MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. The deposition 3 4 transcript is hearsay. It's not an appropriate use 5 45 a deposition transcript. It's not a prior 6 inconsistent statement. 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Brice? MS. BRICE: I'm impeaching him with the 8 deposition testimony. He said he didn't know; and 9 in his deposition, he said, "Yes." 10 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I agree. Overruled. 12 BY MS. BRICE: Your northeast excavation attributions are 13 Q. 14 based upon square footage, right? If you want to 15 turn to 207-18, it might make it easier. 16 Α. Okay. I believe you said on direct that the 17 18 northeast excavation in total is 7500 square feet; 19 is that right? 20 You added those two numbers together in the boxes? 21 22 Α. Yes. Okay. The portion of the northeast 23 0.

excavation, that falls within 0339, is 1,889 square

Page 17 1 feet, correct? 2 Α. It probably says that. I think it says 1,889 square feet. 3 Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Okay. And you divided 1,899 by 7500 and Q. 6 came to 25.12 percent; is that right? 7 Α. Yes. This northeast excavation is comprised 45 8 0. three grids; isn't that correct? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. The denominator we just talked about, the 12 7500 square feet, is based opinion measurements you 13 made off 45 this map 207-18, right? Α. 14 Yes. 15 The same is true for the 1,889 square feet 0. 16 numerator, it's also measured off 45 this map 17 207-18, right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 0. Okay. You testified -- so, there's three 20 grids here. The grid on the left, you testified that you included that boring B350 in your IDOT area 21 45 liability, correct? 22 23 Yes, the Board ruled that it was in our Α. 24 liability.

Page 18 And then you said you also included B345 1 Q. 2 in your IDOT area of liability, right? 3 Α. Yes, even though it fell in my drawing 4 outside. 5 And we you talked a lot about -- you've Q. 6 talked a lot about the next cleanest boring rule. 7 Do you remember that? A little bit, just depending on where we 8 Α. 9 were at. You said that, you know, the USEPA 10 0. required the contamination to be excavated to the 11 12 next cleanest boring; do you recall that? 13 Are you saying that I said that? Α. 14 Yes. Q. 15 I don't recall saying that. Α. 16 Q. I'm going to hand you -- give me a second, I'm going to be back, because I think we already 17 18 went through this. 19 If you would give me one second, I would 20 appreciate it. 21 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. (Pause) 22 BY MS. BRICE: 23 24 Let's go to your first deposition Okay. Q.

Page 19 1 45 page 28, line 11, please. I'm going to read it 2. into the record. 3 You were deposed. You know we went over 4 this yesterday, but these are both in your 5 depositions, correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 0. We've been reading -- okay. "Question: If ACM is detected in a boring, how much of the area 8 9 around the boring did USEPA assume to be contaminated? 10 11 "Answer: Well, typically, I think there was a number of figures that represented different 12 13 methodology to determine the extent. But in most 14 cases, it went to the next cleanest boring." 15 Do you see that? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. So, here we've got B350, and can you pull up the map, please, Drew? 207-18, please. 18 19 Thank you. 20 Okay. And EPA also required the excavation 45 an entire grid, if a portion of the 21 grid was contaminated; is that correct? 22 23 Α. Yes.

But here you did not include the entire

24

Q.

Page 20 grid 45 B350 or B345 in your IDOT attribution, did 1 2. you? 3 Α. No, I only included the areas that the Pollution Control Board said IDOT was liable for. 4 5 But, again, the EPA required the whole Q. grid to be excavated, if there was a contaminated 6 7 boring in the grid, correct? Those were areas that were outside of 8 Α. 9 IDOT's responsibility. Please answer the question that I asked. 10 0. 11 Α. They required it to be excavated. 12 Okay. And then -- so, just Q. Correct. 13 talking about the next cleanest boring. Was B346 a clean boring? 14 15 Α. I don't know. I don't have it 16 represented. 17 Q. Okay. I'll represent that B346 was a 18 contaminated boring. 19 And I understand that if you believe you 20 were liable for the neighboring contaminated boring, you counted halfway to the next boring. 21 I believe that was your testimony, right? 22

Q. Okay. This concept is not what is stated

23

24

Α.

Yes.

in	the	enforcement	action	memorandum,	is	it?
----	-----	-------------	--------	-------------	----	-----

- A. I don't know how it was stated in the enforcement memorandum.
- Q. It's not how EPA treated contaminated areas, is it?
 - A. I don't know for sure.
- Q. Okay. Let's go to -- I'm going to go to Exhibit 120. I'm not sure if everybody has a copy 45 this, but I will have Drew pull it up on the board here and go to Exhibit 120, please.
 - A. It's not in here.

- Q. I'm going to hand it to you. He's going to pull it up here, 120-3.
- This is Figure 8. Just keep going back on paragraph 9. Okay. Do you see that? Did you have a copy?
 - A. I have a copy 45 it here.
- Q. Okay, great. I'm just going to read this into the record. For purposes of identification, this is a letter on 120-1 from USEPA to Bill Bell at LSR and Dr. Dr. Ebihara testified LSR was working on this project at the very beginning."
- And on 123, USEPA says, quote, "To determine the extent 45 ACM, it appears that it was

assumed that ACM was present in the entire grid, and the sample collected within that grid contained ACM."

And then further down, it says, "If the current sampling results are to used to determine the extend of ACM that needs to be addressed in this report, then it is recommended that the area containing ACM should be depicted as follows: For the grid that contained ACM, the boundary 45 ACM-containing material should be extended all the way to the nearest non-detected sample."

They did give an example that I don't think I need to read into the record. Then it says, "This approach should be taken for all the sampled locations with ACM detected."

Do you see that, sir?

- A. Yes.
- Q. But you didn't count this report 346 halfway or all the way, did you?
 - A. I don't have 346.
- Q. 346 is in the third grid.
- 22 A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 23 0. 45 site soil excavation.
 - A. I'll take your word for it.

Q. Okay. You don't have to take my word for it. I remember it correctly.

Let's go to 204-38 super fast. If you could just pull it up on the board, I would appreciate it. Yes, there it is. You see B346 up there on the board?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay, thank you. So, here is the question I have: If the northeast excavation is plotted too far to the east" -- go back to 207-17, please.

If it's plotted too far to the east -Sorry. 207-18, "Two parts to the east," meaning two
parts to the right, "if it happens to be that, if
that happens to be the case, then you were counting
less in your IDOT attribution; is that correct,
because it's not falling within" --

- A. Say that again. I lost it.
- Q. Sure. If it's plotted too for to the east, you are basically moving everything to the east, and less 45 it falls within what you have as depicted as 0393; isn't that right?
- A. If I have plotted it too far to the east, yes.
 - Q. Let me put it this way: The further east,

Page 24 the northeast excavation sits, the less amount that 1 2. falls within 0393; is that right? 3 Α. I'm sorry, you're talking about the actual excavation dimensions? 4 5 Q. Correct. If I had lined it up much too far east? 6 Α. 7 Then it's less 45 it is falling 0. Yes. within 0393; is that right? 8 9 Α. Yes. I would like to turn to dewatering. 10 0. 11 said that you took an approach similar to Mr. Dorgan's, right? 12 13 Α. Yes. And like Mr. Dorgan, you agreed the report 14 0. 15 gas references drove that need to dewater on Site 3, 16 which is the Nicor gas line, the North Shore gas 17 line, the Waukegan waterline and the northeast 18 excavation, right? 19 Α. I think so. 20 Okay. And then you took your attributions for two of the four, correct, the North Shore gas 21 line and the North Shore excavation, because you did 22

not consider the Waukegan water line or the Nicor

line to be part of the IDOT's liability?

23

Page 25 1 Go to 207-7, if that's helpful. 2 Α. Yes, I utilized the cost of the Nicor line -- or utilized Nicor, North Shore, Waukegan 3 4 line in the northeast excavation. 5 And your attributions came with the North Q. Shore gas line excavation, correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. You added up these costs and came to 143,265; is that right? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. And you divided this number, which was your numerator, by the total cost to complete these 12 13 tasks on Site 3, which was 661,565, which became your denominator; is that correct? 14 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. And then you came up with a percentage 4521.7 percent? 17 18 Α. Correct. 19 Okay. On 207-6, one page back, you have 0. 20 point one, two, three, four categories 45 dewatering costs; do you see that? 21 22 Α. Yes. Okay. And you applied, as I understand 23 0.

it, the same 21.7 percent to all four categories for

Page 26 1 Site 3 dewatering, so all four 45 these categories 2. listed on 207-6 under "dewatering." 3 I think if you look the on 207-7, it 4 explains it. 5 Yes, I applied 21.7 percent to those four Α. 6 things. 7 So, you didn't treat DMP dewatering costs 0. noted here as construction management for dewatering 8 9 differently, right, obviously? I didn't treat any 45 those four things 10 Α. 11 any differently. 12 Mr. Dorgan explained these costs were 100 Q. 13 percent related to the North Shore gas work on Site 3. 14 15 Do you recall that? 16 Α. No, I don't. 17 Q. Okay. Do you dispute that? 18 It can just be that I don't remember. Α. 19 0. Okay. And Mr. Dorgan discussed the 20 destruction services came into utility work and comprised the category in your charts. 21 22 Do you dispute the characterization of the work done as set forth in Footnote 1945 Mr. Dorgan's 23 24 report?

Page 27 1 I don't remember what his footnote is. Α. 2 Q. Actually, you said here you don't dispute 3 it. 4 I can't dispute something that I don't Α. 5 know what it is. 6 That's fine. Let's move to Site 6, 0. Okay. 7 dewatering. This is again on 207-7, and here you were charged with linear footage calculations, 8 right? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And you say that the dewatering was 12 required to create a clean bore corridor for 1S --13 sorry, 1N through 9N and 1S through 9S, which you measured as at 838 linear feet off 45 your map; is 14 15 that correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. And this sum became your denominator; isn't that right? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 So, for your attribution to be correct, 0. your denominator needs to be correct, right? 21 22 Α. Correct. And your numerator here was 1S through 23 24 4.5S, which you measured off 45 your base map to be

Page 28 1 197 linear feet; is that right? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Sorry. No problem. And just if you go --4 to make it easy, I'm on 207-7, second paragraph of 5 Site 6. I'm going to summarize this. 6 To arrive at your attributions divided as 7 197 by 838 linear feet, to get to 23.5 percent; and then you multiplied that 23.5 percent by the Site 6 8 dewatering cost total 45160,587; is that right? 9 Yes, divided by the total. I multiplied 10 Α. 11 that cost to those two items in Site 6. 12 Q. Yes, correct. Sorry, if I misspoke, I 13 apologize. 14 And the total was 37,738, right? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. And then, again, on the site green, six 17 calculations for dewatering. 18 Those calculations attributions depend 19 upon how much you attribute to Site 3 work, along 20 for dewatering in Site 6 work alone for dewatering, 21 right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 If you turn to 207-19, please. 0. 24 Α. Okay.

	Fage 27
1	Q. So, I believe the ramp is this area over
2	here on the left that is it says "ramp," and it
3	has a cross hatched through it, and it's contained
4	in a box, correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And what work was done in that area, that
7	hatched area, to your knowledge?
8	A. It was capped. It was too wide. It was
9	right on top of, the cover.
10	Q. And was there ACM found in that area, to
11	your knowledge?
12	A. I don't recall of the top of my head.
13	Q. But you mean by that an allocation 45 zero
14	for the ramp 7, because you thought it was too far
15	west on parcel 039, right?
16	A. Correct, outside of the borings allocated.
17	Q. All right. Let's turn to filling and
18	capping, and I'm going to go to 207-20, the next
19	page.
20	I believe you testified you did this, and
21	this is on 205-15, but I don't think you have to
22	look back.
23	You took 3.1-acres, right, as your total
24	square footage for the entire site 3, right?

Page 30 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. And that's your denominator for 3 your calculation, correct? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Okay. And then you took a measurement of Q. 6 the area that you have with slanted hashing going to 7 the -- from the northeast to the southwest as your denominator, and it came to .2 acres; is that right? 8 9 Α. Correct. And you then got 6.5 percent; is that 10 0. 11 correct? 12 You want to look back on 205-15, I believe is where this is? 13 14 What percentage is that? Α. 15 I said 6.5 percent. Q. 16 Α. Yes. Okay. But just to be clear, you don't 17 0. include in this area where ACM was found 45 -- you 18 19 don't include within this area the ramp, right? 20 No, I do not. Α. You don't include the Waukegan water line? 21 Q. No, I do not. 22 Α. You don't include the entire grid for B350 23 Q. 24 or B345?

Page 31 1 No, I do not. They are outside of the Α. 2 boring liabilities. And you don't include B346, correct? 3 0. 4 Correct, because it's outside IDOT. Α. You didn't include all of the AT&T lines 5 Q. 6 that ran through 0393, just a portion 45 that; is 7 that right? 8 Α. Correct. Let's go to filling and capping Site 6. 9 0. here you say you used the same method you used for 10 11 ACM soils excavation. 12 So we're back again to that calculation 13 455,470 feet, which is the entire length 45 the north side and south side 45 Site 6 as your 14 15 denominator; is that right? 16 Α. What page are you on? I'm sorry. 17 I'm thinking -- I don't have it here, but 0. it's got to be probably 205-16-ish. 18 19 Α. Okay. 20 Do you see that? 0. 21 Α. Yes. So, here you've got that 5470 linear feet, 22 Q. 23 correct? 24 Α. Yes.

Page 32 Okay. And that's the entire north side 1 Q. 2 and south side 45 Site 6? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And your metric denominator for this 0. 5 calculation, and then your numerator on 207-20 --6 I'm sorry. Pardon me. I'll turn back. 7 Your numerator on this was the 197 linear feet, correct; is that right? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 0. And that's measured off 45 your base maps, 11 correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. And you didn't take into account Q. volume 45 filling in any way, shape 45 form, in 14 15 determining your site 6 attributions for filling and 16 capping? 17 Α. No. Now, I'm going to -- the combined Site 3 18 0. 19 and 6, again, your numbers are dependent upon the 20 allocation numbers you gave as inputs for Site 3 alone and Site 61, correct? 21 22 Α. Correct. 23 Okay. So, let's go to the general 0. site/site prep maps. Well, there's not a map for 24

	Page 33
1	this.
2	Let's go to 207-8. Tell me when you are
3	there.
4	A. I'm in.
5	Q. Okay. You stated that you used the same
6	zero approach as Mr. Dorgan for general site
7	preparation work.
8	Do you recall that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. But on direct, you couldn't
11	identify which gas buckets, or as you said cells,
12	you used to reach your calculations, could you?
13	A. Correct, I didn't remember what cells I
14	used in the spreadsheet. I don't know if it's the
15	same cells that Mr. Dorgan used.
16	Q. Okay. I'm going to pull up demonstrative
17	205, and I used this with Mr. Dorgan.
18	Did you remember seeing this?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. You had it presented to you I believe
21	IDOT sent it to you ahead 45 time.
22	You agreed that it was accurate?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. So, for general site/site 3 prep, both you

Page 34 and Mr. Dorgan added up your IDOT attributions for 1 2 construction services on Site 3, and divided this 3 number by the total maps of construction services 4 for Site 3, right? 5 Α. Yes. And construction service in this process 6 are 54 -- is half of line AT&T Nicor gas; is that 7 8 right? 9 Α. Yes, the same ones as Dorgan. 10 0. And the number that you guys both used as 11 the total amount spent was 1,476,454. 12 So, that was the denominator, right? 13 Α. Yes. 14 The numerators were different because you 0. 15 had different attributes, right? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Q. The percentage you came up with was 18 16.8 percent; is that right? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. And you applied 16.8 percent to all 0. of the general site/site prep categories on 207-8 21 related to Site 3; is that correct? 22 23 Yes, I applied all the ones that Α. 24 Mr. Dorgan applied his percentages to.

Page 35 Okay. But you didn't treat the O&M cost 1 Q. 2 bucket differently, did you? 3 This O&M bucket, which is on 207-8 in the 4 chart, you treated that the same and applied the 5 60.8 percent, right? 6 I applied that percentage in the same 7 manner that Mr. Dorgan applied. So, if he applied 8 his percentage to those pieces, I applied to those 9 pieces as well. Do you know if Mr. Dorgan applied that 10 11 attribution to -- let's just do this, let's go to 12 204-32. I'm almost done. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you have a page number? 14 15 MS. BRICE: 204-32. 16 BY MS. BRICE: 17 Q. I'm going to the second paragraph 18 45204-32, and here Mr. Dorgan -- Mr. Dorgan had been 19 applying, if you look at the paragraph above, 20 74.2 percent for these gas buckets, correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Okay. But on the O&M, he applied the factor of 80 percent, does he not? 23

24

Α.

Correct.

Q. Let's go back to 207-8. We're going to talk about general Site 6 prep work.

So, once again, same methodology, right, for your numerator and denominator, you used these different construction work categories of gas buckets, which are listed on Exhibit 2 under the column "Site 6 prep," which are AT&T North Shore gas, northeast excavation and utility and filling and capping, right?

- A. Yes, I used that that same cells that Mr. Dorgan did that I added to the cost.
 - Q. And this gave you 5.5 percent?
 - A. For Site 6.
- Q. For Site 6, correct, on the bottom 45207-8?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. But the attribution that you made for these tasks in 204 -- on 245, excuse me. Take just, for example, the Waukegan water line is wrong, then that's going to impact your overall Site 6 prep calculations; is that right?
- A. If there were adjustments in the measurements, yes, there would be a marginal increase or decrease with the different numbers.

- Q. The point I'm just trying to get across is that all 45 these tasks are in Site 3 prep, Site 6, Site 3 and 6 prep, compensate in Site 3 oversight and Site 6 oversight and legal, all depend upon the allocations for the attributions that you made to various task methods that we just west through?
 - A. Yes, I did that.

- Q. And if I want to find out which task buckets that you used for Site 3 and 6 prep, health and safety, Site 3 oversight, Site 6 oversight and legal, I'll just take a look at Exhibit 245, and it's the ones that have the X on it; is that correct, underneath --
 - A. Health and safety, is that your question?
- Q. Overall with respect to Exhibit 245. So,

 I'm trying to look at health and safety. I'm trying
 to figure out how you did your calculation.

Your calculation was based upon your attribution numbers and the overall numbers for AT&T, North Shore Gas, AT&T and utility and filling and capping, correct?

- A. Yes, I used the same methodology.
- Q. I'm just trying to cut through the chase here. Exhibit 245 has listed for each one of those

	Page 30
1	columns, right, underneath each column, there are
2	Xes; and those Xes denote which structured task
3	buckets were used to form your calculations, with
4	respect to these oversights for services task
5	buckets; is that right?
6	A. Yes. That's how Mr. Dorgan did it, and
7	that's how exactly I did it.
8	Q. One last question for you. Did the Board
9	find anyone, other than IDOT, liable in the earlier
10	hearing in this matter?
11	A. I don't understand your question.
12	Q. Did the Board find anyone else, other than
13	IDOT, liable in the hearing order?
14	A. I don't know.
15	Q. Turn to your first deposition and page
16	140, lines 12 through 16. Ellen, did you get that?
17	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No. Sorry.
18	THE WITNESS: 229B 140?
19	BY MS. BRICE:
20	Q. Page 140, lines 12 through 16, and the
21	question is: "Did the Board make any finding that
22	anyone other IDOT was liable from ACM at gas Site 3?
23	"Answer: I don't believe that was a
24	subject to the ruling."

	Page 39
1	Do you see that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Did you dispute saying that?
4	A. No.
5	MS. BRICE: Okay. No further questions.
6	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin, do
7	you need a few minutes?
8	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes.
9	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Pam, we're taking a
10	ten-minute break.
11	(A Recess was taken.)
12	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back on the
13	record. Ms. O'Laughlin is doing her redirect 45
14	Mr. Gobelman. You made proceed, Ms. O'Laughlin.
15	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. O'Haloran.
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
18	Q. So, Mr. Gobelman, you testified that you
19	used a map from the ELM report to show the soil
20	borings that you created; am I correct on that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. Can you turn to Exhibit 57?
23	A. In what book?
24	Q. It's Exhibit 57. It would begin in 06.

Page 40 1 It's not listed. It should be. I direct you to 57. And what is this document? 2 It's the surface and subsurface 3 Α. characterization of Site 3. 4 5 What does this report seek to accomplish? Q. It provided the final surface and 6 Α. 7 subsurface characterizations 45 Site 3, showing the sample locations and boring logs and analytical of 8 what they found. 9 And I note that on the cover page it's 10 0. 11 marked as a draft? 12 Α. Correct. 13 If you could turn to page Exhibit 57-19, Q. if you could look to paragraph 5.3 in the second 14 15 photograph that begins at 5550 grid? 16 Α. Yes. 17 If you could read that, please? 0. "The 5550 grid was established on Site 3, 18 Α. 19 so that random sampling points could be created at 20 the intersection of the grid lines. "Once the grid was established, each 21 sampling point was surveyed as to elevation and 22

location, with respect to site boundaries."

If you could turn to 57-536.

23

24

Q.

	Page 41
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. 536.
3	A. I'm there.
4	Q. And see if you could read the top 45 this
5	map or document?
6	A. It's Figure 15 soil boring location map
7	for Site 3.
8	Q. And did you use this map in preparation 45
9	your report for the second round of hearings?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And that's the report that Ms. Brice asked
12	you about yesterday; is that true?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Just turning randomly to a page let's
15	go to 57-2087.
16	A. I did.
17	Q. And what is this? Describe what that page
18	depicts on this report?
19	A. It depicts a boring log for location D315
20	that was conducted by ELM Consultants.
21	Q. Okay. So, is this the company that
22	actually did all the soil borings or reported on all
23	the soil borings?
24	A. Yes, I believe so.

1	Q. So, this is basically a soil boring
2	report; is that a fair description?
3	A. It's a report that discusses all the
4	sample locations and provides all the backup
5	documents that they used to you know, where they
6	took the samples, the boring logs for the
7	description of the geology and the analytical
8	results that they found.
9	Q. And was this report submitted to USEPA, if
10	you know?
11	A. I don't know off the top of my head. I
12	would assume it was utilized in some way.
13	Q. Do you know whether the Board relied upon
14	this report in coming to its interim opinion and
15	order?
16	A. I don't recall off the top 45 my head.
17	Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 203.
18	A. Okay. What page?

Q. The third page in. The area below soil sample line at Site 3 and site 6.

19

20

21

22

23

24

If you could begin to read the second paragraph that begins, "In 1998, ELM investigated"?

A. "In 1998, ELM investigated Site 3, Exhibit 57 ELM report. ELM visually inspected the

site surface and found 74 suspicious ACM fragments."

Q. This was all suspected?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. All suspected, right. "At ID 23, ELM removed this official ACM from the site ID. ELM described 65 of the suspected ACM fragments as transite 5 and the remaining as concrete salt paper, tar paper, roofing material for installation ID at 177 through 179."
- Q. That's good. If you could turn the page to 203-4. If you could just read the first sentence of the first full paragraph on that page.
- A. "At Site 3, ELM also collected 48 soil bore samples drilled at a depth of four feet."
- Q. Okay. So, the Board Order didn't site

 EML, and apparently the Board relied on this report,

 in drafting and arriving at its interim opinion

 order; is that accurate?
 - A. I would assume so.
- Q. If you could turn to Exhibit -- excuse me, 206, which is Mr. Dorgan's initial report, and this is the first hearing map.
- It's Mr. Dorgan's initial expert report.

 If you could turn to 0625.
 - A. Yes.

Page 44 1 Do you recall this document? Q. 2 Α. Vaquely, yes. 3 Q. Can you go to the bottom 45 this document 4 where is says "Legend"? 5 Α. Yes. And what is the first line? 6 0. 7 "ELM boring location 1999." Α. Okay. And this is a figure from 8 Q. 9 Mr. Dorgan's initial expert report 45 March 16, 2015? 10 11 Α. Yes. So, apparently Mr. Dorgan used the ELM 12 Q. 13 report in his figure from the first hearing? Α. 14 Yes. 15 MS. BRICE: Objection. 16 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you please restate the question, please? 17 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 18 Exhibit 0625 -- excuse me, Exhibit 06, 19 0. 20 what is this document? What is this? Dorgan's expert report from March 16 of 21 Α. 22 2015. 23 And this would have been part of the first 0. 24 round of hearings, the first stage 45 this matter?

Page 45 1 Α. Correct. 2 Q. His Figure 2, which is 0625, the same 3 document 25. 4 Α. Yes. 5 The first line of the legend states what? Q. "ELM boring location 1999." 6 Α. 7 And what does having ELM boring location 0. 1999 indicate to you? 8 MS. BRICE: Objection, lack 45 foundation. 9 didn't draft this document, and the document appears 10 11 to be saying how it's denoting B3-SS is a ELM 12 boring. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No, I'll allow it. He can say, you know, what he thinks this means. 14 15 You can take it up on recross. 16 MS. BRICE: No problem. 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay, thank you. Overruled. 18 19 THE WITNESS: I would assume that the boring 20 locations depicted on this map came from the ELM boring location -- form the ELM report from 1999. 21 22 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 23 Thank you. And that's the same report 0. 24 that you used in creating your base map for creating

Page 46 1 the second round? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Thank you. I wanted to use -- yesterday Ms. Brice asked you some questions about a 4 demonstrative exhibit they had regarding the 5 6 construction 45 Detour Road A. 7 Do you recall that? I'm not sure which demonstrative. 8 Α. I'm not sure which demonstrative either. 9 0. Off the record. 10 11 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Off the record real quickly. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure, off the 13 record. (Discussion off the record.) 14 15 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Back on the record. 16 You may proceed, Ms. O'Laughlin. 17 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 18 Mr. Gobelman, yesterday Ms. Brice asked 0. 19 you some questions about Exhibit 204-41A; do you 20 recall? 21 Α. Yes. And what is this figure for 204-41A? 22 Q. It's letting out Site 6 stationing for 23 Α. 24 Greenwood Avenue and a cross-section of the -- in

essence, the geology that was expanding the IDOT plans associated with the embankment work to be done on Greenwood Avenue.

- Q. Okay. And you talked about black cinder fill. What does this marking -- you know, the orange marking of block cinder fill. What does that mean to you?
- A. That was what was the black cinder fill and the peat beneath it was loaded in the information provided to the contractor in a cross-section in the GASK bulletin -- well, still in the active plans. It was in the original plans.

It provided them with the information on the -- what was going to be beneath the grade of -- well, some of these grades on Greenwood Avenue, so they would know what type of material they would be encountering.

- Q. Okay. And this area 7.0 matches up with the station at Greenwood Avenue up at the top, correct?
 - A. Yes.

Q. In the direct testimony, as you did in your first round 45 hearing, you stated that the as-built plans indicated the road would begin at

Page 48 1 approximately 7 plus 60? Construction on the Greenwood Avenue 2 Α. 3 embankment begins at 7 plus 60. 4 Q. Okay. No construction on Greenwood Avenue occurs 5 6 to the east of the station, other than at the end of 7 the project, the pavement that was resurfaced back to 7 plus general general for a smooth area for new 8 construction. 9 10 0. Okay. So, there was no embankment east 11 457? 12 No subsurface excavation in the Greenwood Α. 13 Avenue. 14 Okay. If you could in turn to 21A-72. Q. 15 21? Okay. Α. 16 Q. What is shown on this page? 17 The pictures in the binders are upside Α. down. 18 19 Q. 21A-72. 20 Α. 72? 21 Q. Yes, 72. 22 MS. BRICE: We don't have that. 23 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 24 As you said, it's upside down? Q.

Page 49 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. In looking at this document, the exhibit 3 number should be on the top left. 4 Α. Yes. 5 So, what does this page show? Q. 6 It is the cross-section for the Greenwood Α. 7 Avenue, as in the figure that was being used showing the existing grade and future grade 45 Greenwood 8 Avenue that provides information regarding the 9 geology, what potential unsuitable material may be 10 11 lying beneath Greenwood Avenue. That figure was 12 just for the contractors information. 13 The cross-sections --14 I'm sorry, what document is just for the 0. 15 contractor's information? Is that 21A-24 that says, 16 "For information only"; is that what you're 17 referring to? 21A26. 18 Α. 19 Q. 26. Where it says, "For information 20 only"? For information only. It gives the 21 existing grade, future grade 45 both Greenwood 22 23 Avenue and Sands Street.

Okay. So, you are back to page 72, right?

24

Q.

Page 50

A. 72. This provides the contractor exactly what he needs to remove. As I stated earlier, construction for the embankment does not start until 7 plus 60, and you can see at the bottom, there's a circle on the right that says "7 plus 60." That is the beginning 45 excavation.

If excavation started at 7 plus 00, or 6 plus 00, there would be cross-sections showing those locations because those cross-sections go in every hundred-foot intervals, unless there's something in between that 100 foot that the contractor needs to be aware of.

- Q. Such as this at 7.60, not 7.0?
- A. Right, because there was nothing to occur at 7.0. It's the beginning of the excavation. And in here, it says that there was 190 square yards 45 unsuitable material that needs to be the removed in this area.
- Q. Okay. And these are the construction plans for the embankment?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And there are no construction plans for answer embankment east 457 plus 60?
 - A. There is no surface excavation requirement

to the east 457 plus 60.

- Q. And this is for the Greenwood Avenue construction?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Again, just to make the record clear, 7.60 is approximately a little bit more than halfway between 7 and 8, which is approximately west 45 station -- of 4S that we referred to in this hearing; is that right?
- A. I believe so, but I would have to look.

 Yes, I would say that's a good figure showing the

 area 7 plus 60 would be slightly west 454S.
- Q. Correct. Mr. Gobelman, in your experience in your work, and your work experience, have you ever been involved with submitting plans to the USEPA?
- A. I submitted work plans and final reports to USEPA regarding ECB cleanups.
- Q. In your experience, does USEPA independently verify the accuracy of any maps provided in such reports?
 - A. I have not found that they did.
- Q. They approve the report, or don't approve the report wit comments, et cetera, but they don't

Page 52 specifically verify the accuracy of a map, in your 1 2. experience? 3 I haven't found them to do that. Α. 4 Do they typically, in responding to a Q. 5 report, specifically approve every map and verify 6 every map within a report? 7 Α. They approve the report, and what's in it. Okay. But they don't specifically verify 8 Q. 9 of the accuracy of every map contained in the 10 report? 11 Α. They don't spell it out. 12 Mr. Gobelman, for this second hearing Q. 13 round, you created a base map? Α. Yes. 14 15 And you did not use the map that you used 0. 16 in the first hearing round, Exhibit 2452, if you look at it? 17 18 Α. Correct. 19 So, what was your goal in creating a bass 0. 20 base map for this second hearing round? Was it to show the utility distribution? 21 It was to come up with a map that I could, 22 Α. as accurately as possible, to assess IDOT 23 24 allocation, in regards to how the Board rules, and I

Page 53 1 needed something that was as accurate as possible. 2 That wasn't just a pdf that was just 3 scanned into an attachment file. 4 If you could turn to Exhibit 204, and, 0. 5 Mr. Dorgan's Figure 1, 204-2A, the utility information there is a lot 45 information on this, 6 7 and it's not -- am I correct in assuming it's stating that you wanted to create a number of 8 9 figures to show each utility, rather than doubling it altogether in one figure? 10 11 MS. BRICE: Ellen, just for clarification where 12 are you? 13 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm sorry. Yes, please stop 204-3A. 14 me. 15 MS. BRICE: Okay. Thank you. 16 THE WITNESS: Early in the process my thought was to simplify the viewing 45 figures and try to, 17 18 in essence, create a map for each utility that is 19 being described, so it doesn't get lost in the 20 number of other utilities that are marked on the 21 map. During Johns Manville's cross-examination, 22 23 I just want to clarify a point regarding the 24 Waukegan water line.

	Page 54
1	
2	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
3	Q. Did the location of the Waukegan water
4	line change in your figures from your report for the
5	second round 45 hearings to your supplemental
6	report? Did the Waukegan line water change?
7	A. The first hearing
8	Q. I'm sorry, yes. Let me ask it again.
9	So, for this second hearing round, you
10	have a report and a supplemental?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Okay. Does the location of the Waukegan
13	water line change from your report to your
14	supplemental report? Just a second here.
15	A. Its location moved. Its location moved in
16	the same layout 45 this location within 0393.
17	Q. What pages are you looking at?
18	A. I'm lock being at 205-24 and 207-15.
19	Q. Now, in your report, in your supplemental
20	report?
21	A. Correct.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 205 what, Mr.
23	Gobelman?
24	THE WITNESS: 205-24.

	Page 55
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay, 16.
2	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
3	Q. Okay. So that the actual location of the
4	Waukegan water line changed, or is it just in
5	relation to all the other things you depict?
6	A. How it plays into 0393 doesn't change.
7	It's just that when the north edge where things were
8	tied into the northern edge, when they dropped ten
9	feet back down to be where the right-of-way actually
10	is, the entire water line drops that ten feet as
11	well, but it's still within the same it still
12	lays in 0393 the same.
13	Q. Right, and then from the first hearing
14	round, the Waukegan water line we talked about
15	this on direct.
16	The Waukegan water line was bound to be
17	further north than the record reflected in the first
18	hearing round; is that accurate?
19	I just want to clarify the Waukegan line
20	didn't change in the second hearing round, it
21	changed from the first to the second?
22	MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
23	MS. BRICE: Objection to the form of the
24	question, vague.

Page 56 THE WITNESS: Yes, could you rephrase that, Ms. 1 2 O'Laughlin? 3 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. I agree. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 4 5 So, you corrected the location of the 6 Waukegan water line from the first hearing -- from 7 where it was depicted in the first round of hearings, to this round 45 hearing, the second one, 8 if you recall? 9 During the remediation, it was found that 10 11 the water line was not located where they originally 12 thought it was located. The water line had to be 13 adjusted. The location, in essence, it's adjusted 50 feet to the north. 14 15 I just wanted the record to be clear 16 regarding this. Thank you for that clarification. For that purpose, your bibliography cites 17 a work plan; is that correct? In your bibliography, 18 19 you found in Exhibit 205-36? 20 Site 3, 4, 5 and 6, Johns Mansville site Α. work Waukegan, Illinois, March 31, 2014. 21 22 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin, is that 205-36. 23 24 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes.

	Page 57
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't see that
2	happen. I'm looking at the book she gave me. It
3	jumps from 32 to 42. No, 33 to 43.
4	(Discussion off the record.)
5	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
6	Q. And what was this plan? What was the
7	removal action of the plan?
8	A. It was the work plan that was going to be
9	used for remediation at those various sites.
10	Q. Is it a reliable plan?
11	A. I would take it as a reliable plan.
12	Q. As far as you know, did AE Con that did
13	the remediation, rely on the work plan?
14	A. They relied on the work plan, they took
15	the work plan.
16	Q. And you used this work plan as support for
17	your expert report?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Mr. Gobelman, I want to ask you about this
20	concept 45 the next clay boring going all the way to
21	halfway to the next clean boring. Okay?
22	Johns Mansville asked you questions about
23	that. And I think that this is a figure which will
24	explain what you did.

Just tell me first if before -- it's Figure 8. I'm just asking if you if this is the right figure.

Does the figure -- would this be able to explain what you did, in terms 45 boring halfway to the next big boring?

- A. I think., we'll figure it out.
- Q. Well, you know what, I'll show that.

You testified earlier that in some 45 your calculations, you did halfway you to the next clean boring within site -- the next clean boring within site 0393, and the boring locations referenced by the Board; is that correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And why did you go to halfway to the next cleaning Board in that context?
- A. In that context, it was -- the Board's ruling only gave the boring locations, and then I applied what this sort 45 staggered acceptable practice, in this process 45 going halfway between boring as the extent of examination associated with that boring, as it relates to another boring.
- Q. Okay. Johns Manville asked you about the concept for a remediation plan, for a remediation

Page 59 project, that you have to remediate to the next 1 2. clean boring. Do you recall that? 3 MS. BRICE: Objection, mischaracterizes his 4 testimony. 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you rephrase 6 that, please? 7 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 8 Q. Sure. Let's go to Exhibit 120-2. could turn to 120-3? 9 10 Α. Okay. 11 0. And what is the document in 120? 12 It's a letter from USEPA to LRF, stating Α. 13 that they reviewed the engineering evaluation and cost analysis report that was dated -- Revision 1 14 15 dated February 6th, 2019. 16 Q. Okay. And turning to paragraph 8 on 120-3, if you can go to that same sentence that 17 18 begins "The current sampling results that are to be 19 used. 20 "The current sampling results are to be Α. used to determine the status of ACM that needs to be 21

addressed in those reports, that it is recommended

that the area containing ACM should be depicted as

22

23

24

follows."

	Page 60
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin, I'm
2	not sure I have your exhibit book here, Exhibit 120.
3	I don't see I got 120-3 you said 1, 2, 3?
4	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 120-3, yes.
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And what date letter
6	we're looking at from USEPA?
7	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: The beginning of the document
8	is dated February 3rd, 2010.
9	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
10	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: This is a document that
11	Ms. Brice asked Mr. Gobelman about.
12	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I just wasn't
13	finding it. Now Mr. Gobelman is reading paragraph D
14	on Exhibit 120-3.
15	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Paragraph 9 regarding Figure
16	8.
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. You may
18	proceed.
19	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
20	Q. So, Mr. Gobelman, these are USEPA comments
21	to the remediation necessary at Johns Manville's
22	facility in Waukegan; is that right?
23	A. Yes.
24	O. Explain the concept of you have to mediate

until y	ou	find	a	playing	forum?
---------	----	------	---	---------	--------

A. In this case, USEPA wanted all of the asbestos removed within -- you know, in those set corridors in those cases in this area to be removed.

To do so, you've to go to the next planned boring to let you know that you got all what's in between the two borings.

Q. Right. And in your process to determine IDOT liability, that's a whole different consideration?

It's like comparing apples to oranges, because you just extracted the certain area, not remediation to the next boring? I just want to make it clear.

- A. I don't believe the Board's ruling specified. They only specified borings that were reliable, not how that's to be interpreted.
- Q. Irrespective 45 whether it was clean or not for remediation, it's the location of the borings, not the concept of the remediation to the next clean boring?
- A. The Board didn't make any determination in regards to that.
 - Q. So much has been made you spent a lot 45

time in this hearing discussing your math, a lot 45 witnesses, a lot 45 effort regarding your base map.

And if you could turn to page -- the figure in your report that shows the different calculation lines in the site map. I believe that's 205-43.

A. Okay.

Q. And what is this figure, Exhibit 2, 205-43. I'm sorry, I take that back -- strike that. I was in the wrong place.

In Exhibit 207 -- I apologize, 207-29. What is this Exhibit 207-29 from your supplemental report?

- A. It shows the layout 45 the various Site 3 locations, one of them being how the final report from CQM for site 3 laid out, lays in, and the Atwell survey that was provided in Mr. Dorgan's report, and then the layout of what Mr. Dorgan used in his expert witness report Figure 1; and then lays in what, in essence, is the new site base map that I used in the supplemental.
- Q. Okay. And those are all shown by these all different colored lines surrounding site 3?
 - A. Correct.

Page 63 And yours is the dotted line? 1 Q. 2 Α. The black dotted line, yes. 3 Q. Okay. So, what is the economic impact 45 4 these different site boundaries for purposes 45 5 They have no economic impact? 6 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you rephrase 7 that? 8 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Sure. 9 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 10 11 0. The parties here have been discussing how much, per the Board's order, state responsibility 12 13 you believe IDOT should -- what damages IDOT should be allocated. 14 15 Is that a fair summary? 16 Α. I believe that is what this is all about. And in terms 45 figuring out the dollars 17 ο. that IDOT should be allocated, following the Board's 18 19 interim order, what difference does the different 20 site 3 boundaries, that are depicted in this 207-9 21 map? MS. BRICE: Now, objection, this is would be a 22 23 new opinion?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What kind 45

24

Page 64 1 opinion? 2. MS. BRICE: This would be a new opinion that 3 has not been discussed in this Board hearing about 4 comparing economic impacts of the boundaries. 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think he can 6 answer. Thank you. 7 THE WITNESS: In the approach that I took, I believe it would change the percentages marginally. 8 You know, obviously those changes in those buckets 9 would affect the other big items later on how they 10 11 are adjusted. 12 Depending on which one you use, the 13 percentages could go off or could go down. I don't know. 14 15 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 16 Q. Okay. So, you were asked about whether the Board found any other attorney liable, other 17 than IDOT? 18 19 Α. Correct. 20 Did the Board consider culpability 45 any 0. other party or entity in the action? 21 22 No, if I don't believe so. It just an Α. 23 action against IDOT. 24 And the Administrative Order on Consent is Q.

Page 65 1 what Johns Mansville and Commonwealth Edison; is 2. that correct? 3 I believe so. Α. Did Board consider the source of this 4 0. 5 esbestos-containing materials? 6 MS. BRICE: Objection, your Honor. This is 7 outside of the scope 45 this witness. THE WITNESS: You opened the door, Ms. Brice. 8 So, I can allow a little latitude about third-party 9 I can read the deposition, I think. 10 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may proceed, but 12 very limited. 13 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, 14 please? 15 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 16 Q. Did the Board the source of this 17 asbestos-containing material? 18 I believe they did. Α. 19 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can I take just a minute? 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: One minute. We're off the record. 21 22 (Recess taken.) 23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back on the 24 record., ma'am. Thank you.

	Page 66
1	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We have nothing further at
2	this time.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay, 16 Ms. Brice,
4	are you going to need a minute before your recross
5	or are you ready to go?
6	MS. BRICE: I can go.
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're taking a
8	minute off the record, please.
9	(Recess taken.)
10	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back on the
11	record. Ms. Brice, you nay proceed.
12	MS. BRICE: Yes, thank you. Is the court
13	reporter ready?
14	RECROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. BRICE:
16	Q. MR. Gobelman, you testified on redirect
17	about Exhibit 203, which is the Board's order. I
18	believe you recall that?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. There were some questions about whether
21	Exhibit 57 was mentioned in that order?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. But the order did not mention
24	57-565, which is also 205-45, which is the map you

	Page 67
1	used to locate your Site 3 borings, does it?
2	A. I would have to go back and look.
3	Q. Okay. The record will reflect what the
4	Board Order says?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. You don't know; is that a fair
7	characterization?
8	A. I don't remember right now what the entire
9	language said.
10	Q. You were asked some questions about this
11	figure over here, Exhibit 204-41A.
12	Do you recall that?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And we talked yesterday a bit about how
15	there's 2 and then there's this figure here, 204-40.
16	Do you remember this?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. This one, 204-40 so, there were
19	at least two sort 45 construction projects happening
20	right around the same time, right?
21	You had detour road A happening before you
22	could do the embankments, right? So you had two
23	construction projects going on; is that right?
24	A. Yes, that was discussed in the first

Page 68 hearing there was a sequence events that had occur 1 before the embankment could be built. 2. 3 Q. Okay. But they both were happening right 4 around the same time, correct? 5 They were both were discussed in the Atwell plans, correct? 6 7 Α. They were both in the plans that the 8 contractor bid on, yes. 9 Right. Which is 21A, correct? 0. 10 Α. Correct. 11 Okay. And as we discussed yesterday, the 0. detour road A comes into -- and I believe you said 12 abuts Greenwood Avenue here at Station 14 and 13 Station 1545 Detour Road A, correct, which is in 14 15 station 15 plus 50? 16 If you go down below it you, have 7S, which is the 7S location for the soil boring on 17 18 Greenwood, correct? 19 Α. I believe that's how you have it depicted. 20 That's what's on here, correct? 0. 21 Α. Yes. Okay. I would like to go back to 204-41A, 22 0. 23 and you had some testimony about how the Greenwood 24 Avenue embankment construction only went to 7.60,

Page 69 1 correct? 2 Α. It starts at 7 plus 60. 3 0. Yes. And then goes west? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Yes. Okay. But, again, the detour road A Q. comes in here east 457.60, does it not, and abuts 6 7 Greenwood Avenue east 457.60 around -- it looks like 8 station 7, 6 and 5.50 on this video. 9 Do you see that? Those are Greenwood Avenue stations? 10 11 Α. Correct. Now, you said that these were Atwell's 12 Q. 13 plans, correct. These figures are based off 45, 14 correct, 21A-26, correct? 15 Plans that were built were converted to Α. 16 what was considered the as-builts. 17 Q. Okay. And if there was something changed in what happened from the proposal of the project to 18 19 the end of the project, that should be denoted on 20 the as-built plans? MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, relevance. 21 This goes beyond the parameters 45 this second round 45 22 hearing. 23 24 We have rehashed this argument throughout

the first round 45 hearing, and this is a very specific area, so I object on the basis that it's irrelevant to this second round 45 hearings.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled. You may answer, if you are able.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that one, please?

BY MS. BRICE:

- Q. Yes. When you are dealing with at as-built plans, once the as-built plans are the done, if there has been a change in the construction from the original proposed plans, those as-built plans should have that marked on them, if there was a change; isn't that correct?
- A. Yes, there were changes, yes, they would have been so marked.
- Q. Okay. And take a lock here at 21A, 26A-1, and does this document -- which is also 21A. 26 was just to clarify -- denote any changes with respect to that profile on Greenwood Avenue?
- A. In regards for a document that is only going to be utilized for information, that only reflects the work proposed for Greenwood Avenue, there appears to be no exchanges to the more-information-only document.

Page 71 1 It's a permission only document that is an 2 as-built plan? This is what happened -- this is 3 depicting what was done; is that not the case? 4 Α. In this, it does not depict what was done. 5 It was not depicted what was done? Q. 6 Α. No. 7 Q. But it is an as-built plan? 8 Α. Yes. 9 You are saying as-built plans do not 0. depict what is done? 10 11 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, it mischaracterizes 12 his testimony. 13 MS. BRICE: He answered the question. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you want to 14 15 rephrase that? 16 MS. BRICE: I'm just saying is he saying --17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I heard you. You can ask him one more time. 18 BY MS. BRICE: 19 20 Are you saying as-built plans should 0. depict the work that was actually done? 21 22 The as-built plans depicts the work that Α. This figure has nothing to do with what is 23 is done. 24 being proposed to be done.

	Page 72
1	Q. But it is in the as-built plans, correct?
2	A. Correct. It's important information, and
3	the contractor received that information; and it
4	would stay in the as-built plans, because it's part
5	of the record that he received the information to be
6	utilized.
7	Q. Okay. Were you involved in Greenwood
8	Avenue project?
9	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, relevance.
10	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may answer, if
11	you're able.
12	THE WITNESS: I wasn't there in 1971, but if it
13	involved with my years 22 years with IDOT dealing
14	with construction plans.
15	BY MS. BRICE:
16	Q. You weren't working for IDOT in 1971, were
17	you?
18	A. No.
19	Q. So, you didn't know exactly what was in
20	the as-built plans, do you?
21	A. Exactly how it's supposed to be
22	interpreted
23	O No I'm talking about you do not know

about this document, because you were not involved

24

Page 73 1 in this project; isn't that correct? 2. Α. In 1970, no, I was not. MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, badgering the 3 4 witnesses. 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I agree. Sustained. 6 Thanks for standing up for him. 7 BY MS. BRICE: Looking at 0441A, 7S, and it talks about 8 Q. black fill; is that right? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. And these were based upon boring logs; 12 isn't that right? 13 Yes, they were based upon borings and Α. relationship. 14 15 They show what you expected to see when 16 you drill down, right? 17 Yes, they give you an idea of what to when Α. you expected the encounter, yes. 18 19 0. These boring logs were done in 1969, 1970, 20 somewhere around there, correct? It varies, because a lot 45 times -- a lot 21 Α. 45 work was done in that area, usually they'll take 22 23 both. Just technical borings they only spot check 24 to make sure the conditions haven't changed.

Page 74 1 It's generally around the same time as the 2 Atwell drawings are put together, or as the proposed 3 drawings are put together? 4 Α. No. 5 Q. It's not? So, it could be from 50 years 6 before for the borings? 7 Α. If they had one. But, I mean, it's put 8 together years prior to as part of the development of the plans. 9 There is information that is provided as 10 11 to how long it took IDOT to put the set plans 12 together. 13 Q. Okay. But you don't know when these geotechnical borings were taken; is that accurate? 14 15 I believe there was some information in 16 the construction plans that give salt borings 17 locations of where some of the geotechnical information was provided, just technical stuff. 18 19 0. Did they say when they were taken, 20 generally? In those, it would have probably had a 21 Α. 22 date when they were done. 23 Do you recall when they were? 0.

No, I don't, off the top of my head.

24

Α.

- Q. Would with those found in 21A-26.
- A. I believe they should be in the as-built plans because they were part 45 it.
- Q. I just want to note, for the record, here under 7S, 6S, 5S and 4S, nowhere below here do you see anything that denotes asbestos being located there, do you?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, lack of foundation.

It was never established that asbestos was being tested for. There's nothing that shows that there's anything there.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can bring that up on your re-redirect. He can answer, if he's able. Thank you.

BY MS. BRICE:

- Q. Were brace used for other miscellaneous debris?
- A. The purpose of the geotechnical boring is to come up with what the geology is and the strength of the different soils that show whether or not an embankment can be placed on it or not.
- Q. Okay. But how do you see geotechnical soil borings that talk about debris or other things being found within the soil boring that is being

detected,	that's	being	analyzed?
-----------	--------	-------	-----------

A. It would only be noted if it would cause a problem in the engineering utilization 45 that material, whether it had voids in it that would have to be removed because of the technical borings are always put for bridge abutments and embankments.

Whether or not debris or material that is found in the borings is going to cause a problem with settlement, in regards to the road, it's just a scattered material of things that they would not note.

Q. Okay. I move to strike as non-responsive. I'll move along.

Just a question: Is there anything noted that says there's debris or asbestos-containing material, or anything other than black cinder fill and peat?

- A. There's nothing depicted.
- Q. There was a recent question about accuracy 45 maps with the EPA, looking at map accuracy.

I just -- you know, or looks at the map in general, with respect to reviewing documents submitted to them. Do you remember that?

A. There were questions regarding the review

the maps.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

Q. Okay. I would just like to pull up on the Board Exhibit 120. If you could just turn to 120-3. This is the document that is from USEPA to Bill Bell providing comments on the eco.

I juts want to point out they are making comments on Figure 8. Keep going down. On 10. They are making comments on Figure 8. Going down, on 11. They are making comments on Figure 9A. 12 they are making comments on Exhibit 13. 13 they are making comment on Figure 14. 14 they are making comments on Figure 15.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Thank you.
- MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. Is there a question with regard to this?
- 18 MS. BRICE: I asked him if he saw it.
- 19 BY MS. BRICE:
 - Q. I would like to ask you also about

 Exhibit 207-29 that you were asked questions about.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. This is a map from your second expert report, correct?

Page 78 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. And this map is only comparing Site 3 3 boundaries between different maps, correct? 4 Α. Correct. 5 It's not comparing Site 3 boring Q. 6 locations, or the location of the northeast 7 excavation, or the location of the North Shore gas lines, as depicted in the various maps; is that 8 right? 9 10 Α. Correct. 11 MS. BRICE: No further questions. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin? 13 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 14 15 Mr. Gobelman, just a few questions. 0. 16 the Board find any liability to IDOT in connection with road A? 17 18 Α. No. 19 0. And that was looking at 24-40. That was 20 part 451JMs theory -- that was included in JM's theory in the first round 45 hearings; is that 21 22 right? 23 That's correct. Α. 24 And that argued IDOT should be responsible Q.

Page 79 for ACM contamination throughout site 3 because of 1 2 the building of detour road A? 3 Α. Correct. And the Board did not find any liability 4 Ο. 5 for IDOT, in connection with the building of detour 6 road A on Site 3? 7 In my opinion, they did not. Α. And in site 6, is that also the case, no 8 0. liability to IDOT for detour road A that falls 9 within site 6? 10 11 They did not include those borings. 12 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: That's all that I have. 13 FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. BRICE: 14 15 One question. And the Board's ruling on 0. 16 the discussion 45 detour road A, did they look at Exhibit 21A-26 at all? 17 18 I would have to refresh my memory on that. Α. I don't remember. 19 20 Okay. In 21A-26 is the foundation for 0. what is going on along Greenwood Avenue; is that not 21 22 the case? 23 Say that again? Α. 24 21A-26 is the document that was used to Q.

	Page 80
1	generate this figure go to show the cross-section 45
2	Greenwood Avenue, correct?
3	A. As far as Greenwood Avenue, in relation to
4	the building of the embankment, yes.
5	Q. And also in relation to anything that
6	needed to be done along Greenwood Avenue?
7	A. No, you are incorrect.
8	Q. Okay. Well, that's as your
9	interpretation. The record will reflect
10	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection argumentative?
11	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained,
12	Mr. Brice.
13	MS. BRICE: That's it.
14	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. You may
15	step down, Mr. Gobelman.
16	Ms. O'Laughlin, does IDOT rest their case
17	in chief?
18	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes.
19	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Then we'll have
20	rebuttal. I think we'll take a lunch, a 60-minute
21	lunch. We'll be back at what, 12:40-ish shall.
22	Pam, we are off the record. See you in about an
23	hour.
24	(Recess taken.)

	Page 81
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We are going back on
2	the record. We're in the rebuttal phase of the
3	hearing.
4	Ms. Brice, for Johns Manville, will be
5	directing Mr. Dorgan, the expert. You may proceed.
6	DIRECT REBUTTAL EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. BRICE:
8	Q. Mr. Dorgan, could you please state your
9	name for the record again?
10	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know what, let's
11	just have Pam swear him in.
12	MS. BRICE: I'm sorry.
13	sss
14	DOUGLAS DORGAN, JR.
15	was adduced as the witness herein; after having been first
16	duly sworn, testified as follows:
17	
18	DIRECT REBUTTAL EXAMINATION
19	
20	BY MS. BRICE:
21	Q. Mr. Dorgan, good afternoon. Could you
22	please turn to we're going to need the Gobelman
23	binder for this, Exhibit 206, please.
24	Do you have the Gobelman binder in front

		I	Page	82
1	45 you?			
2	Α.	Yes.		
3	Q.	Are you there?		
4	Α.	Yes, I am.		
5	Q.	Could you identify this document for me?		
6	Α.	This is the my expert rebuttal report 45		
7	Douglas D	. Dorgan, Jr., on damages attribute to		
8	IDOT, dat	ed October 25th, 2018.		
9	Q.	And you wrote this report in response to		
10	what?			
11	Α.	Mr. Gobelman's rebuttal report.		
12	Q.	His initial rebuttal report?		
13	Α.	That's correct.		
14	Q.	If you could then also turn to 208, which	L	
15	I also be	lief is in the Gobelman binder, and if you	L	
16	could ide	ntify this document for me?		
17	Α.	This is the expert rebuttal supplemental		
18	report for	r Douglas G. Dorgan, Jr., on damages		
19	attributa	ble to IDOT and dated April 30th, 2019.		
20	Q.	And what did you draft this document in		
21	response	to?		
22	Α.	This was in response to the supplemental		
23	report th	at Mr. Gobelman prepared.		
24	Q.	Okay. Did you reach your opinions in thi	.s	

Page 83 1 case to a reasonable degree 45 scientific surgery? 2 Α. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Is that true with respect to both these 4 reports and your testimony? 5 Α. That's correct. If you'll go back to 206, please, to your 6 7 first rebuttal report. I would like to go to page 8 264, please. Let me know when you are there. I'm there. 9 Α. You stated here under 2.1, if you go down 10 0. 11 under three paragraphs, you say, "Mr. Gobelman does 12 not have a consistent methodology for attributing 13 being costs." 14 Is that true with respect to both 45 his 15 reports? 16 Α. Yes. 17 0. Can you explain what you mean by this? 18 He just used different forms 45 Α. 19 measurement for different gas buckets as he was 20 doing his attributions. Can you give us examples? 21 Q. 22 Α. The two I provided in the report. In one instance, he used linear feet for certain of utility 23 24 costs and the ACM soil removal cost. The

Page 84 1 alternative used square footage when he was doing his attributions for the northeast excavation. 2. 3 Q. Have you seen a similar methodology in a cost allocation context? 4 5 Not necessary. Α. You say on the bottom 45206-4 that his 6 0. 7 report fails to consider why certain cleanup activities were required, and how the scope of the 8 cleanup was drive by site conditions, and were 9 visible where ACM was observed. 10 11 Do you see that? Α. I do. 12 13 Can you explain to me what you mean by Q. this? 14 15 Here, again, it was the attribution was Α. 16 primarily focused on a limited geography. It just 17 didn't consider fact that certain cleanup that was 18 occurring on the site was being driven by the 19 conditions that were encountered at certain 20 locations. And were they just being driven by boring 21 Q.

- locations where IDOT found liable in some instances?
- A. Yes, they were.

22

23

24

Q. Can you given me some examples?

Page 85 Yes, the borings, for instance, that were 1 2 identified on the eastern side of parcel 0393. 3 Q. Okay. And how was that driving? 4 An example would be the North Shore GASK Α. line in the main corridor that had to be created. 5 6 Can you elaborate? 0. 7 Α. Yes. So, the presence of the asbestos that was in the borings in the North Shore GASK line 8 ON parcel 0393 drove the need for the creation of a 9 clean corridor across all of site 3. 10 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you speak a 12 little louder? 13 MS. BRICE: Did you hear that? 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, I heard that. 15 Thank you. 16 BY MS. BRICE: 17 If you could please to turn to 206-5. 0. 18 here in the second paragraph, you say that 19 "Mr. Gobelman report" -- I'm sorry, "The Gobelman report also too narrowly limits IDOT's area of 20 liability to the immediately around soil borings 21 specifically identified by the Board in the order." 22 23 What to you mean by this?

Well, as we heard testimony earlier, Mr.

24

Α.

Page 86 1 Gobelman's approach was to look at the specific 2 borings that IDOT was found to be responsible for, 3 and he defined his geography based upon those 4 specific locations, rather than considering all of the work that occurred because of the conditions of 5 6 those specific locations. 7 And did he consider what was underneath 0. those borings, in coming up with his calculations? 8 How much 45 an area of contamination was 9 underneath the boring? 10 11 Α. Not necessarily. Did you hear him testify that he did not 12 Q. do that? 13 Yes, I did. 14 Α. 15 If you could turn it 206-9. You say under 0. here under 2.3, "Mr. Gobelman fails to consider that 16 17 a soil boring, typically not more than two inches in 18 diameter, is intended to be representative of a 19 after larger area." 20 Can you explain what you mean by this, and how that impacted Mr. Gobelman's report? 21 So, the way in which USEPA required the 22 Α.

work we performed, there were individual sample

locations, and a sample is this just that. It is a

23

Page 87

representative sample 45 a geographical area.

And then based upon that condition in that sample, they would apply that to their entire grid, in this particular case, in many instances.

Whereas, Mr. Gobelman arced out the area represented by the sample, only to align with those very specific boring locations on parceled 0393.

Q. Okay. And here on 2069, you talk about Mr. Gobelman and using inconsistent sources to create his base map and his figures, and then that is inappropriate.

Can you explain his opinion?

- A. I think Mr. Gobelman's base maps changed several times, and I don't think at any one point were they were accurate so that as he was making his changes, he was making changes to his attributions; however, I don't think those were accurate because the base maps that he was using were inaccurate.
- Q. How does the use of inconsistent sources, to come with a base map, render a base map improper or inaccurate, in your mind?
- A. It can just lead to the inconsistencies of the lack of a good base that's representative of the actual site conditions.

I'm just going to reference what we talked 1 2 about a lot. 207 is Mr. Gobelman's supplemental 3 report, correct? 4 I believe that's right. Α. 5 Okay, and on 207-13, I'll respect to you Q. is his base map, and then he has a number of figures 6 7 that follow that. If you would like it take a look at 207, 8 this should be in your book, 207-13 and the figures 9 that follow, I just want to ask you if you think 10 11 these are accurate? 12 No, I don't. Α. 13 Okay. You have the same opinion, with Q. 14 respect to the figures that were contained in 205, 15 that started with face map 205-22 and the figures 16 that followed? 17 Α. Yes, that's correct. And are Mr. Gobelman's figures and maps 18 0. 19 different from the USEPA approved AE Con maps? 20 Α. Yes. Are they different from the maps submitted 21 Q. and relied upon by the Board in the first hearing? 22

I would like you to turn to 208, please,

23

24

Α.

Q.

Yes.

Page 89 1 and I'm looking at 208-9 and 208-11, and I have boards here 45208-9 and 208-11. 2. 3 Α. 208-9 is a property boundary layout where 4 I took the original mapping that we had performed, 5 compared that with the mapping that Mr. Gobelman 6 presented in his two rebuttal reports. 7 Okay. And 208-11, Mr. Dorgan, is that a 0. blowup of the northeast section 45208-9? 8 9 Α. That's correct. Okay. And what is denoted in yellow? 10 0. 11 Α. The lines in yellow are basically the 12 ledge that AE Con Consultan Group agreed on? 13 Q. Those were based upon what information? They were based on the information AE Con 14 Α. 15 had been submitting for their figures for their 16 these documents, including their final report. 17 The AutoCAD materials? 0. Α. That's correct. 18 19 And when you first began working on this 0. 20 matter, was Ms. Dutton your cad person? No, she was not. 21 Α. She took over for someone else? 22 Q. 23 That's correct. Α. And started working on the figures from 24 Q.

	Page 90
1	the materials?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. Sorry, I just talked over you.
4	So, you said yellow is your drawings and
5	AE Com's drawings. What is red?
6	A. Red would be the boundary that
7	Mr. Gobelman represented on his first report.
8	Q. And what is blue?
9	A. The boundary that was used in his second
10	report.
11	Q. You have state claim coordinates on these
12	maps. Why are these important?
13	A. They provide locations of the various
14	features on the site.
15	Q. You have a couple 45 you have a
16	notation up here in the left, the excavation teste
17	samples, 1S to 8S, per AE Con, documented 7536.
18	I believe Ms. Dutton testified about this;
19	is that correct?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. Did you agree with Ms. Dutton's testimony
22	about that?
23	A. Yes, I do.
24	Q. For one second, I would like to turn to a

Page 91 1 document Ms. O'Laughlin referred to earlier, which 2 is a report from your first -- from one 45 your 3 expert reports from the first hearing. It's exhibit 06, and I would like you to 4 5 go to Figure -- it's 06-25. 6 Which binder would that be in? Α. 7 I'm not sure, but I can hand it to you. 0. I'm not sure it's in a binder because it 8 9 was just brought up last month. 10 MS. BRICE: May I approach? 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you may. 12 BY MS. BRICE: 13 MR. Dorgan, I'm handing you what is Q. Exhibit 0625. What is that document? 14 15 It's a site plan that shows various Α. 16 locations 45 the test kits and borings at the site. 17 Okay. And there's a -- here is something 0. of a legend, B3-XX, and there's is a sign. It says, 18 19 "AOM boring location 1999." 20 What were you intending to say to that, with respect to this map? 21 22 Differentiating which borings were Α.

Q. Did you use ELM Figure 15 in the EML

23

24

performed by ACM.

report	to	locate	those	borings?
--------	----	--------	-------	----------

A. No, I did not.

- Q. And how did you locate those borings?
- A. I used those based upon the AE Con drawing that was provided.
- Q. Thank you. If you turn back to 208-11, please, and it's comparisons between Northern AE Con's locations in yellow, and Mr. Gobelman's -- two locations in red and blue.

What does this document tell you about the location 45 Mr. Gobelman's site 6 borings in his reports, as compared to yours?

A. Well, what appears -- I think appears happened, in my belief, and Mr. Gobelman's testified to, when he corrected the location of the northern boundary 45 site 6, he fixed all the other locations to it.

So, I ended up moving these borings logs and also moved the features including the northeast excavation, which is specifically identified in this 208-11.

Q. With respect to the site 6 boring locations, are the blue and red dots in the same place as your yellow dots, in all instances?

Page 93 1 No, they are not. Α. 2 Q. How do they diverge, as you moved toward 3 the east? 4 His increasing are placed further west Α. 5 than the locations plotted on our drawing. 6 And what do these documents tell you about 7 the location of Mr. Gobelman's site 3 borings in his report as compared to yours in AE Con's? 8 .They are also not in the same location. 9 Α. Can you please describe that for me? 10 0. 11 So, when he changed his site 3 boundary with the northern boundary, and shifted everything 12 13 south, that shifted his borings from his original location approximately 10 feet south and also a 14 little bit east. 15 16 Q. Okay. So, his Site 3 borings in blue are 17 further east than your Site 3 borings in yellow, 18 correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 If you could go to 204-45, please? 0. 204-45 is not in bider. 21 Α. MS. BRICE: Drew, could you pull up 245 up on 22

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

the screen, please?

BY MS. BRICE:

23

Page 94 1 I'm sorry, I misspoke. Q. 205-45. I 2 apologize. 3 Mr. Dorgan, do you recognize this 4 document? 5 Α. Yes, I do. And is this the document Mr. Gobelman used 6 0. 7 to locate the Site 3 borings? 8 Α. I believe it is, yes. Would you use this document as a source 9 0. for a base map? 10 11 Α. No, I would not. Why not? 12 Q. It's referenced in this document as draft. 13 Α. Okay. Anything else? 14 Q. 15 It's not the final figure that was Α. There are later versions of this that 16 developed. 17 further clarify the precise locations with various site features. 18 19 0. Okay. Can you explain to me what you 20 mean? What do you think is wrong with this map? In my opinion, what we're seeing here is 21 Α. the northern boundary 45 site 3. 22 23 It's basically lining up with Greenwood Avenue, the actual pavement, edge of pavement. 24

And as we've seen in the later documents, that actual boundary has been shifted south, there's a reference on this -- it's very difficult to see.

Q. Can you pull that up, up to the top?

There you go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

- A. So, there's is a reference for an FIP, which would stand for a found iron pipe. It's like 3 boundary after the corrections appear to line up closely with that particular linear feature.
- Q. And what does found irone pipe signify to you?
- A. Usually that's a survey marker that's been put in ground to designate some sort 45 boundary.
- Q. Mr. Gobelman's plan used a hand scaling on this map to determine the location of site 3 borings. What is your reaction to that?
- MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, mischaracterizes
 Mr. Gobelman's testimony.
- HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: Sustained. It's not
 what I remember. You want to rephrase it?

 BY MS. BRICE:
 - Q. Mr. Gobelman claimed he did scaling off 45 this map to determine the location of the Site 3 borings.

What	is	your	reaction	it	that?
------	----	------	----------	----	-------

- A. It's a method that can be used, but I would prefer to use something that would provide more accurate measurements with the CAD drawings.
- Q. In your opinion, 205-45 accurately represent the locations of the Site 3 borings?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn to 208-4, please. Here you say, "In addition to incorrectly representing the locations of the boring on test pits, the supplemental report changes the location dimensions in the northeast excavation, as well the North Shore gas line in the City of Waukegan water line."

I can take you back her to 208-9, if you would. What does this map tell you about the location -- where Mr. Gobelman placed the location of the Waukegan water line?

- A. He shifted it from the disperse one, the red line, has it further north and then shifts it to the south, so that it's running roughly with the parcel 0393 boundary.
- Q. And is that a correct methodology with respect to a utility to move it on a map?
 - A. Again, I think what happened here was this

move is a boundary for Site 3. He just shifted everything with it, so it dropped that location 45 the Waukegan water line.

- Q. Right, but was this supposed to drop that location or was the fixed in space?
- A. No, the location shown in yellow is where it was actually located.
- Q. And what about the northeast excavation, what is your opinion about where Mr. Gobelman placed the northeast excavation on his maps, in your opinion?
- A. Similar to the Waukegan water line, it shifted, as it went from the first report to the second report. It also moved a little bit to the east.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You are fading off again, Mr. Gobelman.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, it shifted again to the south and to the east, as a result of the change.

BY MS. BRICE:

Q. Well, isn't it larger than it was in the first report? You can look at the red versus the blue.

Page 98

- A. I don't think it's larger in total area, but the area that is currently -- that's on site 3 is larger.
- Q. If you could go back to 206. I would like to go to page 10.

You say that you're talking about here parcel to 0393 correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. You say, "Mr. Gobelman broadly focuses on soil locations within parcel 0393 versus the entire parcel."

Why do you say that?

A. Again, because as I mentioned earlier, sample locations 45 representative of a grid area. And my opinion is that the red area, that work had to be done, because of the results of the boring from that sample.

And, by contrast, Mr. Gobelman just looked very narrowly at 0393 and did not consider the entire 5550 foot grid area.

- Q. With respect to 0393, do you believe the Board, based upon your interpretation, found that all 450393 was within IDOT's liability?
 - A. That was my interpretation.

Okay. And what led you to come to this 1 0. 2 conclusion. 3 Α. I believe the order recognized that IDOT was in control 45 all 45 parcel 0393. 4 5 And how did that impact their decision? Q. I'm sorry, I'm not sure I understand. 6 Α. 7 Sure. So, by controlling it -- I think Q. the order says that, and I could go back to it, but 8 "Continuing to control the portion of parcel 0393 9 following within Site 3, continues to allow ACM 10 11 placed in that soil." 12 What does that mean to you? 13 Α. That means that they remain responsible for it. 14 15 Okay. How did Mr. Gobelman's failure to 0. include 0393 as part 45 IDOT's Site 3 area of 16 17 liability impact his attributions? It limited it for certain gas buckets. 18 Α. 19 0. On 206-12, you discussed Mr. Gobelman 20 failed to rebut your points concerning IDOT area 45 liability for Site 6. Do you see that? 21 That's under 2.5? 22 Α. 23 It's 206-12. Can you elaborate on your 0.

view as to his failings as to the Greenwood Avenue

construction	considerations?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- A. Yes. So, in the way that I looked at it, I considered the conditions that were specifically identified in the IDOT borings; and then what that role IS, in terms of the rest of the work on Site 6, and also consider the plans that we've looked previously, regarding the Greenwood Avenue construction project.
- Q. Can you briefly describe what was going on at the intersection 45 D4 road A and Greenwood Avenue, with respect to the IDOT work in and around that 270?
- MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Ms. Brice, what figure are you looking at?
- 15 MS. BRICE: 204-40.
- THE WITNESS: So, the Greenwood Avenue was being reconstructed and an embankment was being built.
- Detour Road A was coming into Greenwood

 Avenue. It provides bypass for traffic during
- 21 construction.
- 22 BY MS. BRICE:
- Q. And is that area that you're pointing to here?

	Page 101
1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. This is Greenwood Avenue here labeled, and
3	then the detour is gray, in and around 5S, 6S, 7S;
4	is that right?
5	A. That's right. And there's an area where
6	Detour Road A crossed into the Greenwood Avenue
7	right-45-way.
8	Q. Okay. So, what plans do you need to look
9	at, in order to understand what's going on at this
10	on interaction between Detour Road A and Greenwood
11	Avenue?
12	A. It's the Greenwood Avenue cross-sections.
13	Q. And did the Board, in your opinion, or
14	from your recollection, look at 21A-26, when it made
15	its decision, with respect to Detour Road A in the
16	opinion?
17	A. I believe that's the correct reference,
18	and it's the Greenwood Avenue is what was considered
19	by the Board.
20	Q. Right. But did the Board look at 21A-26,
21	when it was rendering its decision on the East Shore
22	Road A, or did it look at 21A-23, which is the

If you don't know, that's fine.

Detour Road A cross-section?

23

Page 102 I don't believe they ended up considering 1 2 the detour Road A cross-section. Objection, it's speculative. 3 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll allow it. 5 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: The record will reflect what 6 the Board Order says. 7 BY MS. BRICE: Why is it important to consider, with 8 Q. respect to this area wherein Detour Road A and 9 Greenwood Avenue be assessed, why is it important to 10 11 look at 21A and 26, which is the cross-section for 12 that area? 13 It shows if the work completed by IDOT, as Α. far as that construction effort. 14 15 Okay. We've looked at quite a bit and 0. 16 21A26 and 21A-26A, and this figure of yours, which you drew on, which is 204-41A, correct? 17 18 Α. That's correct. 19 0. Okay. And down here we have on the bottom is what is shown, including 21A-26, as to what is 20 occurring on the Greenwood Avenue cross-section; is 21 that correct? 22 23 Correct. Α.

24

Q.

Okay. Mr. Gobelman said something about

Page 103 1 this being inferred. 2 What is your reaction to that? 3 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, vague. 4 HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: Rephrase, please. 5 BY MS. BRICE: Mr. Gobelman talked about the point 45 6 0. 7 this document 21A, 26B inferred. Do you know what he means by that? 8 9 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll allow it. 10 11 THE WITNESS: I believe I do not. I assumed 12 that he's speaking to the cross-section conditions 13 that are reflected in gas 7S where there's no 8S as a boring location. 14 15 So, once it passes 7S, it becomes 16 inferred, unless you could have the additional 17 boring log. BY MS. BRICE: 18 19 Q. Okay. Is it inferred at to 7S? 20 Α. No. And in order to create your figure here, 21 Q. 204-41A, you used 21 and 26 that we talked about, 22 which are as-built plans; is that right? 23 24 Α. That's correct.

	- 0.50 - 0.5
1	Q. Can you explain to us the difference
2	between as-build plans and proposed plans?
3	A. The proposed shows what's intended, as far
4	as the construction project and what the initial
5	design is intended to be. The as-built plans refect
6	what's actually built in the field.
7	Q. Okay. So, if you have as-built plan, and
8	something has changed, what is shown on those
9	as-built plans?
10	A. Typically, it would be the difference from
11	the original design plans.
12	Q. Okay. So, it would be marked on there?
13	A. In this particular case, yes.
14	Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you a document
15	from the first hearing that was admitted. This is
16	21-B, 21B-30.
17	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, to the extent this
18	goes beyond Mr. Dorgan's rebuttal report and
19	supplemental rebuttal report.
20	MS. BRICE: I believe Mrs. O'Laughlin opened
21	the door on this, asking about post plans, and
22	talking about post plans and for information only,
23	and again the final plan, the as-built plans.
24	So, we're just using this to try and show

	Page 105
1	that there was no change between the proposed plan
2	and as-built plans.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I vaguely remember
4	Ms. Brice's position on this. Regardless, I'll
5	allow latitude, but overruled. You may proceed.
6	BY MS. BRICE:
7	Q. Mr. Dorgan, what is this document?
8	A. 21B-1 appears to be the final sheet on the
9	plans.
10	Q. And what does it say up at the top
11	document?
12	A. It says, "State of Illinois Department of
13	Public 45 and Buildings, division of highways, plans
14	for proposed federal aid highway.
15	Q. Okay. If you could turn to page 21B-30.
16	And to save time, do you have a document 21A and 26
17	in front of you?
18	MS. BRICE: Or, Drew, can you pull it up on the
19	screen, just the regular 21A-26, please, and blow it
20	up, please.
21	BY MS. BRICE:
22	Q. Okay. Mr. Dorgan, in your opinion, how
23	does 21b-30 relate to 21A, 26, from the as-built
24	plans?

Page 106 They appear to be the same. 1 Α. 2 Q. Are they depicted in the same area? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Has this area to the far left, on the top 0. 5 figure that has a peat marked unsuitable material, 6 is that different at all on the proposed plans and 7 the as-built plans? 8 Α. No, it's not. 9 So, what does that mean had to happen at 0. that location, which I think is depicted over here 10 11 on 204-41A, correct? 12 That's correct. Α. 13 What had to happen then? Q. In my opinion, these materials had to be 14 Α. 15 removed. 16 Q. Why did it have to be remove? 17 Α. It says it's unsuitable material to be 18 removed. 19 0. Okay. What did they have to do here in 20 State 7S? What did they have to do at this 7S location, based on that document? 21 They would have had to excavate down to 22 Α. the bottom of the black peat and replace it with 23 24 suitable build material.

		Page 107
1	Q.	So, excavate down to 582-and-a-halfish?
2	Α.	If I may?
3	Q.	Yes.
4	Α.	So, the building at station 7 is not the
5	same facil	lity.
6	Q.	Oh, I'm sorry. I'm now looking at sample
7	7.	
8	Α.	Yes, but the cross-section is referring to
9	station 7.	•
10	Q.	I'm sorry, I'm confused. Can you explain?
11	Α.	Yes, the stationing is referenced across
12	the bottom	n.
13	Q.	I want you to talk about what's going on
14	at sample	7.
15	Α.	At sample 7?
16	Q.	Yes.
17	Α.	It would be the depth down to the bottom
18	of the	bottom of the unsuitable fill.
19	Q.	And what level was that?
20	Α.	Roughly 583 and three-quarters.
21	Q.	Okay. And then what would have to have
22	happened?	
23	Α.	It would have been back filled up to the
24	proposed o	grade.

	Page 108
1	Q. And what is the proposed grade?
2	A. 589 roughly, 588 and three-quarters.
3	Q. In the boring logs that you looked at
4	you've looked at boring logs for sample 7?
5	A. Yes, I have.
6	Q. I'm sorry, I'm now looking at sample 7
7	right here.
8	A. Yes, but the cross-section is referring to
9	station 7.
10	Q. I'm sorry, I'm confused. Can you explain?
11	A. Yes, stationing is referenced across the
12	bottom.
13	Q. I want you to talk about what's going on
14	in sample 7?
15	A. In sample 7, it would be the depth down to
16	the bottom of the unsuitable fill.
17	Q. And what level was that?
18	A. Roughly, 583 and three quarters.
19	Q. And then what would have had to have
20	happened?
21	A. It would have been backfilled up to the
22	proposed grade.
23	Q. Okay. And what is the proposed grade?
24	A. 589, 588 and three-quarters.

Page 109 1 Okay. In the boring logs you've looked Q. 2 at -- you've at boring logs for 7S? 3 Yes, I have. Α. 4 Okay. Is there any mention 45 black Q. 5 cindery fill or peat in those boring logs? 6 No, there is not. Α. 7 0. And those 7S boring logs were taken after 1999, correct? 8 9 Α. That's right. So many years after this work was done? 10 0. 11 Α. That's correct. 12 What this is showing here is around 1970; Q. 13 is that correct? What was happening here? That's my understanding. 14 Α. 15 By here, I mean here sort of what's being 0. 16 shown in 21A-25. 17 And in your experience, are geotechnical boring logs usually taken in close time in proximity 18 19 to the project? 20 Generally, they are shortly before the Α. project design is done. 21 And in your opinion, do geotechnical 22 0. boring logs typically note debris, if there is 23 24 debris found them?

Page 110 They oftentimes do. 1 Α. 2 Q. Okay. How often? 3 Α. And I would say 100 percent of the time, 4 but most of the time they do. 5 To your recollection, what, if anything, Q. was the most important aspect 45 Mr. Peterson's 6 7 observations from the photographs? Just the consistency of the layer that was 8 Α. observed with the asbestos in it across from 1S to 9 9S. 10 11 0. Can you elaborate on that? Is that 12 something you would expect to find? 13 The appearance from the photographs, and Α. as it was described my Mr. Peterson, is you see a 14 15 consistency, without any break point. So, what 16 appeared to be a material that was that all placed 17 at the same time. If the base map Mr. Gobelman is using is 18 0. 19 inaccurate correct, what does that mean for the work 20 required by USEPA? It would have been done in the wrong 21 Α. location. 22

I'm going to turn now to some of the

I would like to talk about the

23

24

0.

attribution issues.

Page 111 1 northeast excavation on site 3. 2 And we've gone though before a lot of these threes calculations, so I'm not to go through 3 4 everything again. 5 If you could to 207-18, please. Tell me 6 when you are there? 7 I'm there. Α. 8 Q. Mr. Gobelman do you used this map to reach his attributions. 9 You heard him testify about that, correct? 10 11 Α. That's right. And what is it that you disagree with Mr. 12 Q. 13 Gobelman about with respect to his attributions on the northeast excavation? 14 15 That he is confining the attribution east Α. 16 at lease onto only part of the northeast excavation that's is had parcel 0393. 17 I would like to turn to Exhibit 64-3. 18 0. 19 Take a couple pages back, and tell me what this 20 document is? These are USEPA -- this is the USEPA 21 Α.

Turn to page 64-4, please.

response to the area evaluation cost analysis that

had been submitted by John Kindle.

22

23

24

Q.

Page 112 1 And what is it saying about the comment 2 underground electrifying, at the top, the very top? 3 Α. Yes, it references undergraduate electric 4 lines runs along 1S, 2S, 3S and 4S. 5 And what about the comment fiber optics? Q. Where it runs from 1S, 2S, 3S and 4S as 6 Α. 7 well. Okay. And on your Dorgan Figure 1, 8 Q. 204-38, does a comment fiber optic line run through 9 the third grid the furthest to the east grid, that 10 11 has B3, 4 and 6 in it as well? 12 I quess it does. Α. 13 Mr. Gobelman's northeast excavation Q. attributions are based upon square footage, right? 14 15 Α. That's correct. 16 Q. Okay. You heard me talk about his numerator, which is 1,889 square feet. 17 18 Do you recall that? 19 Α. I recall discussing it, the specific 20 number, perhaps not. Let's turn back to 207-18. 21 Q. 22 Α. I'm there. 23 Okay. Do you see that number on this Q. 24 page?

Page 113 1 Can you repeat the number, please? Α. 2 Q. Okay. Do you believe Mr. Gorgan has 3 placed the NRP's observations in the correct place? 4 Α. No. 5 Okay. If it's been placed further to the Q. 6 easted, how does that impact his allocation? 7 Under his allocation method, it would Α. limit it, lower it. 8 9 And what is your overall opinion about his 0. attributions? 10 11 Again, that he misconstrues the requirement relative to the borings that drove the 12 13 cleanup of the various grids for northeast excavation. 14 15 Let's go to 208-9, and I believe you 0. 16 testified you believe the Washington water line is in the big pond location; is that correct? 17 18 Α. On Mr. Gobelman's figures, yes. 19 0. If it were in the right location, and I 20 think this is consistent with your opinion, a 100 percent of it would be given to IDOT, correct? 21 22 Α. Yes. At the time of the first hearing, were 23 0.

there any borings contaminated along the Waukegan

24

waterline within 0393?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. After the exact location of the Waukegan water line was collected, so after the first hearing, are there any borings contaminated along long the Waukegan water lines in 0393?
 - A. I recall that's there, I believe, one.
- Q. If you could turn to 206-12, please, and I would like it talk about AT&T.

You take issue with Mr. Gobelman's approach to the AT&T lines. And as we discussed, and he discussed, he divided 129 by 1060, to get to 18.9 percent.

If we stick with his method alone, what is your opinion on his numerator, 199 feet, that he believes fell within 0393? I believe that is going to be on his figure 207-18. Give me one second, I'll get there.

- A. 207-16?
- Q. Yes, 207-16. Thank you very much.
- A. Sorry, repeat your question.
- Q. So, Mr. Gobelman basically calculated the linear feet of what he felt fell within 0393, or what he felt fell within next to the boring

	Page 115
1	locations on Site 3, correct, Site AT&T site 3
2	attribution?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. Okay. And he went to B3-26 because that
5	was the next cleanest boring, I believe he testified
6	to?
7	A. I believe that's correct.
8	Q. Is his B3-26 in the same locate as your
9	B3-26?
10	A. No, it's not.
11	Q. I would like to turn to the AT&T lines
12	site 6.
13	Mr. Gobelman's attributions made him
14	believe that the AT&T lines ran the entire lenth of
15	the north side and south side of site 6.
16	Do you recall?
17	A. Yes, I do.
18	Q. And did Dr. Ebihara and Mr. Peterson
19	testified about that?
20	A. Yes, they did.
21	Q. And what did they say?
22	A. They said that the lines for a segment of
23	Site 6 in our ground when on poles for the rest 45
24	line on Site 6.

Page 116 Was any work done with respect to the 1 Q. 2 poles? 3 Α. No. 4 What's your impression for Mr. Gobelman Q. 5 using that his denominator for, I believe, three of 6 his cost categories? 7 It's an over estimating. Α. How would that impact his attributions? 8 Q. They would lower them. 9 Α. What would lower them? 10 0. 11 Α. The denominator would be larger and would 12 lower the attribution. 13 So, if he had gotten it right, the Q. attribution would be more? 14 15 It would be larger, yes. Α. 16 Q. And did you make any assumption about the 17 length 45 the lines reaching your AT&T site 6 attribution? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Do you have any opinion about his enumerator here on Site 6, which I believe, if we go 21 to 205 or 206 -- let's look at 207, 207 of 4, and 22 he's talking about it's 90 feet. He comes up with 23 24 90 feet he says is what area?

	Page 117
1	If you turn the page, I think he describes
2	it.
3	A. I'm sorry, I am looking a look for the
4	90 feet. Here it is. On Site 6?
5	Q. Uh-huh.
6	A. Yes. So, he defined that as the location
7	where the line came out 45 Site 3 and traversed from
8	roughly 4S between 4S and 5S of his area 45
9	liability.
10	Q. Okay. Are his 4S and 5S in the same place
11	as your 4S and 5S?
12	A. No, they are not.
13	Q. You say on 206-13 let's go there.
14	We're talking about AT&T's soil sample.
15	You say that turn to 206-14 on the next
16	page. You say that he made an incorrect assumption.
17	What assumption was this?
18	A. That clean borders were created along the
19	entire length 45 site 6 on both the north and south
20	sides of Greenwood.
21	Q. How do you know that's not true?
22	A. That is not what the record reflects.
23	Q. Did you make the same assumption when
24	doing your calculations for the north side and south

Page 118 1 side 45 Site 6? 2 Α. I did not. 3 Q. He says on 205 -- and he talks about this 4 more on 205 than he dos in his other report. 5 If could to go to 205-11, he said here 6 that the numerator he used to calculates the 7 percentage is 197 linear feet, which is the distance from the western edge of site 6 to the 4.5S. 8 9 Do you see that? 10 Α. Yes, I do. 11 If you go back to 208-11, if you were 0. measuring using your borings in yellow, from the 12 13 western edge 45 Site 6 to 4.5S, would you come up with the same calculation? 14 15 Α. No. 16 Q. And why is that? My length would be slightly longer based 17 Α. upon on the location -- the actual location 454S. 18 19 0. Let's talk a little bit about the North 20 Shore gas line. On 206-11, you don't need to turn to it. 21 You disagree with his opinion regarding the cost FOR 22

Can you just explain the nature 45 your

the North Shore line on site 3.

23

24

Page 119

disagreement?

2.

A. I'm considering all of the North Shore gas line work to be attributable for the IDOT main corridor was needed because of the borings that are specially referenced on parcel 0393 in the Board Order.

By contrast, Mr. Gobelman calculated an area of the corridor that falls within parcel 0393.

Q. As to the North Shore gas line on Site 6 attribution, you make the point that at the time of the EAM, there was no ACM east of 458S.

Why is that relevant?

A. Because the EPA was making the determination of what they were requiring based upon the sample results from 1S to 8S and extending it to the whole length of the border.

So, at the time that the enforcement memorandum was written, they knew that there was asbestos present from 1S to 8S, but they still required a clean corridor to extend past 8S for the utility lines.

So, it was the presence 45 ACM from 1S to 8S that required the entire clean corridor.

Q. I believe you testified earlier your

opinion on that wouldn't change, it was from 1S to 4S, correct?

A. That's is correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. On 205-12, Mr. Gobelman says, "It was the length of the North Shore gas line along line the south side of Site 6 is 2,005 linear feet," and he attributes that to you.

Is that what you said?

- A. I don't believe so.
- Q. That did you say? I think it's 204-24.
- A. My calculation was predicated on the entire length 45 the North Shore gas line, which ran both on the south and the north side of site 6 at different locations.
- Q. And, so, he used this 2,005 linear feet as his denominator, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. How did that impact his attribution?
- A. Increasing the larger denominator with the smaller numerator led to a smaller attribution.
- Q. In his supplemental report, Mr. Gobelman says the North Shore GASK lines run through 72 feet of the IDOT area liability on Site 6.

Page 121 1 Can you take a look at 207-17. Okay? 2 Α. Yes. Can you describe for me where that 3 Q. 972 feet was located? 4 5 I believe that is the calculation that he 6 did to measure from where the North Shore gas line 7 entered Site 6 to sample location on 6S. 8 Q. 6S? 9 Α. Yes. Let's go back and look at that. I am not 10 0. 11 sure if that's correct. Let's go to 207 --12 Excuse me, I see what he did. Would you Α. 13 like me to clarify that? 14 Please. 0. 15 So, on Exhibit 17, he has two 16 measurements, which I believe he then adds. 17 Then the first measurement is enter Site 6 running to roughly just west 454S. Then a second 18 19 measurement that measures from that location to 20 halfway between 4S and 5S. Okay. And is that the 72 feet? 21 Q. That looks like it would add up to 22 Α. 23 72 feet. 24 And his measurement here -- if you go to Q.

the next clean boring exhibit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I already know he did not.
- Q. Let's talk about dewatering for a moment. You said that his dewatering attributions were incorrect, that they predicated upon other incorrect attributions on a plot map.

Can you explain that, please?

- A. 206-14 regarding dewatering?
- Q. Yes, dewatering in Site 3.
- A. I believe he used the same understanding of the entire length of both the north and south sides 45 Site 6 to calculate his total area 45 work.
- Q. Let's look at this again. I'm talking about Site 3 not Site 6.
- 206-14, Site 3 dewatering, he said he used Nicor North Shore Gas, northeast excavation, the Waukegan water line, and he used a method similar to you.
- A. I'm sorry, Ms. Brice, I don't know that I'm in the right location. 206-14?
- Q. Yes. Maybe I'm in the wrong location, then, but I was talking about -- you know what, it must be back in the 205. Hold on, give me a second.

Let's go back to 205. I apologize. I was

Page 123 not as organized as I was earlier. It's been a long 1 2. day. 3 Let's go to 205, 205-14, not 206-14. I was off by a number. He used these 4 bad. 5 dewatering calculations involving Nicor and North 6 Shore Gas, City of Waukegan line in the northern 6 7 excavation, correct? 8 Α. That's correct. And he attributed nothing to the Nicor Gas 9 0. line and City of Waukegan line; is that correct? 10 11 Α. That's correct. But you would have attributed something to 12 Q. 13 the City of Waukegan water line, right? That is correct. 14 Α. 15 And in your report -- go to 205-15. 0. 16 did Mr. Gobelman arrive at his attribution on 17 dewatering on Site 6? 18 Α. He would have the final work plan at the 19 lenth of the work on the south side 45 Site 6, the 20 419 linear fight feet. And then what did he do? 21 Q. He then considered how much of the length 22 Α. was in what he considered to be IDOT's area 45 23 24 liability, which I believe was 197 linear feet.

Q. Okay. And we talked about that same measurement of179 linear feet, which is from the western edge 45 Site 6 to 4.5s.

Would your opinion be the same with respect to site 6 dewatering as it was with respect to that measurement we discussed earlier?

A. Yes.

- Q. What is your opinion about this 419 feet?
 Did you believe it to be accurate? He's measuring
 from 1s to 9s.
- A. It's difference in the approach where he's trying to take a measurement that was done collectively between the north and south side 45 Site 6. I don't think it's an appropriate way to try to attribute the dewatering processes.
- Q. Okay. And his 1S to 9S would be different from your 1S to 9S, because Site 6 borings are in different locations?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Gorgan, can you turn to 205-28, please? Let me know when you are there.
 - A. I'm there.
- Q. Did you hear Mr. Gobelman testify that he believed the ramp to be that area that is denoted as

	Page 125
1	a ramp in a cross-hatched area with a box around it?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Is that the ramp?
4	A. No, that's not the context of how it was
5	used in my report.
6	Q. Okay. Where is the ramp?
7	A. The ramp is along the Greenwood Avenue.
8	Q. How far east does it go?
9	A. I believe nearly the length of 0393.
10	Q. What work was done in the embankment? In
11	the ramp. I'm sorry, pardon me.
12	A. As I testified earlier, it was the work
13	they undertook to sample the ramp area, in order to
14	avoid having to put a cap on the slope of the
15	embankment.
16	Q. Okay. And did they take soil borings?
17	A. Yes, they did.
18	Q. Did they find asbestos-containing material
19	to within 0393?
20	A. Yes, they did.
21	Q. On 205-29, Mr. Gobelman has been using an
22	area approach for Site 3 filling and capping.
23	Your method looked at the gas buckets
24	driving the remedy; is that right?

Page 126 1 Okay. Could you just restate that, Α. 2 please? 3 Q. Sure. He uses an approach for Site 3 4 filling and capping that lives in an area, and your 5 approach looked at what task buckets were driving 6 the remedy for cap in Site 3; is that right? 7 Α. Generally, yes. 8 Q. Okay. What do you believe is wrong with his method? 9 Similar to the other instances where he is 10 Α. 11 narrowing and defining IDOT's responsibility as 12 being only the work for filling the capping that was 13 done within Site 3. -- or, excuse me, parcel 0393. I'm sorry. 14 15 Right. And what did you do? 0. 16 Α. I attributed it based upon what the driver 17 was out of the Bush National Marine. 18 Q. And he measured this .208 acres Okay. 19 based upon where he places soil borings on Site 3, 20 correct? 21 Α. Yes, that's correct. And do you believe his placement of the 22 Q. soil borings to be accurate? 23 24 Α. No.

Page 127 Would this affect his attribution? 1 Q. 2 Α. Yes. 2015-16, please, filling and 3 Q. 4 capping form site 6. Again, we have this 5,470 linear foot number that he uses as a denominator. 5 6 Again, what is your opinion on that? 7 That's overstated. Α. And why is that? 8 Q. 9 Because filling the capping wasn't done on Α. the entire length of the north and south 45 Site 6. 10 11 0. Again, we're seeing this 197 linear feet that you've testified about. 12 13 Would your opinion be the same here, with respect to that measurement? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Did your attribution for filling on Site 3 relay on measuring distances? 17 18 Α. No. 19 0. I didn't go through the Site 3 and 6 task 20 buckets for all of these; but just for clarity, to the extent there was something wrong with the 21 attribution in either the Site 3 task bucket or Site 22

6 task bucket, when you come together and create a

combined the Site 3 task bucket, how would that

23

24

- A. They would trickle down to the rest 45 them.
- Q. Similar question: Turning here to demonstrative Exhibit 245, "Task buckets used to influence by those experts to determine oversight in support services tas bucket attribution."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. We know, Mr. Gobelman, you used the same metrology we've established.

You say that Gobelman has calculated IDOT's share of the construction-related costs. Because he miscalculated IDOT's share 45 construction-related costs, all 45 his calculations for the site-wide cost categories are incorrect, unreasonable and unreliable. That's from your report of the 206-15.

Can you explain that opinion with reference to this demonstrative?

A. I saw the top of the demonstrative shows which elements of the work were performed and factored into each of the individual categories.

And then the table below it provides the

Page 129 1 comparison between the attribution calculations that 2. Mr. Dorgan made and the calculations that I had 3 made. 4 It simply demonstrates how if there's a 5 difference in any one of the individual construction attribution elements, it will end up being reflected 6 7 as a change in all of the general site attributions that were made. 8 9 Okay. So, it's all connected? 0. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Is that a good way to put it? Okay. 0. that's true, with respect to all 45 these oversight 12 13 support services task buckets, type 3 prep, Site 6 prep, site 3-6 prep, health and safety Site 3 14 15 oversight, oversight and legal; is that correct? 16 Α. That's correct. 17 0. Okay. A couple 45 last questions. your attributions, if your numerator is smaller, how 18 19 does that affect your attribution, with respect to calculations that you and Mr. Gobelman did? 20 It would make them smaller. 21 Α. Okay. And if your denominator is larger, 22 Q. how does it impact them?

It would also make them smaller.

23

24

Α.

	Page 130
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin, do
2	you need a few moments?
3	MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We can take a break.
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What are you
5	thinking, 15 minutes, no longer. Thank you.
6	Pam, we're leaving for 15. Off the
7	record. Thank you.
8	(Recess taken.)
9	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're going back on
10	the record. We have Ms. O'Laughlin crossing JM's
11	rebuttal expert, Mr. Dorgan. You may proceed.
12	CROSS REBUTTAL EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
14	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Dorgan.
15	A. Good afternoon.
16	Q. You testified that you had relied on AEM
17	to provide you a cad file in the production 45 your
18	map?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. Okay. And did you produce that CAD file
21	to IDOT?
22	A. I believe we produced the Cad file to you
23	on my depositions.
24	Q. And would that deposition have occurred on

Page 131 1 June 12th, 2019? 2. Α. Sounds about right. That's what my notes reflect. During that 3 Q. 4 deposition, it came out that a CAD file was never 5 produced to IDOT? 6 MS. BRICE: Objection. That misrepresents the 7 record. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin? 8 9 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: That's correspondence between 10 the parties. 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I didn't hear what 12 Ms. Brice was saying. It misrepresents evidence? 13 MS. BRICE: It misrepresents correspondence between the parties. I have an email where we 14 15 discussed it. 16 We had produced it bridget format, and we 17 showed we produced some 45 those earlier 67 documents and showed them to the witnesses. 18 Okay, it's a speaking 19 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 20 objection where she's putting in her argument. 21 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm trying to figure out what you're trying to get at, what question, 22 23 because --24 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can I ask the question?

	Page 132
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Go ahead, and then
2	Ms. Brice can made an objection. I'm not sure what
3	you were asking.
4	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
5	Q. What is a is CAD file?
6	A. A CAD file is a digital format of a
7	document that's created in side the AutoCAD
8	software.
9	Q. And you relied on that CAD file in the
10	production of your maps that you produced in your
11	expert report in this second round 45 hearings?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. As we were discussing
14	MS. BRICE: Objection.
15	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
16	Q. It was discovered during your June 2019
17	deposition that Johns Mansville had not produced
18	this CAD electronic file to IDOT; is that right?
19	HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: Ms. Brice?
20	MS. BRICE: That's okay. As long as she is
21	having it identified by the electronic aspect of the
22	file, I'm okay with the question.
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
24	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:

- Q. So, the electronic file, we discovered during you June 201 deposition, had not been produced to IDOT; is that your recollection?
- A. I believe at the deposition we had discussion as to whether it had; and if it had not, that we would. I believe we subsequently did.
- Q. You subsequently produced that electronic file to IDOT after your June 2019 deposition?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And your expert report is dated June 13, 2018; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And your expert rebuttal report is October 25th, 2018, and your expert rebuttal supplemental is dated April 30th, 2019; is that correct?
 - A. Those sound like the correct dates.
- Q. And all those dates tells occur before

 June 2019?
 - A. That's that correct.
 - Q. You spent some time going over -- turning to Exhibit 208-11 and 208-9 --
- 23 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

24 Q. You identified those?

- A. Yes, I believe we discussed those previously.
- Q. And you spent some time going over the differences of both of these between the AE Con property line and features, the Gobelman property line features, the first property and the property line -- Gobelman's property line features supplemental report.

And they are demonstrated with different colors. You talked about this on your direct testimony.

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Have you ever -- so, looking at this 208-11, so Mr. Gobelman's supplemental report is in blue, and AE Con's property line is in yellow.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin, you might want to point your head towards the direction of the speaker.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you.

20 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:

Q. Now, this exhibit -- so, the blue is
Gobelman's supplemental, which is the one he's
adopted; and in blue, are lines east of the yellow
borings, for instance B350. Yellow is west of B350.

Page 135 1 Is that an accurate description 45 this 2 figure? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And when some 45 these match up -- excuse 0. 5 me, strike that. 6 On this Figure B345 called just "Gobelman 7 Supplemental Report" falls just outside 0393; is that correct, based on this figure? 8 Yes, it is. 9 Α. You heard Mr. Gobelman testified he 10 0. 11 included 345 in IDOT'S in the allocation? 12 Yes, I did. Α. 13 Have you ever gone through the exercise 45 Q. calculating the difference the Gobelman's damages 14 15 that he had used AE Con's facts as opposed to his 16 supplement base map? 17 Α. No. 18 You do not have a bibliography. 0. 19 pretty sure it's not in your rebuttal report or 20 rebuttal supplement report. If you have those before you, you can look 21 at them be, Exhibit 204, Exhibit 206 and 208. 22 23 Is this out on the table? Α. 24 Do you include a bibliography in any of Q.

Page 136 1 your reports? 2. Α. I did not. 3 Q. Turning to page 206-13, which would be 4 your rebuttal report? 5 Α. Yes. Starting in the middle of the page of 6 7 Section 2.5.21 AT&T, the last sentence of the first 8 paragraph, can you read that? "As a result, Mr. Gobelman" --9 Α. The last sentences of the first paragraph 10 0. 11 45 -- it begins with "Based on the record." 12 first paragraph last sentence. 13 Α. The second to last sentences, just to clarify, but I can read it, if you like. 14 15 You are right. Yes, the last two Q. 16 sentences? 17 "Based on the record, AT&T lines do not Α. 18 run entire length of north and south corridor in 19 Site 6. As a result, Mr. Gobelman's calculations 20 are incorrect." Where in the record is that information? 21 Q. I believe it's in the final report that 22 Α. was referred AE Con. 23 24 But you do not agree with that? Q.

	Page 137
1	A. No, I do not.
2	Q. If you can go to 206-14, and if you could
3	read the first full sentence on this page?
4	A. These assumptions are inaccurate, based
5	upon the record.
6	Q. And in this section, you're discussing
7	utility ACM's soil excavation; is that correct?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. Where in the record are you referring to?
10	A. I do have a citation to Mr. Gobelman's
11	deposition as a footnote at the bottom of the page
12	is how I did my citations and references for this
13	report; and then, of course, the final report on
14	that would have prepared by me.
15	Q. Okay. So, the final report is
16	approximately how large of a document?
17	A. Double large.
18	Q. Double binders large?
19	A. Several binders large.
20	Q. And, again, you can't find in the record
21	45 this entire case, you did not find for the
22	record, for this entire case, the citation; isn't
23	that true?

A. That's true.

24

Page 138 1 Also turning to Figure 1 of your 204, your Q. 2 first report, in this background is 204-38. 3 Yes, I'm there. Α. 4 Where is the ramp work? Where does the 0. 5 ramp work displayed on this in Figure 1? 6 The ramp is not specifically to be 7 labeled, but it's represented by the green embankment that the present on Site 3. 8 And how did that 240 -- is it 38 or 39? 9 0. 10 Α. I just looked it up. It's 38. 11 Q. Similarly, how about on 204-39? 12 Α. Same answer. 13 Where in the record is the ramp work Q. results? 14 15 Α. The ramp work is discussed in the AE Con final report, and it was discussed in the 16 17 documentation Dr. Ebihara provided regarding the cost tabulation for the site. 18 19 0. Okay. So, you relied upon Ebihara's 20 calculations. Did you review the (inaudible)? 21 22 Α. No. 23 You relied on Mr. Peterson's supplemental 0. 24 additional photographs?

Page 139 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. IDOT supported your theory, correct? 3 Α. In the photographs of the work that was 4 completed was relevant to my review. 5 The work required by USEPA, the ones that Q. are pertinent to this section of Site 3 and Site 6, 6 7 those are not related to this proceeding. USEPA required a clean corridors that fall 8 in Site 3 and Site 6; isn't that true? 9 10 Α. Yes, that's true. 11 Q. USEPA required clean corridors throughout 12 sites 3 and 6; isn't that correct? 13 Yes, 8 meters on Site 3 and Site 6. Α. 14 They did not require clean corridors, only 0. 15 in those areas where the borings -- in your expanded 16 area, where IDOT was liable. 17 They did not require clean corridors, only in IDOT areas of liability; isn't that true? 18 19 MS. BRICE: Objection, vague. 20 HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: I kind 45 lost it, 21 too, Ms. O'Laughlin. Can you rephrase that, please? MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 22 Yes. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 23

You have your IDOT theory of liability,

24

Q.

Page 140 1 which includes 1S through 8S and all of 0393; is 2. that accurate? 3 For certain elements of the work. Α. 4 Okay. And USEPA required a clean corridor 0. 5 for utilities that go beyond those areas I just 6 mentioned; isn't that true? 7 Α. In some instances, yes. And the site is defined by Site 3, not by 8 0. 9 figuring that, quote-unquote, IDOT area applied that fee? 10 11 Α. I'm sorry, I'm not sure I understand. 12 I apologize. USEPA -- how was Site 3 Q. defined? 13 14 Α. I'm not exactly sure when Site 3 got 15 defined, but it was years ago during earlier phases 16 of this report. 17 But the clean corridor requirement is 0. 18 driven by all 45 Site 3; isn't that true, Mr. 19 Dorgan? 20 MS. BRICE: Objection, mischaracterizes his 21 testimony. 22 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer, if 23 he's able. Mr. Dorgan? 24 THE WITNESS: I believe I testified previously

Page 141 1 that clean corridors were required for certain 2 utilities that are present on Site 3 --3 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 4 And, similarly, that USEPA remedy for Q. 5 Site 6 is for a larger area of Site 6 than 1S to 8S? 6 That's correct. Α. 7 And the clear corridors are required 0. because USEPA wanted to minimize the potential for 8 exposure ACM material to workers that may be working 9 at a particular utility; is that correct? 10 11 Α. That was one of the criteria, yes. Okay. I'll hand you what was disseminated 12 Q. 13 as 21A-30. 14 Do you remember testifying about these, 15 Mr. Dorgan? 16 Α. Yes, I do . 17 0. If you can turn to 21B-30, and go to the 18 bottom right of the page. You see there's a box, 19 and in that box it beings "for"; do you see that? 20 Α. Yes. What is in the box? 21 Q. It says, "For your information only." 22 Α. Thank you. Exhibit 204-40, what is that 23 0. again, for the record? 24

	Page 142
1	A. This is a plan and profile for Detour
2	Road 8.
3	Q. Okay. And you see at the bottom part 45
4	this. What does the bottom part of this figure
5	what does this depict?
6	A. It's a profile for the length of the
7	detour road.
8	Q. And this information also shows how much
9	fill is needed; isn't that true?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. This is the amount of fill needed for
12	Detour Road A?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. And you can see the amount of fill needed
15	for Detour Road A; is that true?
16	A. To be accurate, what that figure is
17	showing is the existing ground surface, relative to
18	the proposed grade of the road.
19	So, the difference between the two would
20	be worth building.
21	Q. And it's 7.0. Where is 7.0?
22	A. 7.0 would at the very western end of
23	detour road A.
24	Q. Okay. And how much fill would be required

Page 143 1 It looks to me -- how much fill is needed at 2. station 7 for Detour Road A? MS. BRICE: I would like object. She's asking 3 4 for quantified fill amounts, based upon the figure. 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I couldn't hear you, 6 Ms. Brice. Your voice was lowered. 7 MS. BRICE: I'm sorry. I was just objecting to the extent this goes beyond the testimony, to the 8 9 extent she's asking him to quantify fill amounts on this figure. 10 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin? 12 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: This goes directly to their 13 argument about fill. It's a document he testified about on direct examination. 14 15 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled. You may 16 comment. Mr. Dorgan, answer the question, please. 17 THE WITNESS: I can't quantify fill volumes because that's not what this document does. 18 19 It shows approximate three-and-a-half feet 20 of fill would have been needed at this location. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 21 And how about at 8, approximately? 22 Q. 23 About roughly five feet. Α.

And how about 6 plus 50, how much fill

24

Q.

Page 144 1 would be needed? It's not on the log? 2. Α. No. 3 (A recess was had.) 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back on the 5 record. Ms. O'Laughlin is continuing her cross. 6 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 7 I just have one question. Going back to 0. Exhibit 204-40, does this figure show that any 8 unsuitable fill was needed to be removed? 9 10 Α. No. 11 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I have no further questions. 12 Thank you, Mr. Dorgan. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You were cutting out. There was an objection coming up form 14 15 Ms. Brice, so can you recreate this? 16 MS. BRICE: Can we just start at after the break? 17 18 HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: We're going to mute 19 you. 20 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Mr. Dorgan, Exhibit 206-40, does this 21 Q. document -- does this Exhibit show that unsuitable 22 23 material needs to be removed? 24 Α. No.

Page 145 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No further questions. 1 2 MS. BRICE: Susan coming back for redirect. 3 Okay? 4 REDIRECT REBUTTAL EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. BRICE: 6 I'm going to ask Mr. Dorgan a couple of 7 questions about this Exhibit 204-40 and 204-41A, and 8 my questions were: Mr. Dorgan, on these two figures 9 up at top where we have site 6, are we generally depicting the same area around 45, 55 and 65? 10 11 Α. Yes. Okay. On 204-41A under 7S, did you have 12 Q. 13 to remove unsuitable material in order to build up that area? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. How about under 6S, did you have to remove unsuitable material in order build back up that 17 18 area? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And I believe you said about somebody about intersectionality. 21 Can you please elaborate what you're 22 23 talking about, because there's been a lot of 24 confusion about how these to figures relate to each

1 other.

If you could foundation please explain that, I think it would be helpful for everyone?

- A. Figure 204-40 is a plan of profile for Detour Road A. 204-41A is the plan profile for Greenwood Avenue, and the two of them intersect at the boundary of Site 3 and Site 6, where Detour Road A transitions into Greenwood Avenue's right-of-way to match up with Greenwood Avenue.
- Q. Okay. And, so, how do they relate to each other, the two exhibits?

Are they both showing that intersection, but one is the looking at the cross-section 45

Detour Road A, and that is 204-40, and the other is looking at the cross-section the same way at as 204-41A, the intersectionality at Site 6 Greenwood Avenue.

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Ms. O'Laughlin asked you about whether or not you had a bibliography.

I believe in each of your reports, you have a whole section and discussion about information you considered; isn't that correct?

A. That's correct.

1	Q. And you also have footnotes that refer to
2	specific documents that you reviewed that supported
3	various statements you were making and opinions you
4	were drawing?
5	A. That's correct, yes.
6	Q. And Dr. Ebihara and Mr. Peterson testified
7	about the lack of work relating to soil removal and
8	soil filling on the north and south side of Site 6,
9	that that was not done for the entire stretch of the
10	north side and south side of Site 6?
11	A. Yes, they did.
12	Q. With respect attributions clean corridors,
13	I believe we talked about this in your initial
14	testimony.
15	Were clean corridors required when there
16	was ACM found somewhere along the line?
17	A. Yes.
18	MS. BRICE: No further questions.
19	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, Ms.
20	Brice. Ms. O'Laughlin?
21	RECROSS REBUTTAL EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
23	Q. So, Mr. Dorgan, you testified about
24	204-41A and the removal of unsuitable material.

	Page 148
1	This document is now called the as-built
2	plan; is that correct?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. The note said it was adopted from IDOT
5	plans; is that correct, on the top left?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. And it was drawn by RND/JDT?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. And who would that be?
10	A. I'm assuming RHD is Ryan Dutton. RJT
11	would be James Trease.
12	Q. Atwel Florez (phonetic) were legal
13	consultants; is that true?
14	A. That's correct?
15	Q. And approved by DDG, which would be
16	yourself?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. I have no further questions.
19	HEARING OFFER HALLORAN: Thank you, Ms. Brice.
20	Ms. O'Laughlin?
21	FURTHER REDIRECT REBUTTAL EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. BRICE:
23	Q. One questions. We're going to the same
24	figure as Mr. Dorgan.

Page 149 1 Understandably, this Figure 4 is something 2 you created, but at the bottom part 45 Figure 4 is 3 what? What is it based on? 4 The representation of the as-built Α. 5 drawing. 6 And is that 21A-26? 0. 7 I believe that's correct. Α. And did you make any bottom notations on 8 Q. 221A and 226, as Ms. Ryan testified she worked on 9 this? 10 11 There were a lot of things done, with 12 respect to how they were represented here on your figure? 13 I believe so. 14 Α. 15 And 221A and 226 was form the as-built 0. 16 drawing; is that correct? 17 Α. That's correct. 18 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: That's all I have. 19 FURTHER RECROSS REBUTTAL 20 BY MS. BRICE: This portion is for 221A and 226; am I 21 Q. understanding that correctly? 22 23 Α. That's correct. 24 But what is missing is the Q.

Page 150 1 for-information-only box; is that true? 2 Α. There is no-information-only box on that. And the full document 221A and 226 that 3 0. 4 for information only; is that correct? 5 Α. I believe that's correct. This is represented here on your figure 6 0. 7 correct of the worked on represented on you figure? I believe so. 8 Α. 9 2845646 was as-built drawings; is that 0. 10 correct? 11 Α. That's correct. This portion is A21-26, is my 12 Q. 13 understanding that's correct? That's correct. 14 Α. 15 What is missing is the information only 0. 16 box; is that true? 17 There is no for-information-only-box. Α. 18 And the full document 21A-26, that's for 0. 19 information only; is that correct? 20 Α. That's correct. In your opinion, if somebody that didn't 21 Q. work on the project in 1970, and they know what bore 22 information only is on the document, then they 23 24 should know that.

Page 151 1 MS. BRICE: Objection, speculative. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll allow him to 2. answer, if he's able. I'm sorry, Ms. O'Laughlin. 3 4 THE WITNESS: I'm not entirely sure what is the 5 relevancy is. 6 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm done. 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: JM, have you finished your case? We still have to talk about the 8 exhibits. 9 MS. BRICE: Other than the exhibits, yes. 10 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think there was a 12 couple more proffers. I think Ms. Gale can address that. 13 Also, like yesterday, she's going to read 14 15 them into the record. 16 MS. GALE: Thank you. We move to admit -- what 17 I'm am going to say is I'm going to say the new exhibits we talked about I'll move to admit, and 18 19 then I will list all of the exhibits collectively, 20 so that way it's a full package. 21 The new exhibits I move to admit, we will proffer, just to make sure: 64, USEPA 22 23 correspondence dated February 1st, 2012; 24 Exhibit 217, Gobelman figures; Exhibit 229E-335

Page 152 1 through 339, and that's it. 2 So, now, my understanding we're just taking a 3 minute to check. MS. BRICE: Those are the exhibits subject to 4 5 the objections, our standing objections. So, I am 6 now going to read them collectively. 7 I'm just going to read the numbers that were on the joint exhibit list filed with the Board 8 on September 1st, 2020; and the new ones that are 9 not on the list, I'll read the description as well. 10 11 Okay? 12 Exhibit 21A, 21B, 64, 65, 67, 79, 84, 120, 202, 203, 204, 206, 208, 209, 213, 214, 217, 221, 13 225, 227, 229E-335 through 339, and 229E-374 and 14 375, 229F-377 and 365. And then we have 15 Exhibit 21A-26A, which is a blow-up and an agreed 16 modification of 21A-26. 17 We then have Exhibit 204-41A, which is a 18 19 demonstrative blowup of 204-41, which is 20 Mr. Dorgan's hand drawing, which he testified to during his direct testimony. 21 22 Then we have Exhibit 245, which is another demonstrative drawing. Exhibit 245, which is 23 24 entitled "Task Bucket used as inputs by both experts

	Page 153
1	to be used to determine oversights and support
2	services task bucket attributions." That's it.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. I think
4	they are agreed to by IDOT. Thank you.
5	(Which were all the proceedings
6	had.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 154			
1				
2	STATE OF FLORIDA			
3	COUNTY OF PINELLAS)			
4	I, Pamela A. Marzullo, Court Reporter, certify that			
5	I was authorized to and did stenographically report the			
6	foregoing proceedings; and that the transcript is a true and			
7	complete record of my stenographic notes.			
8				
9	I further certify that I am not a relative,			
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am			
11	I relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or			
12	counsel connect4d with the action, nor am I financially			
13	interested in the action's.			
14				
15	Dated this 4th day of November 2020.			
16				
17	PAMELA A. MARZULLO Notary Public			
18	GG 156897 My Commission expires 10/31/2022			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

				3
A	activities 84:8	ahead 33:21 132:1	45:10 70:23	106:2,4 111:22
a.m 1:16	actual 24:3 55:3	aid 105:14	92:13,13 105:8	116:24 117:8
A21-26 150:12	87:24 94:24	align 87:6	apples 61:11	119:8 120:24
able 58:4 70:5	95:2 118:18	allocated 29:16	applied 5:14	122:12 123:23
72:11 75:14	add 121:22	63:14,18	25:23 26:5	124:24 125:1,13
140:23 151:3	added 16:20 25:8	allocation 29:13	34:20,23,24 35:4	125:22 126:4
above-entitled	34:1 36:11	32:20 52:24	35:6,7,7,8,10,22	139:16 140:9
1:11	addition 96:9	84:4 113:6,7	58:19 140:9	141:5 145:10,14
abutments 76:6	additional 103:16	135:11	apply 87:3	145:18
abuts 68:13 69:6	138:24	allocations 37:5	applying 35:19	areas 20:3,8 21:5
acceptable 58:19	address 151:12	allow 45:13 65:9	appreciate 18:20	139:15,18 140:5
access 7:6	addressed 22:6	99:10 102:4	23:5	argued 78:24
accomplish 40:5	59:22	103:10 105:5	approach 22:14	argument 69:24
account 32:13	adds 121:16	151:2	24:11 33:6 64:7	131:20 143:13
accuracy 51:20	adduced 4:14	alternative 84:1	86:1 91:10	argumentative
52:1,9 76:19,20	81:15	altogether 53:10	114:11 124:11	80:10
accurate 9:22	adjusted 56:13,13	amount 24:1	125:22 126:3,5	arrive 9:6 28:6
13:1,20 33:22	64:11	34:11 142:11,14	appropriate 16:4	123:16
43:17 53:1	adjustments	amounts 143:4,9	124:14	arriving 43:16
55:18 74:14	36:22	analysis 59:14	approve 51:23,23	as-build 104:2
87:15,17 88:11	Administrative	111:22	52:5,7	as-built 47:24
96:4 124:9	64:24	analytical 40:8	approved 88:19	69:20 70:9,9,11
126:23 135:1	admit 151:16,18	42:7	148:15	71:2,7,9,20,22
140:2 142:16	151:21	analyzed 76:1	approximate	72:1,4,20 75:2
	admitted 104:15	answer 7:24 15:23	143:19	103:23 104:5,7,9
accurately 52:23 96:5	adopted 134:23	19:11 20:10	approximately	104:23 105:2,23
ACM 4:21 19:8	148:4	38:23 50:23	4:5 11:4 48:1	106:7 148:1
21:24 22:1,3,6,8	AE 6:8 57:12	64:6 70:5 72:10	51:6,7 93:14	149:4,15 150:9
22:9,15 29:10	88:19 89:12,14	75:13 138:12	137:16 143:22	as-builts 69:16
30:18 31:11	90:5,17 92:4,7	140:22 143:16	April 82:19	asbestos 61:3 75:6
38:22 43:1,4,5	93:8 134:4,15	151:3	133:15	75:9 85:7 110:9
59:21,23 79:1	135:15 136:23	answered 71:13	arced 87:5	119:19
83:24 84:10	138:15	AOM 91:19	area 10:11 12:3	asbestos-contai
91:23 99:10	AEM 130:16	apologize 6:18	17:21 18:2 19:8	65:17 76:15
	affect 64:10 127:1	28:13 62:11	22:7 29:1,6,7,10	125:18
119:11,22 141:9 147:16	128:1 129:19	94:2 122:24	30:6,18,19 42:19	asked 20:10 41:11
ACM's 137:7	afternoon 81:21	140:12	47:18 48:8	46:4,18 57:22
	130:14,15	apparently 43:15	50:18 51:12	58:23 60:11
ACM-containing 22:10	ago 13:15 140:15	44:12	59:23 61:4,12	64:16 67:10
	agree 16:11 56:3	appear 95:8 106:1	70:2 73:22	77:18,21 146:19
acres 30:8 126:18 action 4:21 21:1	73:5 90:21	appearance	85:20 86:9,19	asking 58:2
	136:24	110:13	87:1,5 98:1,2,14	104:21 132:3
57:7 64:21,23 154:12	agreed 24:14	appeared 2:7,12	98:15,20 99:16	143:3,9
action's 154:13	33:22 89:12	110:16	99:20 100:23	aspect 110:6
active 47:12	152:16 153:4	appears 21:24	101:5 102:9,12	132:21
active 47.12	102.10 100.1	-PP	101.0 102.7,12	10-1-1
	l	l	l	

				1496 130
assess 52:23	attributions 13:6	20:1 30:23	143:4 149:3	believes 114:16
assessed 102:10	16:13 24:20	134:24,24	basically 23:19	Bell 21:20 77:4
associated 9:2	25:5 28:6,18	back 5:6 6:7 12:12	42:1 89:11	beneath 47:9,14
47:2 58:21	32:15 34:1 37:5	15:20 18:17	94:23 114:22	49:11
assume 19:9 42:12	83:20 84:2	21:14 23:10	basis 70:2	better 15:9
43:18 45:19	87:16 99:17	25:19 29:22	bass 52:19	beyond 69:22
assumed 15:23	111:9,13 112:14	30:12 31:12	Beach 1:15	104:18 140:5
22:1 103:11	113:10 115:13	32:6 36:1 39:12	began 89:19	143:8
assuming 53:7	116:8 122:4,6	46:15 48:7	beginning 21:22	bibliography
148:10	128:1 129:7,18	49:24 55:9 62:9	50:6,15 60:7	56:17,18 135:18
assumption	147:12 153:2	65:23 66:10	begins 40:15	135:24 146:20
116:16 117:16	Atwel 148:12	67:2 68:22	42:22 48:3	bid 68:8
117:17,23	Atwell 62:17 68:6	80:21 81:1 83:6	59:18 136:11	bider 93:21
assumptions	74:2	92:6 96:14 98:4	behalf 2:7,12	big 58:6 64:10
137:4	Atwell's 69:12	99:8 107:23	beings 141:19	113:17
AT&T 31:5 34:7	authorized 154:5	111:19 112:21	belief 82:15 92:14	Bill 21:20 77:4
36:7 37:20,20	AutoCAD 89:17	118:11 121:10	believe 5:17 7:9	binder 6:21 81:23
114:9,11 115:1	132:7	122:23,24 130:9	13:11 14:8	81:24 82:15
115:11,14	Avenue 46:24	144:4,7 145:2,17		91:6,8
116:17 136:7,17	47:3,15,19 48:2	backfilled 108:21	29:1,20 30:12	binders 48:17
AT&T's 117:14	48:5,13 49:7,9	background	33:20 38:23	137:18,19
attachment 53:3	49:11,23 51:2	138:2	41:24 51:10	bit 18:8 51:6
attorney 64:17	68:13,24 69:7,10	backup 42:4	61:15 62:5	67:14 93:15
154:10,11	70:19,22 72:8	bad 123:4	63:13,16 64:8,22	97:14 102:15
attributable 12:4	79:21 80:2,3,6	badgering 73:3	65:3,18 66:18	118:19
82:19 119:3	94:24 99:24	base 7:16 8:22	68:12,19 74:15	black 47:4,8 63:2
attribute 28:19	100:7,11,16,20	10:21 12:4,7,16	75:2 88:4 90:18	73:9 76:16
82:7 124:15	101:2,6,11,12,18	12:17 27:24	94:8 96:7 98:21	106:23 109:4
attributed 123:9	102:10,21 125:7	32:10 45:24	99:3 101:17	block 47:6
123:12 126:16	146:6,9,17	52:13,20 62:2,20	102:1 103:11	blow 105:19
attributes 34:15	Avenue's 146:8	87:10,13,18,20	104:20 113:2,15	blow-up 152:16
120:7	avoid 125:14	87:20,23 88:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	blowup 89:8
attributing 83:12	aware 50:12	94:10 110:18	115:5,7,14 116:5	152:19
attribution 4:23		135:16	116:21 119:24	blue 90:8 92:9,23
9:6 11:8 12:8	B	based 9:13 10:2	120:9 121:5,16	93:16 97:24
13:1 20:1 23:15	B 3:12	10:21 12:4 14:1	122:10 123:24	134:15,21,23
27:20 35:11	B3 112:11	16:14 17:12	124:9 125:9	board 1:1,12
36:17 37:19	B3-26 115:4,8,9	37:18 69:13	126:8,22 130:22	17:23 20:4
84:15 110:24	B3-SS 45:11	73:11,13 86:3	133:4,6 134:1	21:10 23:4,6
111:15 115:2	B3-XX 91:18	87:2 89:13,14	136:22 140:24	38:8,12,21 42:13
116:12,14,18	B345 18:1 20:1	92:4 98:22	145:20 146:21	43:14,15 52:24
119:10 120:19	30:24 135:6	106:21 112:14	147:13 149:7,14	58:13,16 61:22
120:21 123:16	B346 20:13,17	118:17 119:14	150:5,8	64:3,17,20 65:4
127:1,16,22	23:5 31:3	126:16,19 135:8	believed 9:12	65:16 67:4 77:3
128:7 129:1,6,19	B350 17:21 19:17	136:11,17 137:4	124:24	78:16 79:4
, , ,				
	I	I	I	I

85:22 88:22	91:16,22 92:1,3	46:4,18 48:22	129:13	cap 125:14 126:6
98:22 101:13,19	92:11,18 93:7,13	53:11,15 55:23	build 106:24	capped 29:8
101:20 102:6	93:16,17 94:7	59:3 60:11	145:13,17	capping 29:18
119:5 152:8	95:16,24 96:6	63:22 64:2 65:6	building 79:2,5	31:9 32:16 36:9
Board's 9:2 58:17	100:4 113:12,24	65:8 66:3,6,11	80:4 107:4	37:21 125:22
61:15 63:12,18	114:5 118:12	66:12,15 70:7	142:20	126:4,12 127:4,9
66:17 79:15	119:4 124:17	71:13,16,19	Buildings 105:13	case 14:8 23:14
boards 89:2	125:16 126:19	72:15 73:7	built 8:19 68:2	61:2 71:3 79:8
book 6:19 39:23	126:23 134:24	75:15 77:18,19	69:15 100:18	79:22 80:16
57:2 60:2 88:9	139:15	78:11 79:14	104:6	83:1 87:4
border 119:16	bottom 5:20 11:1	80:12,13 81:4,7	bulletin 47:11	104:13 137:21
borders 117:18	36:14 44:3 50:4	81:12,20 85:13	Bush 126:17	137:22 151:8
bore 27:12 43:13	84:6 102:19	85:16 91:10,12	bypass 100:20	cases 19:14 61:4
150:22	106:23 107:12	93:22,24 95:21		categories 25:20
boring 14:23	107:17,18	97:21 100:13,15	C	25:24 26:1
17:21 18:6,12	108:12,16	100:22 102:7	C-0022JM004753	34:21 36:5
19:8,9,14 20:7	137:11 141:18	103:5,18 104:20	6:1	116:6 128:16,23
20:13,14,18,20	142:3,4 149:2,8	105:6,18,21	cad 14:10 89:20	category 26:21
20:21 31:2 40:8	Boulevard 1:15	122:19 131:6,12	96:4 130:17,20	cause 1:11 76:2,8
41:6,19 42:1,6	bound 55:16	131:13 132:2,14	130:22 131:4	cells 33:11,13,15
44:7 45:6,7,12	boundaries 40:23	132:19,20	132:5,6,9,18	36:10
45:19,21 57:20	63:4,20 64:4	139:19 140:20	calculate 5:5	certain 61:12
57:21 58:5,6,11	78:3	143:3,6,7 144:15	122:12	83:23 84:7,17,19
58:11,12,18,21	boundary 22:9	144:16 145:2,5	calculated 5:1,7	99:18 140:3
58:22,22 59:2	89:3 90:6,9	147:18,20	9:7,11 114:22	141:1
61:6,13,21 68:17	92:16 93:11,12	148:19,22	119:7 128:12	certify 154:4,9
73:11,19 75:18	94:22 95:2,8,13	149:20 151:1,10	calculates 118:6	cetera 51:24
75:24 78:5	96:21 97:1	152:4	calculating	cgrant@atg.sta
84:21 86:10,17	146:7	Brice's 105:4	135:14	2:11
87:7 91:19	box 29:4 125:1	bridge 76:6	calculation 10:1	change 54:4,6,13
92:22 96:10	141:18,19,21	bridget 131:16	11:8 30:3 31:12	55:6,20 64:8
98:16 103:14,17	150:1,2,16	briefly 100:9	32:5 37:17,18	70:10,13 97:20
108:3,4 109:1,2	boxes 16:21	bring 75:12	62:5 118:14	105:1 120:1
109:5,7,18,23	brace 75:16	broadly 98:9	120:11 121:5	129:7
114:24 115:5	Bradley 1:11 4:3	brought 91:9	calculations 9:4	changed 55:4,21
122:1	break 13:23 39:10	bucket 35:2,3	9:23 10:13 27:8	69:17 73:24
borings 5:15,23	110:15 130:3	127:22,23,24	28:17,18 33:12	87:13 93:11
6:4 7:22 8:14,23	144:17	128:7 152:24	36:21 38:3	104:8
9:1,3 14:9 29:16	Brice 2:2 3:6,7,8,8	153:2	58:10 86:8	changes 64:9
39:20 41:22,23	3:9,10 4:7,16,17	buckets 33:11	111:3 117:24	70:14,18 87:16
61:7,16,20 67:1	4:19 6:20,21,24	35:20 36:6 37:9	123:5 128:15	87:16 96:11
73:13,23 74:6,14	16:7,8,12 18:23	38:3,5 64:9	129:1,2,20	characterization
74:16 75:23	35:15,16 38:19	83:19 99:18	136:19 138:20	26:22 40:4 67:7
76:5,8 79:11	39:5 41:11	125:23 126:5	called 1:12 135:6	characterizations
85:1,8,21 86:2,8	44:15 45:9,16	127:20 128:5	148:1	40:7
	,			
<u> </u>	•	•	•	•

				Page 158
1070	141.7	1.1.100.10		
charged 27:8	141:7	completed 102:13	146:23	contrast 98:18
chart 35:4	Clearwater 1:15	139:4	considering 86:4	119:7
charts 26:21	close 109:18	comprised 17:8	102:1 119:2	control 1:1,12 9:2
chase 37:23	closely 95:9	26:21	consistency 110:8	20:4 99:4,9
check 73:23 152:3	collected 22:2	computer 14:7	110:15	controlling 99:7
Chicago 2:5,10	43:12 114:4	Con 57:12 88:19	consistent 83:12	converted 69:15
chief 80:17	collectively	89:12,14 90:17	113:20	coordinates 7:11
CHRISTOPHER	124:13 151:19	92:4 134:4	construction 7:12	90:11
2:8	152:6	136:23 138:15	26:8 34:2,3,6	copy 21:8,16,17
cinder 47:4,6,8	colored 62:23	Con's 6:8 92:8	36:5 46:6 48:2,5	Corporation 1:3
76:16	colors 134:10	93:8 134:15	48:9 50:3,19,22	correct 5:16 6:14
cindery 109:5	column 36:7 38:1	135:15	51:3 67:19,23	7:22 8:5,9,17,24
circle 50:5	columns 38:1	concept 20:24	68:24 70:10	9:1,23 10:3,7,12
citation 137:10,22	Com's 90:5	57:20 58:24	72:14 74:16	10:20,22,23 12:5
citations 137:12	combined 13:4,5	60:24 61:20	100:1,8,21	12:8,11,22 13:2
cites 56:17	32:18 127:24	concerning 99:20	102:14 104:4	13:24 14:7,20
City 96:13 123:6	come 10:6,12 12:7	conclusion 99:2	129:5	17:1,9,22 19:5,6
123:10,13	52:22 75:19	concrete 43:6	construction-rel	19:22 20:7,12
claim 8:4 90:11	87:20 99:1	condition 87:2	128:13,15	23:15 24:5,21
claimed 95:22	118:13 127:23	conditions 73:24	Consultan 89:12	25:6,14,15,18
clarification 53:11	comes 68:12 69:6	84:9,19 86:5	consultants 41:20	27:15,20,21,22
56:16	116:23	87:24 100:3	148:13	28:12,15 29:4,16
clarify 53:23	coming 42:14	103:12	contained 22:2,9	30:3,4,9,11 31:3
55:19 70:18	86:8 100:19	conducted 41:20	29:3 52:9 88:14	31:4,8,23 32:8
94:17 121:13	144:14 145:2	confining 111:15	containing 22:8	32:11,21,22
136:14	comment 77:11	confused 107:10	59:23	33:13 34:16,22
clarity 127:20	112:1,5,9 143:16	108:10	contains 7:10	35:20,24 36:14
clay 57:20	comments 51:24	confusion 145:24	contaminated	37:13,21 39:20
clean 20:14 27:12	60:20 77:5,7,8,9	connect4d 154:12	19:10,22 20:6,18	40:12 41:13
57:21 58:10,11	77:10,12	connected 129:9	20:20 21:4	45:1 47:20 51:4
59:2 61:18,21	Commission	connection 78:16	113:24 114:5	51:13 52:18
85:10 117:18	154:18	79:5	contamination	53:7 54:11,21
119:20,23 122:1	Commonwealth	Consent 64:24	18:11 79:1 86:9	56:18 58:13
139:8,11,14,17	65:1	consider 24:23	context 58:16,17	62:24 64:19
140:4,17 141:1	company 41:21	64:20 65:4 84:7	84:4 125:4	65:2 68:4,6,9,10
147:12,15	compared 8:22	84:17 86:7,16	Continued 3:6	68:14,18,20 69:1
cleanest 18:6,12	89:5 92:12 93:8	98:19 100:6	4:18	69:4,11,13,14,14
19:14 20:13	comparing 61:11	102:8	continues 99:10	70:13 72:1,2
115:5	64:4 78:2,5	consideration	continuing 99:9	73:1,20 77:24
cleaning 58:16	comparison 129:1	61:10	144:5	78:3,4,10,23
cleanup 84:7,9,17	comparisons 92:7	considerations	contractor 47:10	79:3 80:2 82:13
113:13	compensate 37:3	100:1	50:1,11 68:8	83:5 88:3,17
cleanups 51:18	Complainant 1:4	considered 69:16	72:3	89:9,18,23 90:2
clear 30:17 51:6	complete 25:12	100:3 101:18	contractor's 49:15	90:19,20 93:18
56:15 61:14	154:7	123:22,23	contractors 49:12	93:19 96:22

				Page 139
00.7 0 101.1 17	111.22 116.6	101.12	domonstrated	16.5 0 10 19.24
98:7,8 101:1,17	111:22 116:6 118:22 128:16	101:12 crossed 101:6	demonstrated 134:9	16:5,9,10 18:24
102:17,18,22,23			- '-	38:15 65:10
103:24 106:11	138:18	crossing 130:10	demonstrates	130:24 131:4
106:12 109:8,11	costs 25:8,21 26:7	culpability 64:20	129:4	132:17 133:2,4,8
109:13 110:19	26:12 83:13,24	current 22:5	demonstrative	137:11
111:10 112:15	128:13,15	59:18,20	33:16 46:5,8,9	depositions 19:5
113:3,17,21	counsel 154:10,12	currently 98:2	128:5,20,21	130:23
115:1,3,7 120:2	count 22:18	cut 37:23	152:19,23	depth 43:13
120:3,16,17	counted 20:21	cutting 144:13	denomination 9:7	107:17 108:15
121:11 123:7,8	counting 23:14	D	denominator 4:22	describe 41:17
123:10,11,14	County 1:13	-	10:3 11:11	93:10 100:9
124:19 126:20	154:3	D 3:3 60:13 82:7	17:11 25:14	121:3
126:21 129:15	couple 90:15	D3-15 8:18	27:17,21 30:2,8	described 43:5
129:16 130:19	111:19 129:17	D315 41:19	31:15 32:4	53:19 110:14
132:12 133:9,11	145:6 151:12	D3C 8:18	34:12 36:4	describes 117:1
133:12,16,17,20	course 137:13	D4 100:10	116:5,11 120:16	description 42:2,7
135:8 137:7,8	court 66:12 154:4	damages 7:8	120:20 127:5	135:1 152:10
139:2,12 141:6	cover 29:9 40:10	63:13 82:7,18	129:22	design 104:5,11
141:10 142:10	CQM 62:16	135:14	denote 38:2 70:18	109:21
142:13 146:18	create 14:11 27:12	date 4:5 60:5	denoted 69:19	designate 95:13
146:23,24 147:5	53:8,18 87:10	74:22	89:10 124:24	destruction 26:20
148:2,3,5,6,8,14	103:21 127:23	dated 59:14,15	denotes 75:6	detected 19:8
148:17 149:7,16	created 39:20	60:8 82:8,19	denoting 45:11	22:15 76:1
149:17,23 150:4	40:19 52:13	133:10,15	Department 1:6	determination
150:5,7,10,11,13	85:5 117:18	151:23 154:15	2:12 105:12	61:22 119:14
150:14,19,20	132:7 149:2	dates 133:17,18	depend 13:6	determine 19:13
corrected 56:5	creating 7:16	day 4:4 123:2	28:18 37:4	21:24 22:5
92:15	45:24,24 52:19	154:15	dependent 32:19	59:21 61:8
corrections 95:8	creation 85:9	daytime 4:6	depending 18:8	95:15,23 128:6
correctly 23:2	criteria 141:11	DDG 148:15	64:12	153:1
149:22	cross 3:6,7,9 29:3	dealing 70:8	depict 55:5 71:4	determining
correspondence	130:12 144:5	72:13	71:10,21 142:5	32:15
131:9,13 151:23	cross-examinati	debris 75:17,23	depicted 22:8	detour 46:6 67:21
corridor 8:4 27:12	4:6,18 53:22	76:7,15 109:23	23:21 45:20	68:12,14 69:5
85:5,10 119:4,8	cross-hatched	109:24	56:7 59:23	79:2,5,9,16
119:20,23	125:1	decision 99:5	63:20 68:19	100:19 101:3,6
136:18 140:4,17	cross-section	101:15,21	71:5 76:18 78:8	101:10,15,23
corridors 61:4	46:24 47:11	decrease 36:24	106:2,10	101:10,13,23
139:8,11,14,17	49:6 80:1	define 12:3	depicting 71:3	142:12,15,23
141:1,7 147:12	101:23 102:2,11	defined 86:3	145:10	142:12,13,23
	101:23 102:2,11	117:6 140:8,13	- 10 1 - 0	developed 94:16
147:15		140:15	depicts 16:1 41:18 41:19 71:22	-
cost 10:11 25:2,12	107:8 108:8	defining 126:11		development 74:8
28:9,11 35:1	146:13,15	degree 83:1	deposed 19:3	dewater 24:15
36:11 59:14	cross-sections	Delaware 1:2	deposition 14:17	dewatering 24:10
83:24 84:4	49:13 50:8,9	Diawait 1.2	15:13,14,15 16:3	25:20 26:1,2,7,8
		l	1	
Ē-				

				Page 100
27:7,11 28:9,17	131:15 134:1	143:13,18	147:6	Early 53:16
28:20,20 122:3,4	138:15,16	144:22 148:1	draft 40:11 45:10	easier 16:15
122:8,9,15 123:5	discusses 42:3	150:3,18,23	82:20 94:13	east 23:10,11,12
123:17 124:5,15	discussing 4:21	documentation	drafting 43:16	23:19,20,22,24
diameter 86:18	62:1 63:11	138:17	drawing 9:3 18:3	24:6 48:6,10
difference 63:19	112:19 132:13		92:4 93:5 147:4	50:23 51:1 69:6
	137:6	documented 90:17		
104:1,10 124:11	discussion 46:14	documents 42:5	149:5,16 152:20 152:23	69:7 93:3,15,17
129:5 135:14				97:15,19 101:21
142:19	57:4 79:16	76:22 89:16	drawings 74:2,3	111:15 112:10
differences 134:4	133:5 146:22	93:6 95:1	90:4,5 96:4	119:11 125:8
different 10:5,6,8	disperse 96:18	131:18 147:2	150:9	134:23
10:9,12,13 19:12	displayed 138:5	doing 39:13 83:20	drawn 148:7	easted 113:6
34:14,15 36:5,24	dispute 26:17,22	84:1 117:24	drew 8:11 19:18	eastern 13:18
61:9 62:4,23	27:2,4 39:3	dollars 63:17	21:9 93:22	14:11,24 85:2
63:4,19 75:20	disseminated	door 65:8 104:21	102:17 105:18	easy 28:4
78:3 83:18,19	141:12	Dorgan 9:3 11:2	drill 73:16	Ebihara 21:21
88:19,21 106:6	distance 5:7 13:17	24:14 26:12,19	drilled 43:13	115:18 138:17
120:14 124:16	14:10,23 118:7	33:6,15,17 34:1	drive 84:9	147:6
124:18 134:9	distances 7:20	34:9,24 35:7,10	driven 84:18,21	Ebihara's 138:19
Differentiating	12:24 127:17	35:18,18 36:11	140:18	ECB 51:18
91:22	distribution 52:21	38:6 44:12	driver 126:16	eco 77:5
differently 26:9	diverge 93:2	62:18 81:5,8,14	driving 85:3	economic 63:3,5
26:11 35:2	Divide 4:24	81:21 82:7,18	125:24 126:5	64:4
difficult 95:3	divided 5:11 9:17	89:7 91:13 94:3	drop 97:4	edge 13:18 14:11
digital 132:6	12:21 17:5	105:7,22 112:8	dropped 55:8	14:24 55:7,8
dimensions 24:4	25:11 28:6,10	129:2 130:11,14	97:2	94:24 118:8,13
96:11	34:2 114:12	140:19,23	drops 55:10	124:3
direct 3:8 16:17	division 10:2	141:15 143:16	drove 24:15 85:9	Edison 65:1
33:10 40:1	105:13	144:12,21 145:6	113:12	effort 62:2 102:14
47:22 55:15	DMP 26:7	145:8 147:23	duly 4:11,15	either 46:9 127:22
81:6,18 134:10	document 7:6,10	148:24	81:16	elaborate 85:6
143:14 152:21	7:15,18 8:15	Dorgan's 11:15	Dutton 89:20	99:23 110:11
directing 81:5	40:2 41:5 44:1,3	24:12 26:23	90:18 148:10	145:22
direction 134:17	44:20 45:3,10,10	43:20,22 44:9,21	Dutton's 90:21	electric 112:3
directly 143:12	49:2,14 59:11	53:5 62:17		electrifying 112:2
disagree 111:12	60:7,10 70:17,20	104:18 152:20	E	electronic 132:18
118:22	70:24 71:1	dos 118:4	E 3:3,12	132:21 133:1,7
disagreement	72:24 77:4	dots 92:23,24	EAM 119:11	elements 128:22
119:1	79:24 82:5,16,20	dotted 63:1,2	earlier 5:18 7:20	129:6 140:3
discovered 132:16	91:1,14 92:10	Double 137:17,18	38:9 50:2 58:9	elevation 40:22
133:1	94:4,6,9,13	doubling 53:9	85:24 91:1	Ellen 2:8 38:16
discussed 26:19	103:7 104:14	Douglas 81:14	98:13 119:24	53:11
64:3 67:24 68:5	105:7,11,16	82:7,18	123:1 124:6	ELM 39:19 41:20
68:11 99:19	106:21 111:20	Dr 21:21,21	125:12 131:17	42:22,23,24,24
114:11,12 124:6	132:7 137:16	115:18 138:17	140:15	43:3,4,12 44:7
1111,12.120	102.7 107.10	110.10 100.17		, 1,12 11.7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	I

				Page 101
44:12 45:6,7,11	EPA 19:20 20:5	23:9 24:1,4,18	expanding 47:1	facts 135:15
45:20,21 91:24	21:4 76:20	24:22 25:4,6	expect 110:12	fading 97:16
email 131:14	119:13	31:11 36:8	expect 110.12 expected 73:15,18	failed 99:20
embankment 47:2		48:12 50:6,7,15	experience 51:13	failings 99:24
	esbestos-contai 65:5	50:24 78:7 84:2	51:14,19 52:2	fails 84:7 86:16
48:3,10 50:3,20			· ·	
50:23 68:2,24	essence 47:1 53:18	90:16 92:20	109:17	failure 99:15
75:21 80:4	56:13 62:20	96:12 97:8,10	expert 8:8,9 43:22	fair 42:2 63:15
100:17 125:10	establish 12:16	111:1,14,16	44:9,21 57:17	67:6
125:15 138:8	13:5	112:13 113:14	62:19 77:23	fall 8:14,16 139:8
embankments	established 5:18	122:16 123:7	81:5 82:6,17	falling 23:16 24:7
67:22 76:6	6:3 40:18,21	137:7	91:3 130:11	falls 16:24 23:20
EML 43:15 91:24	75:9 128:11	excerpt 6:17	132:11 133:10	24:2 79:9 119:8
employee 154:10	estimating 116:7	exchanges 70:23	133:13,14	135:7
154:11	et 51:24	excuse 36:18	expert's 7:8 10:22	far 23:10,11,22
encounter 73:18	evaluation 59:13	43:19 44:19	experts 128:6	24:6 29:14
encountered	111:22	121:12 126:13	152:24	57:12 80:3
84:19	events 68:1	135:4	expires 154:18	102:14 104:3
encountering	everybody 4:20	exercise 135:13	explain 57:24 58:5	106:4 125:8
47:17	21:8	exhibit 3:13 8:24	60:24 83:17	fast 23:3
ended 92:18 102:1	evidence 131:12	15:15 21:8,10	84:13 86:20	feature 95:9
ENFORCE 1:6	exact 114:3	36:6 37:11,15,24	87:12 94:19	features 90:14
enforcement 21:1	exactly 11:9 14:19	39:22,24 40:13	104:1 107:10	92:19 94:18
21:3 119:17	38:7 50:1 72:19	42:17,24 43:19	108:10 118:24	134:5,6,7
engineer's 14:6	72:21 140:14	44:19,19 46:5,19	122:7 128:19	February 59:15
engineering 59:13	examination 3:6,6	49:2 52:16 53:4	146:2	60:8 151:23
76:3	3:7,7,8,8,9,9,10	56:19 59:8 60:2	explained 26:12	federal 105:14
enter 121:17	3:10 39:16	60:2,14 62:8,11	explains 26:4	fee 140:10
entered 121:7	58:21 66:14	62:12 66:17,21	exposure 141:9	feet 4:23,24 9:9,15
entire 19:21,24	78:13 79:13	67:11 77:3,10,21	extend 22:6	11:4,7,12,20
22:1 29:24	81:6,18 130:12	79:17 81:23	119:20	12:11,15,20,22
30:23 31:13	143:14 145:4	91:4,14 111:18	extended 22:10	13:19 14:10
32:1 55:10 67:8	147:21 148:21	121:15 122:1	extending 119:15	15:1,7 16:18
87:3 98:10,20	example 22:12	128:5 133:22	extent 19:13	17:1,3,12,15
115:14 117:19	36:19 85:4	134:21 135:22	21:24 58:21	27:14 28:1,7
119:23 120:12	examples 83:21	135:22 141:23	104:17 127:21	31:13,22 32:8
122:11 127:10	84:24	144:8,21,22	143:8,9	43:13 55:9,10
136:18 137:21	excavate 106:22	145:7 151:24,24	extracted 61:12	56:14 83:23
137:22 147:9	107:1	152:8,12,16,18		93:14 112:17
entirely 151:4	excavated 18:11	152:22,23	F	114:15,23
entitled 152:24	20:6,11	exhibits 146:11	face 88:15	116:23,24 117:4
entity 64:21	excavation 4:21	151:9,10,18,19	facility 60:22	118:7 120:6,15
enumerator	7:10 13:10,16,18	151:21 152:4	107:5	120:23 121:4,21
116:21	13:24 15:11,22	existing 49:8,22	fact 84:17	121:23 123:20
eolaughlin@atg	16:13,18,24 17:8	142:17	factor 35:23	123:24 124:2,8
2:11	19:21 22:23	expanded 139:15	factored 128:23	127:11 143:19
	-	F		
	I	<u> </u>	I	I

				1436 102
143:23	143:20,24 144:9	Floor 2:9	75:8 79:20	85:8 120:23
fell 18:3 114:16,23	filled 107:23	Florez 148:12	146:2	general 32:23
114:24	filling 29:17 31:9	Florida 1:14,15	four 24:21 25:20	33:6,24 34:21
felt 114:23,24	32:14,15 36:8	154:2	25:24 26:1,5,10	36:2 48:8,8
fiber 112:5,9	37:20 125:22	focused 84:16	43:13	76:22 129:7
field 104:6	126:4,12 127:3,9	focuses 98:9	fourth 4:4	generally 74:1,20
fight 123:20	127:16 147:8	follow 88:7,10	fragments 43:1,5	109:20 126:7
figure 14:17 21:14	final 6:13 15:10	followed 88:16	FRANZETTI 2:1	145:9
37:17 41:6 44:8	15:22,24 40:6	following 63:18	front 81:24	generate 80:1
44:13 45:2	51:17 62:15	99:10	105:17	Generation 2:7
46:22 49:7,11	89:16 94:15	follows 4:15 22:8	full 43:11 137:3	geographical 87:1
51:11 53:5,10	104:23 105:8	59:24 81:16	150:3,18 151:20	geography 84:16
57:23 58:2,3,4,7	123:18 136:22	foot 50:11 98:20	further 3:7,8,10	86:3
60:15 62:4,8,19	137:13,15	127:5	22:4 23:24 39:5	geology 42:7 47:1
67:11,15 71:23	138:16	footage 9:7,12	55:17 66:1	49:10 75:19
77:7,8,9,11,12	financially 154:12	10:16,19,21	78:11,13 79:13	geotechnical
80:1 91:5,24	find 37:8 38:9,12	16:14 27:8	93:4,17 94:17	74:14,17 75:18
94:15 100:13	61:1 78:16 79:4	29:24 84:1	96:19 113:5	75:22 109:17,22
102:16 103:21	110:12 125:18	112:14	144:11 145:1	GG 154:17
106:5 112:8	137:20,21	footnote 26:23	147:18 148:18	give 18:16,19
114:17 131:21	finding 38:21	27:1 137:11	148:21 149:19	22:12 73:17
135:2,6,8 138:1	60:13	footnotes 147:1	154:9	74:16 83:21
138:5 142:4,16	fine 7:6 27:6	for-information	furthest 112:10	114:17 122:23
143:4,10 144:8	101:24	150:1	future 49:8,22	given 84:24
146:4 148:24	finished 151:8	for-information		113:21
149:1,2,13 150:6	FIP 95:6	150:17	G	gives 49:21
150:7	first 4:9,14 7:8	foregoing 154:6	G 82:18	go 5:3 10:6,24
figures 19:12 53:9	18:24 38:15	form 32:14 38:3	Gale 2:2 151:12	12:12 13:10
53:17 54:4	43:10,11,21 44:6	45:21 55:23	151:16	15:20 18:24
69:13 87:10	44:13,23,24 45:5	127:4 144:14	gas 8:3,3 9:8,12	21:7,7,10 23:3
88:6,9,14,15,18	47:23 52:16	149:15	9:20 10:15	23:10 25:1 28:3
89:15,24 113:18	54:7 55:13,17,21	format 131:16	11:10,16,20	29:18 31:9
145:8,24 151:24	56:6,7 58:1	132:6	12:18 13:7,8	32:23 33:2
figuring 63:17	67:24 70:1	forms 83:18	24:15,16,16,21	35:11 36:1
140:9	78:21 81:15	forth 26:23	25:6 26:13	41:15 44:3 50:9
file 53:3 130:17,20	83:7 88:22	forum 61:1	33:11 34:7	58:15 59:8,17
130:22 131:4	89:19 90:7 91:2	found 29:10 30:18	35:20 36:5,8	61:5 64:13,13
132:5,6,9,18,22	91:3 97:13,23	40:9 42:8 43:1	37:20 38:22	66:5,6 67:2
133:1,8	104:15 113:23	51:22 52:3	78:7 83:19	68:16,22 80:1
filed 152:8	114:4 121:17	56:10,19 64:17	96:13 99:18	83:6,7,10 91:5
fill 47:5,6,8 73:9	134:6 136:7,10	75:1,24 76:8	103:13 118:20	93:20 95:5 98:4
76:16 107:18	136:12 137:3	84:22 86:2 95:7	119:2,9 120:5,12	98:5 99:8 111:3
108:16 109:5	138:2	95:10 98:22	121:6 122:16	113:15 116:21
142:9,11,14,24	five 143:23	109:24 147:16	123:6,9 125:23	117:13 118:5,11
143:1,4,9,13,17	fixed 92:16 97:5	foundation 45:9	GASK 47:11 85:4	121:10,11,24

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 11/4/2020

October 29, 2020

				1490 103
122:24 123:3,15	28:5 29:18 30:6	117:20 125:7	132:1,19,23	52:12,16,20 54:7
125:8 127:19	32:18 33:16	146:6,8,9,16	134:16 139:20	54:9,22 55:1,13
132:1 137:2	35:17 36:1,20	grid 17:20 19:21	140:22 143:5,11	55:18,20 56:6,8
140:5 141:17	47:14 57:8,20	19:22 20:1,6,7	143:15 144:4,13	56:22 57:1 59:5
goal 52:19	58:20 66:4	22:1,2,9,21	144:18 147:19	60:1,5,9,12,17
Gobelman 3:5 4:7	67:23 70:21	30:23 40:15,18	148:19 151:2,7	62:1 63:6,9,24
4:8,9,11,13 6:21	76:8 77:7,8	40:20,21 87:3	151:11 153:3	64:3,5 65:11,20
39:14,18 46:18	79:21 81:1,22	98:14,20 112:10	hand 14:4,16	65:23 66:3,7,10
51:13 52:12	88:1 100:9	112:10	18:16 21:12	68:1 69:23 70:1
54:23 57:19	101:9 104:14	grids 17:9,20	91:7 95:14	70:4 71:14,17
60:11,13,20	107:13 108:13	113:13	104:14 141:12	72:10 73:5
66:16 78:15	110:23 114:16	ground 95:13	152:20	75:12 78:12
80:15 81:22,24	130:9 133:21	115:23 142:17	handing 91:13	80:11,14,19 81:1
,			happen 57:2	, ,
82:15,23 83:11 85:19,19 86:16	134:3 144:7,18	Group 89:12	1 1	81:3,10 85:11,14
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	145:6 148:23	guess 112:12	106:9,13	88:22 91:3,11
87:5,9 89:5 90:7	151:14,17,17	Gulf 1:14	happened 69:18	95:19 97:16
94:6 95:22	152:6,7	guys 34:10	71:2 92:14	102:4 103:4,10
96:16 97:9,17	good 4:3 43:9	H	96:24 107:22	104:15 105:3
98:9,18 99:19	51:11 81:21	$\frac{1}{\mathbf{H}}$ 3:12	108:20	113:23 114:5
102:24 103:6	87:23 129:11	half 34:7	happening 67:19	130:1,4,9 131:8
110:18 111:8,13	130:14,15	halfway 5:8 20:21	67:21 68:3	131:11,21 132:1
114:22 116:4	Gorgan 113:2	22:19 51:7	109:13	132:19,23
119:7 120:4,22	124:20	57:21 58:5,10,15	happens 23:13,14	134:16 139:20
123:16 124:23	gotten 116:13	58:20 121:20	hashing 30:6	140:22 143:5,11
125:21 128:10	grade 47:14 49:8	Halloran 1:12 4:2	hatched 29:3,7	143:15 144:4,13
128:12 129:20	49:8,22,22	4:3,16 6:19 16:7	head 29:12 42:11	144:18 147:19
134:5 135:6,10	107:24 108:1,22	16:11 18:21	42:16 74:24	148:19 151:2,7
136:9 151:24	108:23 142:18		134:17	151:11 153:3
Gobelman's 82:11	grades 47:15	35:13 39:6,9,12	health 37:9,14,16	hearings 41:9
86:1,21 87:13	gray 101:3	44:16 45:13,17	129:14	44:24 54:5 56:8
88:2,18 92:8,11	great 21:18	46:12,15 54:22 55:1 56:22 57:1	hear 85:13 86:12	70:3 78:21
92:14 93:7	green 8:14,16		124:23 131:11	132:11
95:14,18 99:15	28:16 138:7	59:5 60:1,5,9,12	143:5	hearsay 16:4
112:13 113:18	Greenwood 46:24	60:17 63:6,9,24	heard 71:17 85:14	help 5:21
114:10 115:13	47:3,15,19 48:2	64:5 65:11,20,23	85:24 111:10	helpful 25:1 146:3
134:7,14,22	48:5,12 49:6,8	66:3,7,10 70:4	112:16 135:10	highway 105:14
135:14 136:19	49:11,22 51:2	71:14,17 72:10	hearing 1:10,11	highways 105:13
137:10	68:13,18,23 69:7	73:5 75:12	4:2,4,16 6:2,19	Hold 122:23
goes 69:3,22	69:9 70:19,22	78:12 80:11,14	8:19 16:7,11	Honor 65:6
104:18 143:8,12	72:7 79:21 80:2	80:19 81:1,10	18:21 35:13	hour 1:16 4:8
going 4:20 5:6 7:1	80:3,6 94:23	85:11,14 91:11	38:10,13 39:6,9	80:23
8:2 10:6,11,24	99:24 100:7,10	95:19 97:16	39:12 43:21	hundred-foot
11:1,18 15:15	100:16,19 101:2	102:4 103:4,10	44:13,16 45:13	50:10
18:16,17 19:1	101:6,10,12,18	105:3 130:1,4,9	45:17 46:12,15	
21:7,12,12,14,18	102:10,21	131:8,11,21	47:23 51:9	I

ID 43:3,4,7	impacts 64:4	47:13 49:9,12,15	irone 95:10	81:10 83:8
idea 73:17	impeaching 16:8	49:16,19,21 53:6	irrelevant 70:3	101:24 103:8
identification	important 72:2	53:6 70:21 72:2	Irrespective 61:18	117:21 122:2,19
21:19	90:12 102:8,10	72:3,5 74:10,15	issue 114:10	122:22 124:21
identified 85:2,22	110:6	74:18 89:13,14	issues 110:24	128:10 150:22
92:20 100:4	impression 116:4	104:22 136:21	items 28:11 64:10	150:24
132:21 133:24	impression 110.1	141:22 142:8		knowledge 29:7
identify 33:11	inaccurate 9:23	146:23 150:4,15	J	29:11
82:5,16	87:18,21 110:19	150:19,23	James 148:11	KRISTIN 2:2
IDOT 4:4 12:4	137:4	initial 43:20,22	JENNIFFER 2:15	
17:21 18:2 20:1	inappropriate	44:9 82:12	JM 4:4 151:7	L
20:4 23:15 31:4	87:11	104:4 147:13	JM's 78:20	labeled 101:2
33:21 34:1 38:9	inaudible 138:21	inputs 32:20	130:10	138:7
38:13,22 47:1	inches 86:17	152:24	John 111:23	lack 45:9 75:8
52:23 61:9	include 19:24	inspected 42:24	Johns 1:2 53:22	87:23 147:7
63:13,13,18	30:18,19,21,23	installation 43:7	56:20 57:22	laid 16:1 62:16
64:18,23 72:13	31:3,5 79:11	instance 83:23	58:23 60:21	LAND 1:6
72:16 74:11	99:16 135:24	85:1 134:24	65:1 81:4	language 67:9
78:16,24 79:5,9	included 17:21	instances 84:22	132:17	large 137:16,17
80:16 82:8,19	18:1 20:3 78:20	87:4 92:24	joint 152:8	137:18,19
84:22 86:2 99:3	135:11	126:10 140:7	Jr 81:14 82:7,18	larger 86:19 97:22
99:20 100:4,11	includes 140:1	intended 86:18	jumps 57:3	98:1,3 116:11,15
102:13 113:21	includes 140.1	104:3,5	June 131:1 132:16	120:20 129:22
119:3 120:24	92:19 102:20	intending 91:20	133:2,8,11,19	141:5
130:21 131:5	inconsistencies	intending 91.20	juts 77:6	LaSalle 2:3
130.21 131.3	87:22	101:10		latitude 65:9
139:2,16,18,24	inconsistent 16:6	interested 154:13	K	105:5
140:9 148:4	87:9,19	interim 42:14	k@nijmanfranz	latitudes 7:11,19
153:4	incorrect 80:7	43:16 63:19	2:6	layer 110:8
IDOT's 20:9	117:16 122:5,5	interpretation	keep 21:14 77:7	layout 54:16
24:24 85:20	128:16 136:20	80:9 98:22,24	kind 63:24 139:20	62:14,18 89:3
98:23 99:16	incorrectly 96:9	interpreted 61:17	Kindle 111:23	lays 55:12 62:16
123:23 126:11	increase 36:24	72:22	kits 91:16	62:19
128:13,14	increasing 93:4	intersect 146:6	knew 119:18	lead 87:22
135:11	120:20	intersection 40:20	know 6:13 9:24	lease 111:16
Illinois 1:1,6 2:5	independently	100:10 146:12	10:1 15:12 16:9	leaving 130:6
2:10,12 56:21	51:20	intersectionality	18:10 19:3	led 99:1 120:21
105:12	indicate 45:8	145:21 146:16	20:15 21:2,6	ledge 89:12
immediately	indicated 47:24	intervals 50:10	27:5 33:14	left 17:20 29:2
85:21	individual 86:23	investigated 42:22	35:10 38:14	49:3 90:16
impact 36:20 63:3	128:23 129:5	42:23	42:5,10,11,13	106:4 148:5
63:5 99:5,17	inferred 103:1,7	involved 51:15	45:14 47:5,16	legal 37:4,11
113:6 116:8	103:16,19	72:7,13,24	57:12 58:8 61:3	129:15 148:12
120:18 129:23	influence 128:6	involving 123:5	61:6 64:9,14	legend 44:4 45:5
impacted 86:21	information 47:10	iron 95:7	67:6 72:19,23	91:18
impacicu 00.21	miormanon 47.10	11 011 73.7	74:13 76:21	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

length 11:3 31:13	24:16,17,22,23	65:9 85:12	73:11,19 92:18	lowered 143:6
116:17 117:19	24:24 25:3,4,6	93:15 97:14	108:3,4 109:1,2	LRF 59:12
118:17 119:16	30:21 34:7	118:19	108.5,4 107.1,2	LSR 21:21,21
120:5,12 122:11	36:19 42:20	lives 126:4	long 74:11 114:6	lunch 80:20,21
120.3,12 122.11	44:6 45:5 53:24	load 8:12	123:1 132:20	lying 49:11
				lying 49:11
127:10 136:18	54:4,6,13 55:4	loaded 47:9	longer 118:17	M
142:6	55:10,14,16,19	locate 13:24 15:22	130:5	ma'am 65:24
lenth 115:14	56:6,11,12 63:1	67:1 92:1,3 94:7	longitudes 7:12	main 85:5 119:3
123:19	63:2 85:5,8 95:8	115:8	7:19	making 77:6,8,9
let's 10:14 13:10	96:13,13,17,19	located 56:11,12	look 5:20 14:17	77:10,11,11
18:24 21:7 23:3	97:3,12 112:9	75:6 97:7 121:4	26:3 29:22	87:15,16 119:13
27:6 29:17 31:9	113:16 114:4	location 9:20	30:12 35:19	147:3
32:23 33:2	115:24 117:7	14:23,24 40:23	37:11,16 40:14	
35:11,11 36:1	118:20,23 119:3	41:6,19 44:7	51:10 52:17	management 26:8
41:14 59:8	119:9 120:5,5,12	45:6,7,21 54:3	67:2 79:16 86:1	manner 35:7
81:10 96:8	121:6 122:17	54:12,15,15,16	88:8 97:23	Mansville 1:2
112:21 113:15	123:6,10,10,13	55:3 56:5,13	101:8,14,20,22	56:20 57:22
116:22 117:13	134:5,6,7,7,15	61:19 68:17	102:11 116:22	65:1 132:17
118:19 121:10	147:16	78:6,7 91:19	117:3 121:1,10	Manville 58:23
121:11 122:3,13	linear 4:23,24	92:11,15 93:7,9	122:13 135:21	81:4
122:24 123:3	10:19,21 11:7,12	93:14 95:15,23	looked 98:18	Manville's 53:22
letter 21:20 59:12	11:20 12:15,20	96:11,16,16 97:2	100:2,6 102:15	60:21
60:5	12:21 27:8,14	97:5,6 103:14	108:3,4 109:1	map 7:16 8:21,22
letting 46:23	28:1,7 31:22	106:10,21	125:23 126:5	8:23 9:14,21
level 107:19	32:7 83:23 95:9	110:22 113:17	138:10	10:22 12:5,7,16
108:17	114:23 118:7	113:19 114:3	looking 13:15	12:17 13:11
liabilities 31:2	120:6,15 123:20	117:6 118:18,18	49:2 54:17 57:2	14:7 17:13,16
liability 17:22,24	123:24 124:2	121:7,19 122:20	60:6 73:8 76:20	19:18 27:14,24
18:2 24:24 61:9	127:5,11	122:21 143:20	78:19 89:1	32:24 39:19
78:16 79:4,9	lined 24:6	locations 22:15	100:14 107:6	41:5,6,8 43:21
85:21 98:23	lines 31:5 38:16	40:8 42:4 45:20	108:6 117:3	45:20,24 52:1,5
99:17,21 117:9	38:20 40:20	50:9 58:12,18	134:13 146:13	52:6,9,13,15,20
120:24 123:24	62:5,23 78:8	62:15 74:17	146:15	52:22 53:18,21
139:18,24	89:11 112:4	78:6 84:20,22	looks 69:7 76:21	62:2,5,20 63:21
liable 20:4,20 38:9	114:6,11 115:11	86:4,6,24 87:7	121:22 143:1	66:24 76:20,21
38:13,22 64:17	115:14,22	90:13 91:16	lost 23:17 53:19	77:23 78:2
84:22 139:16	116:17 119:21	92:8,9,16,23	139:20	87:10,20,20 88:6
limit 113:8	120:23 134:23	93:5 94:17 96:6	lot 18:5,6 53:6	88:15 91:21
limited 65:12	136:17	96:10 98:10,14	61:24 62:1,2	94:10,20 95:15
84:16 99:18	lining 94:23	115:1 120:14	73:21,21 88:2	95:23 96:15,23
limits 85:20	list 151:19 152:8	124:18	111:2 145:23	110:18 111:8
line 8:3,4,19 9:8	152:10	lock 54:18 70:16	149:11	122:6 130:18
9:12,21 10:15	listed 26:2 36:6	log 41:19 103:17	louder 85:12	135:16
11:10,16,21	37:24 40:1	144:1	lower 113:8 116:9	mapping 89:4,5
15:20 19:1	little 18:8 51:6	logs 40:8 42:6	116:10,12	maps 32:10,24
10.20 17.11	10.001.0	-050 1010 1210	110.10,12	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	I

34:3 51:20 means 45:14 minute 65:19,20 narrowly 85:20	55:7,17 56:14
76:20 77:1 78:3 99:13 103:8 66:4,8 152:3 98:19	78:7 85:4,8
78:8 87:13,18 measure 121:6 minutes 39:7 National 126:17	96:12,19 115:15
88:18,19,21 measured 7:19,23 130:5 nature 118:24	117:19,24
90:12 97:10 12:16 14:5,6,10 miscalculated nay 66:11	118:19,23 119:2
132:10 14:14,15 16:2 128:14 nearest 22:11	119:9 120:5,12
March 6:9 44:9 17:16 27:14,24 miscellaneous nearly 125:9	120:13,23 121:6
	120.13,23 121.0
	124:13 127:10
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
marginally 64:8 12:1,10,14 30:5 59:3 71:11 84:5	136:18 147:8,10
MARIE 2:15 83:19 121:17,19 95:17 140:20 need 12:24 22:13	northeast 13:10
Marine 126:17 121:24 124:2,6 misconstrues 24:15 39:7 66:4	, ,
marked 3:13 124:12 127:14 113:11 81:22 85:9	15:10,22 16:13
40:11 53:20 measurements misrepresents 101:8 118:21	16:18,23 17:8
70:12,15 104:12 17:12 36:23 131:6,12,13 130:2	23:9 24:1,17
106:5 96:4 121:16 missing 149:24 needed 53:1 80:6	25:4 30:7 36:8
marker 95:12 measures 121:19 150:15 119:4 142:9,11	78:6 84:2 89:8
marking 47:5,6 measuring 5:5,7 misspoke 28:12 142:14 143:1,20	
Marzullo 1:13	97:8,10 111:1,14
2:24 154:4,16 127:17 modification needs 22:6 27:21	111:16 112:13
match 135:4 mediate 60:24 152:17 50:2,11,17 59:2	1 113:13 122:16
146:9 memorandum moment 13:15 144:23	northern 14:24
matches 47:18 21:1,3 119:18 122:3 neighboring	55:8 92:7,15
material 22:10 memory 79:18 moments 130:2 20:20	93:12 94:22
43:7 47:16 mention 66:23 month 91:9 never 75:9 131:4	123:6
49:10 50:17	Notary 1:13
65:17 76:4,7,10 mentioned 66:21 70:24 63:23 64:2	154:17
76:16 106:5,17 98:13 140:6 morning 4:3 151:17,21 152:9	notation 90:16
106:24 110:16 meters 139:13 move 27:6 76:12 Nicor 24:16,23	notations 149:8
125:18 141:9 method 31:10 76:13 96:23 25:2,3 34:7	note 8:21 40:10
144:23 145:13 96:2 113:7 97:1 151:16,18 122:16 123:5,9	75:4 76:11
145:17 147:24	109:23 148:4
materials 65:5 125:23 126:9 moved 54:15,15 no-information	
89:17 90:1 methodology 92:19 93:2 150:2	76:14
106:14 19:13 36:3 97:14 non-detected	notes 131:3 154:7
math 62:1 37:22 83:12 moving 23:19 22:11	November 154:15
matter 38:10 84:3 96:22 92:18 non-responsive	NRP's 113:3
44:24 89:20 methods 37:6 multiplied 28:8,10 76:12	number 10:12
mean 29:13 47:7 metric 32:4 multiply 10:10 north 5:1 8:2,3	15:14,15 19:12
74:7 83:17 metrology 128:11 mute 144:18 9:8,20 10:14	25:11 34:3,10
84:13 85:23 middle 4:6 6:17 ————————————————————————————————————	35:14 49:3 53:8
86:20 94:20 136:6 N 13:7,8 24:16,21	53:20 88:6
99:12 106:9 Midwest 2:7 N 3:3 24:22 25:3,5	112:20,23 113:1
109:15 110:19 mind 87:21 name 4:3 81:9 24:22 25:3,5	123:4 127:5
meaning 23:12 minimize 141:8 narrowing 126:11 32:1 36:7 37:20	
32.1 30.7 37.20	110111111111111111111111111111111111111

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 11/4/2020

October 29, 2020

				rage 107
13:6 16:20	144:11,20 145:1	44:16 45:13,17	49:24 50:19	opened 65:8
32:19,20 36:24	146:19 147:20	46:12,15 54:22	51:5 52:8 53:15	104:20
37:19,19 152:7	147:22 148:20	55:1 56:22 57:1	54:12 55:1,3	opinion 17:12
numerator 5:2,6	149:18 151:3,6	59:5 60:1,5,9,12	57:21 58:15,23	42:14 43:16
9:11,22 10:2,5,8	O&M 35:1,3,22	60:17 63:6,9,24	59:10,16 60:9,12	63:23 64:1,2
10:9,10 12:8,20	object 70:2 143:3	64:5 65:11,20,23	62:7,22 63:3	79:7 87:12
12:21 17:16	objecting 143:7	66:3,7,10 70:4	64:16 66:3,23	88:13 94:21
25:12 27:23	objecting 143.7	71:14,17 72:10	67:3,18 68:3,11	96:5 97:9,11
32:5,7 36:4	44:15 45:9	73:5 75:12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
<i>'</i>			68:22 69:5,17	98:15 101:13,16
112:17 114:15	55:23 59:3	78:12 80:11,14	70:16 72:7	105:22 106:14
118:6 120:21	63:22 65:6	80:19 81:1,10	74:13 75:22	109:22 113:9,20
129:18	69:21 71:11	85:11,14 91:11	76:12 77:2,22	114:15 116:20
numerators 34:14	72:9 73:3 75:8	97:16 102:4	79:20 80:8	118:22 120:1
0	77:16 80:10	103:10 105:3	82:24 85:3 87:8	124:4,8 127:6,13
	95:17 102:3	130:1,4,9 131:8	88:5,13 89:7,10	128:19 150:21
o'clock 1:16	103:3,9 104:17	131:11,21 132:1	91:17 93:16	opinions 82:24
O'Haloran 39:15	131:6,20 132:2	132:23 134:16	94:14,19 96:8	147:3
O'Laughlin 2:8	132:14 139:19	140:22 143:5,11	99:1,15 101:8	opposed 135:15
3:6,7,9,10 16:3	140:20 144:14	143:15 144:4,13	102:15,19,24	optic 112:9
38:17 39:6,8,13	151:1	147:19 151:2,7	103:19 104:7,12	optics 112:5
39:14,15,17	objections 152:5,5	151:11 153:3	104:14 105:15	orange 47:6
44:18 45:22	observations	official 43:4	105:22 106:19	oranges 61:11
46:11,16,17	110:7 113:3	oftentimes 110:1	107:21 108:23	order 13:1 38:13
48:23 53:13	observed 84:10	Oh 107:6	109:1,4 110:2	42:15 43:14,17
54:2 55:2,22	110:9	okay 5:4 6:6,23	112:8,16,23	63:12,19 64:24
56:2,3,4,22,24	obviously 26:9	7:5 8:2,7,11	113:2,5 115:4	66:17,21,23 67:4
57:5 59:7 60:1,4	64:9	9:17 10:14,24	117:10 120:18	85:22 99:3,8
60:7,10,15,19	occur 50:14 68:1	11:14,18 13:4,13	121:1,21 124:1	101:9 102:6
63:8,10 64:15	133:18	13:14 14:3 15:9	124:16,20 125:6	103:21 119:6
65:15,19 66:1	occurred 86:5	15:13,17,20	125:16 126:1,8	125:13 145:13
69:21 71:11	130:24	16:16,23 17:5,19	126:18 127:3	145:17
72:9 73:3 75:8	occurring 84:18	18:24 19:7,17,20		organized 123:1
77:16 78:12,14	102:21	20:12,17,24 21:7	129:17,22	original 47:12
79:12 80:10,16	occurs 48:5	21:15,18 22:22	130:20 131:19	70:11 89:4
80:18 91:1	October 1:15 4:5	23:1,8 24:20	132:20,22	93:13 104:11
95:17 100:13	82:8 133:14	25:19,23 26:17	133:10 137:15	originally 56:11
102:3,5 103:3,9	of179 124:2	26:19 27:6,11	138:19 140:4	outside 18:4 20:8
104:17,20 130:1	OFFER 95:19	28:24 30:2,5,17	141:12 142:3,24	29:16 31:1,4
130:3,10,13	103:4 132:19	31:19 32:1,13,23	145:3,12 146:10	65:7 135:7
131:8,9,19,24	139:20 144:18	33:5,10,16 34:20	152:11	overall 10:11
132:4,15,24	148:19	35:1,22 39:5,22	once 36:3 40:21	36:20 37:15,19
134:16,19,20	Officer 1:11 4:2	41:21 42:18	70:9 103:15	113:9
139:21,22,23	4:16 6:19 16:7	43:14 44:8		overruled 16:11
141:3 143:11,12			ones 34:9,23	
143:21 144:5,6	16:11 18:21	45:17 47:4,18	37:12 139:5	45:18 70:4
113.21 177.3,0	35:13 39:6,9,12	48:4,10,14,15	152:9	105:5 143:15

oversight 37:3,4	pardon 32:6	115:18 147:6	103:23 104:2,2,5	poles 115:23
37:10,10 128:6	125:11	Peterson's 110:6	104:9,11,21,22	116:2
129:12,15,15	part 8:8 24:24	138:23	104:23 105:2,9	Pollution 1:1,12
oversights 38:4	44:23 72:4 74:8	phase 81:2	105:13,24 106:6	20:4
153:1	75:3 78:20	phases 140:15	106:7 148:5	Polution 9:2
overstated 127:7	99:16 111:16	phonetic 148:12	play 13:11	pond 113:17
	142:3,4 149:2	photograph 40:15	playing 61:1	portion 12:3
P	particular 10:11	photographs	plays 55:6	16:23 19:21
package 151:20	87:4 95:9	110:7,13 138:24	please 5:3 6:12	31:6 99:9
page 3:4 5:21 11:1	104:13 141:10	139:3	8:8,12 11:14	149:21 150:12
15:14 19:1	parties 63:11	pictures 48:17	13:12 19:1,18,18	position 105:4
25:19 29:19	131:10,14	pieces 35:8,9	20:10 21:10	possible 52:23
31:16 35:13	154:10	Pinellas 1:14	23:10 28:23	53:1
38:15,20 40:10	parties' 154:11	154:3	40:17 44:16,17	post 104:21,22
40:13 41:14,17	parts 23:12,13	pipe 95:7,10	53:13 59:6	potential 49:10
42:18,19 43:9,11	party 64:21	pit 5:14	65:14 66:8 70:6	141:8
48:16 49:5,24	passes 103:15	pits 96:10	81:8,22,23 83:6	practice 58:20
62:3 83:7 98:5	Pause 18:22	place 14:9 62:10	83:8 85:17	precise 94:17
105:15 111:24	pavement 48:7	92:24 113:3	88:24 92:7	predicated 120:11
112:24 117:1,16	94:24,24	117:10	93:10,20,23 96:8	122:5
136:3,6 137:3,11	PCB 1:4	placed 75:21 93:4	103:4 105:19,20	prefer 96:3
141:18	pdf 5:15 7:20 53:2	96:16 97:9	111:5,24 113:1	prep 32:24 33:24
pages 54:17	peat 47:9 76:17	99:11 110:16	114:8 121:14	34:21 36:2,7,20
111:19	106:5,23 109:5	113:3,5	122:7 124:21	37:2,3,9 129:13
Pam 4:9 39:9	percent 5:12 9:18	placement 126:22	126:2 127:3	129:14,14
80:22 81:11	12:22 17:6	places 126:19	139:21 143:16	preparation 33:7
130:6	25:17,24 26:5,13	plan 6:8,14 7:6	145:22 146:2	41:8
Pamela 1:13 2:24	28:7,8 30:10,15	15:10,24 56:18	plot 15:10 122:6	prepared 82:23
154:4,16	34:18,20 35:5,20	57:6,7,8,10,11	plotted 23:9,11,18	137:14
paper 7:20 43:6,7	35:23 36:12	57:13,14,15,16	23:22 93:5	presence 85:7
paragraph 11:18	110:3 113:21	58:24 71:2,7	plotting 7:21	119:22
21:15 28:4	114:13	91:15 95:14	plus 13:4,6 48:1,3	present 1:24 2:14
35:17,19 40:14	percentage 10:6	104:7,23 105:1	48:8 50:4,5,7,8	22:1 119:19
42:22 43:11	11:10 25:16	123:18 142:1	50:23 51:1,12	138:8 141:2
59:16 60:13,15	30:14 34:17	146:4,5 148:2	68:15 69:2	presented 33:20
85:18 136:8,10	35:6,8 118:7	planned 61:5	143:24	89:6
136:12	percentages 34:24	plans 47:2,12,12	point 14:18 25:20	pretty 135:19
paragraphs 83:11	64:8,13	47:24 50:20,22	37:1 40:22	previously 100:7
parameters 69:22	performed 86:23	51:15,17 68:6,7	53:23 77:6	134:2 140:24
parcel 9:13 29:15	89:4 91:23	69:13,15,20 70:9	87:14 103:6	primarily 84:16
85:2,9 96:21	128:22	70:9,11,12 71:9	110:15 119:10	prior 16:5 74:8
98:7,10,11 99:4	permission 71:1	71:20,22 72:1,4	134:17	probably 17:2
99:9 111:17	person 89:20	72:14,20 74:9,11	pointing 100:23	31:18 74:21
119:5,8 126:13	pertinent 139:6	74:16 75:3	points 40:19	problem 28:3
parceled 87:7	Peterson 110:14	100:6 101:8	99:20	45:16 76:3,8

nmaged 20:14	nrovidos 42.4	anoto 21,22	manull 14:12 10	98:15
proceed 39:14 46:16 60:18	provides 42:4 49:9 50:1	quote 21:23 quote-unquote	recall 14:12,19	
	100:20 128:24	140:9	18:12,15 26:15 29:12 33:8	redirect 3:6,7,9,10
65:11 66:11		140:9		39:13,16 66:16
81:5 105:5	providing 77:5	R	42:16 44:1 46:7	78:13 145:2,4
130:11	proximity 109:18	ramp 29:1,2,14	46:20 56:9 59:2	148:21
proceeding 139:7	Public 1:13	30:19 124:24	66:18 67:12	refect 104:5
proceedings 1:10	105:13 154:17		74:23 112:18,19	refer 147:1
153:5 154:6	pull 19:18 21:9,13	125:1,3,6,7,11	114:7 115:16	reference 88:1
process 34:6	23:4 33:16 77:2	125:13 138:4,5,6	received 72:3,5	95:3,6 101:17
53:16 58:20	93:22 95:4	138:13,15	recess 39:11 65:22	128:20
61:8	105:18	ran 9:13 31:6	66:9 80:24	referenced 58:12
processes 124:15	purpose 56:17	115:14 120:12	130:8 144:3	94:13 107:11
produce 130:20	75:18	random 40:19	recognize 94:3	108:11 119:5
produced 130:22	purposes 21:19	randomly 41:14	recognized 99:3	references 24:15
131:5,16,17	63:4	re-redirect 75:13	recollection 5:22	112:3 137:12
132:10,17 133:3	put 9:1,3 23:24	reach 33:12 82:24	101:14 110:5	referred 51:8 91:1
133:7	74:2,3,7,11 76:6	111:8	133:3	136:23
production	95:13 125:14	reaching 116:17	recommended	referring 49:17
130:17 132:10	129:11	reaction 95:16	22:7 59:22	107:8 108:8
proffer 151:22	putting 131:20	96:1 103:2	reconstructed	137:9
proffers 151:12	putting 131.20	read 11:1,19 15:6	100:17	reflect 67:3 80:9
profile 70:19	Q	19:1 21:18	record 4:2 11:2,19	102:5 131:3
142:1,6 146:4,5	quantified 143:4	22:13 40:17	19:2 21:19	reflected 55:17
project 21:22 48:7	quantify 143:9,17	41:4 42:21	22:13 39:13	103:13 129:6
2 0	quarters 108:18	43:10 65:10		
59:1 69:18,19	question 13:22	136:8,14 137:3	46:10,11,13,14	reflects 70:22
72:8 73:1 100:8	19:7 20:10 23:8	151:14 152:6,7	46:15 51:5	117:22
104:4 109:19,21	37:14 38:8,11,21	151.14 132.0,7	55:17 56:15	refresh 79:18
150:22	44:17 55:24		57:4 65:21,24	regard 77:17
projects 67:19,23	65:13 71:13	reading 15:2 19:7 60:13	66:8,11 67:3	regarding 46:5
property 89:3			72:5 75:4 80:9	49:9 51:18
134:5,5,6,6,7,15	76:14,19 77:17	ready 66:5,13	80:22 81:2,9	53:23 56:16
proposal 69:18	79:15 114:21	real 46:11	102:5 117:22	60:15 62:2
proposed 70:11	128:4 131:22,24	really 13:5 14:21	130:7,10 131:7	76:24 100:7
70:22 71:24	132:22 143:16	reasonable 83:1	136:11,17,21	118:22 122:8
74:2 104:2,3	144:7	rebut 99:20	137:5,9,20,22	138:17
105:1,14 106:6	questions 39:5	rebuttal 3:8,9,9	138:13 141:24	Regardless 105:4
107:24 108:1,22	46:4,19 57:22	3:10,10 80:20	144:5 151:15	regards 52:24
108:23 142:18	66:20 67:10	81:2,6,18 82:6	154:7	61:23 70:20
provide 90:13	76:24 77:21	82:11,12,17 83:7	recreate 144:15	76:9
96:3 130:17	78:11,15 129:17	89:6 104:18,19	recross 3:8,10	regular 105:19
provided 40:6	144:11 145:1,7,8	130:11,12	45:15 66:4,14	rehashed 69:24
47:10,13 51:21	147:18 148:18	133:13,14	79:13 147:21	relate 105:23
62:17 74:10,18	148:23	135:19,20 136:4	149:19	145:24 146:10
83:22 92:5	quickly 46:11	145:4 147:21	red 90:5,6 92:9,23	related 26:13
138:17	quite 102:15	148:21 149:19	96:19 97:23	34:22 139:7
	-		70.1771.23	3 1.22 137.7
		l	l	

				Page 170
relates 58:22	106:15,18 144:9	reporter 66:13	responding 52:4	67:20,20,22,23
relating 147:7	144:23	154:4	response 82:9,21	68:3,9 73:9,12
relation 55:5 80:3	render 87:20	reports 51:17,21	82:22 111:22	73:16 78:9,22
80:5	rendering 101:21	59:22 83:4,15	responsibility	80:14 88:4 97:4
relationship 73:14	repeat 65:13 70:6	89:6 91:3 92:12	20:9 63:12	101:4,5,20
relative 113:12	113:1 114:21	136:1 146:21	126:11	103:23 108:7
142:17 154:9,11	rephrase 56:1	represent 20:17	responsible 78:24	109:9 111:11
relay 127:17	59:5 63:6 71:15	96:6	86:2 99:13	112:14 113:19
relevance 69:21	95:20 103:4	representation	rest 80:16 100:5	116:13 122:20
72:9	139:21	149:4	115:23 128:2	123:13 125:24
	replace 106:23		restate 44:17	125.15 125.24 126:6,15 131:2
relevancy 151:5	_	representative		· ·
relevant 119:12	report 1:10 7:8,21	86:18 87:1,23	126:1	132:18 136:15
139:4	8:8,9 9:4 10:22	98:14	result 97:19 136:9	141:18
reliable 57:10,11	11:15 15:22,24	represented 19:12	136:19	right-45-way
61:17	22:7,18 24:14	20:16 87:6 90:7	results 22:5 42:8	101:7
relied 42:13 43:15	26:24 39:19	138:7 149:12	59:18,20 98:16	right-of-way 55:9
57:14 88:22	40:5 41:9,11,18	150:6,7	119:15 138:14	146:9
130:16 132:9	42:2,3,9,14,24	representing 96:9	resurfaced 48:7	RJT 148:10
138:19,23	43:15,20,22 44:9	require 139:14,17	review 76:24	RND/JDT 148:7
rely 7:15 57:13	44:13,21 45:21	required 8:4	138:21 139:4	road 46:6 47:24
remain 99:13	45:23 51:23,24	18:11 19:20	reviewed 59:13	67:21 68:12,14
remaining 43:6	52:5,6,7,10 54:4	20:5,11 27:12	147:2	69:5 76:9 78:17
remediate 59:1	54:6,10,13,14,19	84:8 86:22	reviewing 76:22	79:2,6,9,16
remediation	54:20 57:17	110:20 119:20	Revision 6:9	100:10,19 101:6
56:10 57:9,13	59:14 62:4,13,15	119:23 139:5,8	15:11 59:14	101:10,15,22,23
58:24,24 60:21	62:18,19 77:24	139:11 140:4	RHD 148:10	102:2,9 142:2,7
61:13,19,20	82:6,9,11,12,18	141:1,7 142:24	right 5:9 6:5,18	142:12,15,18,23
remedy 125:24	82:23 83:7,22	147:15	7:8 9:9,15 10:19	143:2 146:5,8,14
126:6 141:4	84:7 85:19,20	requirement	12:9 14:19,22	role 100:5
remember 18:7	86:21 88:3	50:24 113:12	15:21 16:14,19	roofing 43:7
23:2 26:18 27:1	89:16 90:7,10	140:17	17:6,13,17 18:2	roughly 96:20
33:13,18 67:8,16	91:2 92:1 93:8	requiring 119:14	20:22 23:13,21	107:20 108:2,18
76:23 79:19	96:11 97:13,14	respect 8:3 37:15	24:2,8,12,18	117:8 121:18
95:20 105:3	97:23 104:18,19	38:4 40:23	25:9 26:9 27:9	143:23
141:14	118:4 120:22	70:18 76:22	27:18,21 28:1,9	round 41:9 44:24
remembers 4:8	123:15 125:5	83:3,14 88:5,14	28:14,21 29:9,15	46:1 47:23
remind 4:20	128:18 132:11	91:21 92:22	29:17,23,24 30:8	52:13,16,20 54:5
removal 6:13 57:7	133:10,13 134:8	96:23 98:21	30:19 31:7,15	54:9 55:14,18,20
83:24 147:7,24	134:14 135:7,19	100:11 101:15	32:8 34:4,8,12	56:7,8 69:22
remove 50:2	135:20 136:4,22	102:9 111:13	34:15,18 35:5	70:1,3 78:21
106:16 145:13	137:13,13,15	116:1 124:5,5	36:3,9,21 38:1,5	132:11
145:16	138:2,16 140:16	127:14 129:12	43:3 49:24 50:5	rule 18:6
removed 11:21	154:5	129:19 147:12	50:14 51:9	ruled 17:23
43:4 50:17 61:3	reported 2:23	149:12	55:13 58:3	rules 52:24
61:4 76:5	41:22	respondent 1:7	60:22 61:8 67:8	ruling 38:24 58:18
01.1 / 0.5		- 55p 0.140110 1./	00.22 01.0 07.0	20.2130.10
			l	

				Page 1/1
61:15 79:15	sb@jmanfranze	seen 84:3 95:1	50:8 51:11	37:2,3,3,4,9,10
run 112:9 120:23	2:6	segment 115:22	109:12 142:17	37:10 38:22
136:18	scale 6:4 13:16	sent 33:21	146:12	40:4,7,18,23
running 96:20	14:7	sentence 11:2	shown 48:16	41:7 42:20,20,23
121:18	scaled 14:4	43:10 59:17	62:22 97:6	43:1,4,12,14
runs 112:4,6	scaling 5:15 14:16	136:7,12 137:3	102:20 104:8	46:23 56:20,20
Ryan 148:10	95:14,22	sentences 136:10	109:16	58:11,12 62:5,14
149:9	scanned 53:3	136:13,16	shows 62:4,14	62:16,20,23 63:4
	scattered 76:10	September 152:9	75:10 91:15	63:20 67:1 78:2
S	scientific 83:1	sequence 68:1	102:13 104:3	78:5 79:1,6,8,10
S 3:12	scope 65:7 84:8	service 34:6	128:21 142:8	84:9,18 85:10
safety 37:10,14,16	screen 6:3 13:15	services 26:20	143:19	87:24 90:14
129:14	93:23 105:19	34:2,3 38:4	side 5:1,1 11:3	91:15,16 92:11
salt 43:6 74:16	second 6:7 15:14	128:7 129:13	12:1 31:14,14	92:16,22 93:7,11
sample 22:2,11	18:16,19 28:4	153:2	32:1,2 85:2	93:16,17 94:7,18
40:8 42:4,20	35:17 40:14	set 26:23 61:3	115:15,15	94:22 95:15,23
86:23,24 87:1,3	41:9 42:21 46:1	74:11	117:24 118:1	96:6 97:1 98:2
87:6 98:14,17	52:12,20 54:5,9	settlement 76:9	120:6,13 123:19	99:10,16,21
107:6,14,15	54:14 55:20,21	shape 32:14	124:13 132:7	100:5 111:1
108:4,6,14,15	56:8 69:22 70:3	share 128:13,14	147:8,10,10	115:1,1,1,12,15
117:14 119:15	77:23 85:18	sheet 105:8	sides 117:20	115:23,24
121:7 125:13	90:9,24 97:14	shifted 93:12,13	122:12	116:17,21 117:4
sampled 22:14	114:17 121:18	95:2 96:18 97:1	sign 91:18	117:7,19 118:1,8
samples 42:6	122:23 132:11	97:13,18	signify 95:10	118:13,23 119:9
43:13 90:17	136:13	shifts 96:19	similar 24:11 84:3	120:6,13,24
sampling 22:5	section 89:8 136:7	Shore 8:3,3 9:8,20	97:12 122:17	121:7,17 122:9
40:19,22 59:18	137:6 139:6	10:14 11:16,20	126:10 128:4	122:12,14,14,15
59:20	146:22	12:18 13:7,8	similarly 138:11	123:17,19 124:3
Sands 49:23	see 6:9 11:5,22	24:16,21,22 25:3		124:5,14,17
save 105:16	15:1 19:15	25:6 26:13 36:7	simplify 53:17	125:22 126:3,6
saw 77:18 128:21	21:15 22:16	37:20 78:7 85:4	simply 129:4	126:13,19 127:4
saying 18:13,15	23:5 25:21	85:8 96:12	sir 4:17 22:16	127:10,16,19,22
39:3 45:11 71:9	31:20 39:1 41:4	101:21 118:20	site 5:1,8,14,22	127:22,24 129:7
71:16,16,20	50:4 57:1 60:3	118:23 119:2,9	6:4 7:12,21 8:4	129:13,14,14
112:1 131:12	69:9 73:15 75:6	120:5,12,23	9:8,21 10:15	136:19 138:8,18
says 6:8 17:2,3	75:22 77:13	121:6 122:16	11:3,10,21 12:1	139:6,6,9,9,13
21:23 22:4,13	80:22 84:11	123:6	13:4,6,7,7 22:23	139:13 140:8,8
29:2 44:4 49:15	95:3 99:21	shortly 109:20	24:15 25:13	140:12,14,18
49:19 50:5,16	110:14 112:23	show 39:19 49:5	26:1,14 27:6	141:2,5,5 145:9
67:4 76:15	118:9 121:12	52:21 53:9 58:8	28:5,8,11,16,19	146:7,7,16 147:8
91:18 99:8	128:8 141:18,19	73:15 75:20	28:20 29:24	147:10
102:6 105:12	142:3,14	80:1 104:24	31:9,14 32:2,15	site-wide 128:16
106:17 116:24	seeing 33:18	144:8,22	32:18,20,21 33:6	site/site 32:24
118:3 120:4,23	94:21 127:11	showed 131:17,18	34:2,4,22 36:2,7	33:24 34:21
141:22	seek 40:5	showing 40:7 49:7	36:13,14,20 37:2	sites 57:9 139:12
			·	,

sits 24:1	source 15:21 65:4	152:5	51:17 76:23	surrounding
six 28:16	65:16 94:9	start 50:3 144:16	88:21 111:23	62:23
slanted 30:6	sources 87:9,19	started 50:7 88:15	submitting 51:15	survey 62:17
slightly 51:12	south 2:3 5:1 11:3	89:24	89:15	95:12
118:17	12:1 31:14 32:2	Starting 136:6	subsequently	surveyed 40:22
slope 125:14	93:13,14 95:2	starts 69:2	133:6,7	Susan 2:2 145:2
smaller 120:21,21	96:20 97:19	state 1:14 14:22	subsurface 40:3,7	suspected 43:2,3,5
129:18,21,24	115:15 117:19	63:12 81:8	48:12	suspicious 43:1
smooth 48:8	117:24 120:6,13	90:11 105:12	suitable 106:24	Sustained 73:5
software 132:8	122:11 123:19	106:20 154:2	Suite 2:4	80:11 95:19
soil 5:22 6:4 7:21	124:13 127:10	stated 20:24 21:2	sum 27:17	swear 4:9 81:11
8:13 14:23	136:18 147:8,10	33:5 47:23 50:2	summarize 28:5	sworn 4:9,12,15
22:23 39:19	southwest 30:7	83:10	summary 63:15	81:16
41:6,22,23 42:1	space 97:5	statement 16:6	super 23:3	
42:19 43:12	speak 85:11	statements 147:3	supplement	T
68:17 75:23,24	speaker 134:18	states 11:2 45:5	135:16,20	T 3:12
83:24 85:21	speaking 103:12	stating 53:8 59:12	supplemental 8:9	table 128:24
86:17 98:10	131:19	station 47:19 48:6	9:14 54:5,10,14	135:23
99:11 117:14	specially 119:5	51:8 68:13,14,15	54:19 62:12,21	tabulation 138:18
125:16 126:19	specific 70:2 86:1	69:8 107:4,9	82:17,22 88:2	take 5:20 13:21
126:23 137:7	86:4,6 87:7	108:9 143:2	96:11 104:19	22:24 23:1
147:7,8	112:19 147:2	stationing 46:23	120:22 133:15	32:13 36:18
soils 4:21 31:11	specifically 12:18	107:11 108:11	134:8,14,22	37:11 45:15
75:20	14:12 52:1,5,8	stations 69:10	135:7 138:23	57:11 62:9
solely 11:24	85:22 92:20	status 59:21	support 57:16	65:19 70:16
somebody 145:20	100:3 138:6	stay 72:4	128:7 129:13	73:22 80:20
150:21	specified 61:16,16	stenographic	153:1	88:8 96:14
sorry 6:7 12:6	speculative 102:3	154:7	supported 139:2	111:19 114:10
23:12 24:3	151:1	stenographically	147:2	121:1 124:12
27:13 28:3,12	spell 52:11	154:5	supposed 16:2	125:16 130:3
31:16 32:6	spent 34:11 61:24	step 80:15	72:21 97:4	taken 1:12 22:14
38:17 49:14	133:21 134:3	Steven 3:5 4:11	sure 4:8 5:18	39:11 65:22
53:13 54:8 62:9	spot 73:23	4:13	12:15 13:23	66:9 74:14,19
81:12 85:19	spreadsheet 33:14	stick 114:14	21:6,8 23:18	80:24 109:7,18
90:3 94:1 97:18	square 9:7,9,12	stop 53:13	46:8,9,12 59:8	130:8
99:6 107:6,10	9:14 10:16	Street 2:3,9 49:23	60:2 63:8 73:24	talk 10:14 36:2
108:6,10 114:21	16:14,18,24 17:3	strength 75:19	91:7,8 99:6,7	75:23 87:8
117:3 122:19	17:12,15 29:24	stretch 147:9	121:11 126:3	107:13 108:13
125:11 126:14	50:16 84:1	strike 62:9 76:12	132:2 135:19	110:24 112:16
140:11 143:7	112:14,17	135:5	140:11,14 151:4	114:9 118:19
151:3	sss 81:13	structured 38:2	151:22	122:3 151:8
sort 58:19 67:19	stage 44:24	stuff 74:18	surface 40:3,6	talked 4:22 17:11
95:13 109:15	staggered 58:19	subject 38:24	43:1 50:24	18:5,6 47:4
sound 133:17	stand 95:7	152:4	142:17	55:14 67:14
Sounds 131:2	standing 73:6	submitted 42:9	surgery 83:1	88:1 90:3 103:6

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 11/4/2020

October 29, 2020

103:22 124:1	152:20	124:14 146:3	transitions 146:8	23:12 24:21
134:10 147:13	testify 86:12	151:11,12 153:3	Transportation	25:20 28:11
151:18	111:10 124:23	thinking 31:17	1:6 2:13	61:7 67:19,22
talking 5:22 11:24	testifying 141:14	130:5	traversed 117:7	83:22 86:17
20:13 24:3	testimony 16:9	thinks 45:14	Trease 148:11	89:6 92:8
72:23 98:6	20:22 47:22	third 22:21 42:19	treat 26:7,10 35:1	121:15 136:15
104:22 116:23	59:4 68:23	112:10	treated 21:4 35:4	142:19 145:8
117:14 122:13	71:12 83:4	third-party 65:9	trickle 128:2	
122:22 145:23	85:24 90:21	1 V	true 13:2 14:4	146:6,11
		thought 29:14		type 47:16 129:13
talks 11:15 73:8	95:18 134:11	53:16 56:12	17:15 41:12	typically 19:11
118:3	140:21 143:8	three 17:9,19	83:3,14 117:21	52:4 86:17
tar 43:7	147:14 152:21	25:20 83:11	129:12 137:23	104:10 109:23
tas 128:7	thank 4:17 6:23	108:18 116:5	137:24 139:9,10	U
task 37:6,8 38:2,4	19:19 23:8	three-and-a-half	139:18 140:6,18	Uh-huh 117:5
126:5 127:19,22	39:15 45:17,23	143:19	142:9,15 148:13	
127:23,24 128:5	46:3 53:15	three-quarters	150:1,16 154:6	undergraduate 112:3
129:13 152:24	56:16 60:17	107:20 108:2,24	try 53:17 104:24	· =
153:2	63:9 64:6 65:24	threes 111:3	124:15	underground 112:2
tasks 25:13 36:18	66:12 75:14	tied 55:8	trying 37:1,16,16	· ·
37:2	77:15 85:15	time 13:21 33:21	37:23 124:12	underneath 37:13
technical 73:23	92:6 114:20	62:1 66:2 67:20	131:21,22	38:1 86:7,10
74:18 76:5	130:5,7 132:23	68:4 71:18 74:1	turn 5:17 6:6,12	understand 20:19
tell 7:3 8:13 33:2	134:19 141:23	105:16 109:18	6:16 8:2,7 11:14	25:23 38:11
58:1 92:10 93:6	144:12 147:19	110:3,4,17	13:12 16:15	99:6 101:9
96:15 111:5,19	148:19 151:16	113:23 119:10	24:10 28:23	140:11
tells 133:18	153:3,4	119:17 133:21	29:17 32:6	Understandably
ten 55:8,10	Thanks 73:6	134:3	38:15 39:22	149:1
ten-minute 39:10	theory 78:20,21	times 73:21 87:14	40:13,24 42:17	understanding
terms 58:5 63:17	139:2,24	TIPSORD 2:15	43:9,19,23 48:14	11:19 109:14
100:5	thing 7:1,2	today 7:2	53:4 59:9 62:3	122:10 149:22
test 5:14 91:16	things 26:6,10	top 6:18 29:9,12	77:3 81:22	150:13 152:2
96:10	55:5,7 75:23	41:4 42:11,16	82:14 85:17	undertook 125:13
teste 90:16	76:10 149:11	47:19 49:3	86:15 88:24	unreasonable
tested 75:10	think 6:2 17:3	74:24 95:4	90:24 92:6 96:8	128:17
testified 4:15 6:21	18:17 19:11	105:10 106:4	105:15 110:23	unreliable 128:17
17:19,20 21:21	22:13 24:19	112:2,2 128:21	111:18,24	unsuitable 49:10
29:20 39:18	26:3 29:21	145:9 148:5	112:21 114:8	50:17 106:5,17
58:9 66:16	57:23 58:7 64:5	total 11:20 16:18	115:11 117:1,15	107:18 108:16
81:16 90:18	65:10 80:20	25:12 28:9,10,14	118:21 124:20	144:9,22 145:13
92:14 113:16	87:13,14,17	29:23 34:3,11	141:17	145:17 147:24
115:5,19 119:24	88:10 92:13	98:1 122:12	turning 5:2 15:13	upside 48:17,24
125:12 127:12	94:20 96:24	traffic 100:20	41:14 59:16	use 6:1 10:10,16
130:16 135:10	98:1 99:7	transcript 16:4,5	128:4 133:21	11:7 15:10,21
140:24 143:13	106:10 113:20	154:6	136:3 138:1	16:4 41:8 46:3
147:6,23 149:9	117:1 120:10	transite 43:6	two 16:20 23:12	52:15 64:12
	I	1	I	I .

	 1	1	1	 1
87:19 91:24	versus 4:4 97:23	113:24 114:3,6	97:18 100:16	Xes 38:2,2
94:9 96:3	98:10	122:17 123:6,10	103:11 140:24	₹7
USEPA 18:10	video 69:8	123:13	143:17 151:4	<u>Y</u>
19:9 21:20,23	view 99:24	way 14:16 22:11	witnesses 62:2	yards 50:16
42:9 51:16,18,19	viewing 53:17	22:19 23:24	73:4 131:18	years 72:13,13
59:12 60:6,20	visible 84:10	32:14 42:12	word 22:24 23:1	74:5,8 109:10
61:2 77:4 86:22	visually 42:24	57:20 86:22	words 7:11	140:15
88:19 110:20	voice 143:6	100:2 124:14	work 6:8 7:12 9:8	yellow 89:10,11
111:21,21 139:5	voids 76:4	129:11 146:15	15:10,24 26:13	90:4 92:8,24
139:8,11 140:4	volume 32:14	151:20	26:20,23 28:19	93:17 97:6
140:12 141:4,8	volumes 143:17	we'll 58:7 80:19	28:20 29:6 33:7	118:12 134:15
151:22	***	80:20,21	36:2,5 47:2	134:23,24
uses 126:3 127:5	W	we're 4:2,6 5:2	51:14,14,17	yesterday 6:3,22
usually 73:22	want 12:15 13:5	31:12 36:1 39:9	56:18,21 57:8,13	7:1 19:4 41:12
95:12 109:18	16:14 30:12	39:12 60:6	57:14,15,16	46:3,18 67:14
utilities 53:20	37:8 53:23	65:20,23 66:7,10	70:22 71:21,22	68:11 151:14
140:5 141:2	55:19 57:19	81:2,22 94:21	73:22 86:5,23	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
utility 4:21 26:20	61:13 71:14	104:24 117:14	98:15 100:5,11	
36:8 37:20	75:4 77:6 88:10	127:11 130:6,9	102:13 109:10	zero 29:13 33:6
52:21 53:5,9,18	95:20 107:13	144:4,18 148:23	110:19 116:1	Zoom 1:14
83:23 96:23	108:13 134:17	152:2	119:3 122:12	0
119:21 137:7	wanted 46:3 53:8	we've 19:7,17	123:18,19	00 50:7,8
141:10	56:15 61:2	95:1 100:6	125:10,12	0339 16:24
utilization 76:3	141:8	102:15 111:2	126:12 128:22	039 10.24 039 29:15
utilized 25:2,3	Washington 2:9	128:11	138:4,5,13,15	039 29.13 0393 9:13 23:21
42:12 70:21	113:16	went 18:18 19:3	139:3,5 140:3	24:2,8 31:6
72:6	wasn't 53:2 60:12	19:14 68:24	147:7 150:22	54:16 55:6,12
T 7	72:12 127:9	97:13 115:4	worked 149:9	58:12 85:2,9
<u>V</u>	water 24:23 30:21	weren't 72:16	150:7	87:7 96:21 98:7
v 1:5	36:19 53:24	west 2:9 29:15	workers 141:9	98:10,19,21 99:4
vague 55:24 103:3	54:3,6,13 55:4	37:6 51:7,12	working 21:21	99:9,16 111:17
139:19	55:10,14,16 56:6	69:3 93:4	72:16 89:19,24	114:1,6,16,23
vaguely 44:2	56:11,12 96:13	121:18 134:24	141:9	119:5,8 125:9,19
105:3	96:17 97:3,12	western 118:8,13	worth 142:20	126:13 135:7
VAN 2:15	113:16 114:4,6	124:3 142:22	wouldn't 9:24	140:1
varies 73:21	122:17 123:13	wide 29:8	10:1 15:9 120:1	0441A 73:8
various 37:6 57:9	waterline 24:17	WIE 2:15	written 119:18	06 39:24 44:19
62:14 78:8	114:1	wit 51:24	wrong 36:19	91:4
90:13 91:15	Waukegan 24:17	witness 3:4 4:14	62:10 94:20	06-25 91:5
94:17 113:13	24:23 25:3	6:23 38:18	110:21 122:21	06-25 91.3 0625 43:23 44:19
147:3	30:21 36:19	45:19 53:16	126:8 127:21	45:2 91:14
verify 51:20 52:1	53:24 54:3,6,12	54:24 56:1	wrote 7:7 82:9	+J.4 71.14
52:5,8	55:4,14,16,19	62:19 64:7 65:7		1
version 7:21	56:6,21 60:22	65:8,13 70:6	X	1 53:5 59:14 60:3
versions 94:16	96:13,17 97:3,12	72:12 81:15	X 3:3,12 37:12	62:19 112:8
				52.17 112.0
	•		•	•

138:1,5	44:21 55:1 66:3	2015 44:10,22	30:12 123:15	111:5 112:21
1,476,454 34:11	16.8 34:18,20	2015-16 127:3	205-16-ish 31:18	114:17
1,889 16:24 17:3	17 121:15	2013-10 127.3 2018 82:8 133:11	205-22 8:22 88:15	207-19 28:23
17:15 112:17	17 121.13 177 43:8	133:14	205-24 54:18,24	207-19 28.23 207-20 29:18 32:5
	177 43.8 179 43:8	2019 59:15 82:19	205-28 124:20	
1,899 17:5				207-29 62:11,12
10 2:3 77:7 93:14	18.9 114:13	131:1 132:16	205-29 125:21	77:21
98:5	18th 2:9	133:8,15,19	205-36 56:19,23	207-5 12:13
10,866 9:9	190 50:16	202 8:24 152:13	205-43 62:6,9	207-6 25:19 26:2
10/31/2022 154:18	1945 26:23	2020 1:15 152:9	205-45 66:24 94:1	207-7 25:1 26:3
100 26:12 50:11	1969 73:19	154:15	96:5	27:7 28:4
110:3 113:20	197 5:9 28:1,7	203 42:17 66:17	205-46 5:15,17	207-8 33:2 34:21
1060 114:12	32:7 118:7	152:13	6:4 13:14,24	35:3 36:1
11 19:1 77:9	123:24 127:11	203-4 43:10	205-7 5:20 6:9	207-9 63:20
12 38:16,20 77:9	1970 73:2,19	204 36:18 53:4	205-8 13:19 14:1	208 82:14 88:24
12:40-ish 80:21	109:12 150:22	135:22 138:1	15:5	126:18 135:22
120 21:8,10 59:11	1971 72:12,16	152:13	206 43:20 81:23	152:13
60:2 77:3	199 114:15	204-24 11:14,15	83:6 98:4	208-11 89:1,2,7
152:12	1998 42:22,23	120:10	116:22 135:22	92:6,21 118:11
120-1 21:20	1999 44:7 45:6,8	204-2A 53:5	152:13	133:22 134:14
120-2 59:8	45:21 91:19	204-32 35:12,15	206-11 118:21	208-4 96:8
120-3 21:13 59:9	109:8	204-38 23:3 112:9	206-12 99:19,23	208-9 89:1,3
59:17 60:3,4,14	1N 27:13	138:2	114:8	96:14 113:15
77:3	1S 27:12,13,23	204-39 138:11	206-13 117:13	133:22
123 21:23	90:17 110:9	204-3A 53:14	136:3	209 152:13
1230 1:14	112:4,6 119:15	204-40 67:15,18	206-14 117:15	21 48:15 103:22
129 114:12	119:19,22 120:1	100:15 141:23	122:8,15,20	21-B 104:16
12th 131:1	124:10,16,17	144:8 145:7	137:2	21.7 25:24 26:5
13 6:9 77:10,10	140:1 141:5	146:4,14	206-15 128:18	213 152:13
133:11	1st 151:23 152:9	204-41 152:19	206-40 144:21	214 152:13
130 3:9		204-41A 46:19,22	206-5 85:17	217 3:14 151:24
14 68:13 77:11,11	2	67:11 68:22	206-9 86:15	152:13
14-3 1:4 4:4	2 6:9 15:11 30:8	102:17 103:22	2069 87:8	21A 68:9 70:16,17
140 13:19 15:1,7	36:6 45:2 60:3	106:11 145:7,12	207 62:11 88:2,8	102:11 103:7
38:16,18,20	62:8 67:15	146:5,16 147:24	116:22,22	105:16,23
143,265 25:9	2,005 120:6,15	152:18	121:11	152:12
145 ,205 25.7	2,500 11:4,7,11	204-45 93:20,21	207-05 10:24	21A-23 101:22
146 3:14	12:21	204 -43 <i>)</i> 3.20,21 205 15:3,4 33:17	207-03 10.24 207-13 88:5,9	21A-24 49:15
148 3:10	2.1 83:10	54:22 88:14	207-15 54:18	21A-25 109:16
149 3:10	2.3 86:16	116:22 118:3,4	207-16 114:19,20	21A-26 69:14 75:1
15 41:6 68:15	2.5 99:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	207-10 114.19,20 207-17 8:7,9,11	
77:12 91:24	2.5.21 136:7,11	122:23,24 123:3	12:5,10,17 23:10	79:17,20,24 101:14,20
	201 133:2	205-11 5:3,6	, , ,	,
130:5,6	201 133.2 2010 60:8	118:5	121:1	102:20 105:19
1545 68:14	2010 00.8 2012 151:23	205-12 120:4	207-18 13:11	149:6 150:18
156897 154:17	2012 131.23 2014 6:9 56:21	205-14 123:3	16:15 17:13,17	152:17
16 38:16,20 44:9	2017 0.7 30.21	205-15 29:21	19:18 23:12	21A-26A 102:16
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I	I	I	I
152:16	29th 1:15 4:5	365 152:15	70:3 73:21,22	470 4:24
21A-30 141:13	206-14 123:3	37,738 28:14	75:3 76:3,20	48 43:12
21A-72 48:14,19	2S 112:4,6	375 152:15	78:21 79:16	4S 5:8 51:8 75:5
21A26 49:18		38 138:9,10	80:1 82:1,6 83:1	112:4,6 117:8,8
102:16	3	39 3:6 138:9	83:14,18 86:9	117:10,11 120:2
21B 152:12	3 8:4 9:8,21 13:4,6	39.3 9:18	87:1 90:15 91:2	121:20 145:10
21B-1 105:8	13:7 24:15	3rd 60:8	91:16 92:11,16	4th 154:15
21b-30 104:16	25:13 26:1,14	3S 112:4,6	94:22 95:13,22	
105:15,23	28:19 29:24		97:2 98:14 99:4	5
141:17	32:18,20 33:24	4	99:4,16,20	5 15:20 43:6 56:20
22 72:13	34:2,4,22 37:2,3	4 3:6 56:20 112:11	100:10 103:6	5,470 127:4
221 152:13	37:3,9,10 38:22	116:22 149:1,2	105:13 109:4	5.3 40:14
221A 149:9,15,21	40:4,7,18 41:7	4,271 9:14	110:6 115:23	5.5 36:12
150:3	42:20,23 43:12	4.5 s 27:24 118:8	116:17 117:7,8	5.50 69:8
225 152:14	56:20 60:3	118:13 124:3	117:19 118:1,13	50 56:14 68:15
226 149:9,15,21	62:14,16,23	419 123:20 124:8	118:24 119:22	74:5 143:24
150:3	63:20 67:1 78:2	42 57:3	120:12 122:12	53 15:15
227 152:14	78:5 79:1,6	43 57:3	122:12 123:19	536 6:17 41:2
229B 38:18	85:10 93:7,11,16	45 4:6,7 5:1,8,15	123:23 124:3,13	54 34:7
229D-54 15:16	93:17 94:7,22	5:21 7:18 8:8	127:10 128:2,14	5470 4:23 31:22
229E-335 151:24	95:8,15,23 96:6	9:5 10:2 11:1,3	128:15 129:12	5550 40:15,18
152:14	97:1 98:2 99:10	12:1,11,16 16:5	129:17 130:17	98:20
229E-374 152:14	99:16 111:1	17:8,13,16,22	131:17 132:11	57 39:22,24 40:1
229F-377 152:15	115:1,1 117:7	19:1,21 20:1	135:1,4,13	42:24 66:21
23 43:3	118:23 122:9,14	21:9,17,24 22:9	136:11 137:21	57-19 40:13
23.5 28:7,8	122:15 125:22	22:23 23:20	139:20 140:18	57-2087 41:15
24-40 78:19	126:3,6,13,19	24:7 25:20 26:1	142:3 146:13	57-536 7:3 40:24 57-565 66:24
240 138:9	127:16,19,22,24	26:10 27:14,24 29:13 30:18	149:2	
245 36:18 37:11	129:13,14 138:8 139:6,9,12,13	31:6,13,14 32:2	450393 98:23	582-and-a-halfish 107:1
37:15,24 93:22	140:8,12,14,18	32:10,14,14	45140 14:10	583 107:20 108:18
128:5 152:22,23	141:2 146:7	33:21 37:2	45160,587 28:9	588 108:2,24
2452 52:16	3-6 129:14	39:13 40:7 41:4	451JMs 78:20	589 108:2,24
25 45:3	3.1-acres 29:23	41:8 42:16 44:3	452,500 11:20	58 5:8 75:5 101:3
25.12 17:6	3.6 5:12 12:22	44:9,24 45:9	45204-32 35:18	117:8,10,11
25th 82:8 133:14	13:1	46:6 47:23 49:8	45206-4 84:6	121:20 145:10
26 49:19 70:17	30th 82:19 133:15	49:22 50:6,16	45207-8 36:15	
102:11 103:22	31 56:21	51:8 53:6,17	45208-9 89:2,8	6
105:16,23	312 2:5,10	54:5,16 56:8	4521.7 25:17	6 5:2,8,14,22 6:4
262-5523 2:5	32 57:3	57:20 58:5,9,19	454S 51:12 118:18	7:12,21 10:15
264 83:8	33 57:3	58:20 61:18,24	121:18	11:3,10,21 13:4
26A-1 70:16	339 152:1,14	62:1,2,14 63:3,4	455,470 31:13	13:6,7 27:6 28:5
26B 103:7	345 135:11	63:17,24 64:20	457 48:11 50:23	28:8,11,20 31:9
270 100:12 28 19:1	346 22:18,20,21	65:7 67:19	51:1 457.60 69:6,7	31:14 32:2,15,19
2845646 150:9	3600 2:4	69:13,22,22 70:1	457.60 69:6,7 458S 119:11	36:2,7,13,14,20
2043040 130.9	23002	35.12,22,22 70.1	117.11	37:2,3,4,9,10
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l , , , ,

				Page 1//
10.00.45.00	101 7 0 1 17 10	I ————	 	
42:20 46:23	121:7,8 145:10	9		
50:7 56:20 69:8	145:16	9 21:15 60:15		
79:8,10.92:11,16	6th 59:15	9:00 1:16		
92:22 99:21	7	9:05 4:5		
100:5 112:11	7 29:14 48:1,3,8	90 116:23,24		
115:12,15,23,24		117:4		
116:17,21 117:4	50:4,5,7 51:7,12	91 3:14		
117:19 118:1,8	69:2,8 107:4,7,9	972 121:4		
118:13 119:9	107:14,15 108:4	9A 77:9		
120:6,13,24	108:6,9,14,15	9N 27:13		
121:7,17 122:12	143:2	9S 13:17 14:10,23		
122:14 123:6,17	7.0 47:18 50:13,15	27:13 110:10		
123:19 124:3,5	142:21,21,22	124:10,16,17		
124:14,17 127:4	7.60 50:13 51:6			
127:10,19,23	68:24			
129:13 136:19	72 12:11,20 48:20			
139:6,9,12,13	48:21 49:24			
141:5,5 143:24	50:1 120:23			
145:9 146:7,16	121:21,23			
147:8,10	7200 12:15			
6.5 30:10,15	74 43:1			
60 48:1,3 50:4,5	74.2 35:20			
50:23 51:1,12	7500 16:18 17:5			
69:2	17:12			
60-minute 80:20	7536 90:17			
60.8 35:5	78 3:7			
60602 2:10	79 3:8 152:12			
61 32:21	7S 68:16,17 73:8			
64 151:22 152:12	75:5 101:3			
64-3 111:18	103:13,15,19			
64-4 111:24	106:20,20 109:2			
645 12:1	109:7 145:12			
65 43:5 152:12	8			
66 3:7	8 21:14 51:7 58:2			
661,565 25:13	59:16 60:16			
6699 6:2	77:7,8 139:13			
67 6:6,12 131:17	142:2 143:22			
152:12				
67-1 6:12	80 35:23 81 3:8			
67-536 7:5	814-2087 2:10			
670-1 6:13	838 27:14 28:7			
675-36 6:16	84 152:12			
69 2:9	8S 90:17 103:13			
699 3:14				
6S 75:5 101:3	119:15,19,20,23 140:1 141:5			
	140.1 141.3			